

## UCO BANK Department of Information Technology

## <u>Request for Proposal (RFP) for Supply, Delivery, Installation and Maintenance of Network Devices</u> <u>RFP Ref. No: DIT/BPR & BTD/OA/4412/2019-20 Date: 02/12/2019</u>

Pre-Bid Responses/ Clarifications to Queries raised by the Bidder(s), Amendments, Addendums and Corrigendum's

| SI.<br>No | Page No | Clause No   | Clause as per RFP  | Description of Query/ Clarification<br>sought by Bidder  | Bank Response  |
|-----------|---------|---|--|--|--|
| 1.        | 33      | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK  | Bank existing servers will be<br>connected with leaf switch<br>(copper) with 1G port   |  | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 2.        | 30      | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK  | Interconnection between spine<br>and leaf switches through Direct<br>Attach Cables (DAC) provided by<br>OEM or through 100G fiber cables<br>is the scope of the bidder without<br>any additional cost to the bank. | Since old servers with 1G network<br>connectivity will be used in the leaf<br>switch (copper), requesting to consider<br>6 x 40G uplinks to the Spine Switch for - | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 3.        | 34      | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK -<br>Spine &<br>Leaf<br>switches in<br>brief: Leaf<br>switch<br>(Copper) | Switch should have minimum 2<br>fiber uplinks of 100G ports with the<br>spine switch.  | leaf switch (copper) instead of or in<br>addition to 2 x 100G uplinks.   | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 4.        | 35      | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK<br>- The<br>requireme  | VPN concentrator must support 6<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC performance and 10000<br>IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case   | As per tender specification the VPN<br>concentrator need to support at least<br>10,000 VPN tunnels and crypto<br>throughput is only 6 Gbps. So per                 | Clause modified as under:<br>VPN concentrator must support 10<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for |

|    |    | nt of Spine                                    | of an external box, The VPN   | tunnel throughput is only around 600   | IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full  |
|----|----|--|---|--|---|
|    |    | & Leaf   | concentrator must have  | Kbps, which would be limiting factor   | loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day  |
|    |    | switches in                                    | redundant power supply & at   | for smooth operation of the branch.  | 1. In case of an external box, The  |
|    |    | brief:   | least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 4 no.  | So we request you to revisit the crypto  | VPN concentrator must have  |
|    |    | VPN  | of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1.  | throughput requirement and we  | redundant power supply & at least   |
|    |    | concentra                                      |   | believe it should be at least 50 Gbps  | 6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10  |
|    |    | tor  |   | for large frame so that each tunnel  | G interface (SFP) from Day1.  |
|    |    |  |   | can deliver 5 Mbps of throughput.  |   |
|    |    |  |   | Since the VPN concentrator would be  | VPN concentrator should have  |
|    |    |  |   | connected to high speed backbone,  | capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC   |
|    |    |  |   | please revisit the interface   | fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any   |
|    |    |  |   | requirement (6 x 1 GigE and 4 x 10   | point of time (at start, re-start or  |
|    |    |  |   | GigE) to 8 x 10G and 2 x 40G   | throughout the day)   |
| 5. | 83 | Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts            | Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to<br>Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100<br>G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have 200 nos. of line<br>rate 40G/100G ports scalable to<br>128 nos. with consideration of leaf<br>to SPINE over subscription ration of<br>6:1 | 200 nos. of 40G/100G ports looks like a<br>typo error since total Leaf Switch is<br>only 8 at DC and DR. Kindly revalidate<br>the port requirement from day-1 and<br>scalability requirement of the Spine<br>Switch. | Clause modified as under:<br>Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to<br>Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100<br>G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have minimum 32 nos.<br>of line rate 40G/100G ports<br>minimum scalable to 64 nos. with<br>consideration of leaf to SPINE over<br>subscription ratio of 3:1 or more |
| 6. | 91 | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Copper)<br>Requireme<br>nts | Must have 4 or more no. of<br>40G/100G QSFP based Fiber ports<br>per switch for uplinks with spine<br>switch  | Since old servers with 1G network<br>connectivity will be used in the leaf<br>switch (copper), requesting to<br>consider 6 x 40G uplinks to the Spine<br>Switch for leaf switch (copper).                            | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 7. | 92 | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Copper)<br>Requireme<br>nts | Minimum 4GB Flash   | 4 GB Flash is too low to accommodate<br>multiple OS and log files required for<br>root cause analysis during failure.<br>Request to increase to at least 16 GB.  | It is clarified that minimum 4GB<br>Flash is required however bidder<br>can quote higher flash memory to<br>meet the SLA & uptime.  |

| 8.  | 92  | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Copper)<br>Requireme<br>nts         | Minimum System buffer 16 MB  | Kindly accept "Minimum System buffer<br>12 MB" for participant of more OEMs.   | Minimum System buffer 12 MB,<br>however bidder can quote higher<br>system buffer to meet the SLA &<br>uptime   |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 9.  | 99  | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts          | Minimum 4GB Flash  | 4 GB Flash is too low to accommodate<br>multiple OS and log files required for<br>root cause analysis during failure.<br>Request to increase to at least 16 GB.  | It is clarified that minimum 4GB<br>Flash is required however bidder<br>can quote higher flash memory to<br>meet the SLA & uptime.   |
| 10. | 99  | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts          | Minimum System buffer 16 MB  | Kindly accept "Minimum System buffer<br>12 MB" for participant of more OEMs.   | Minimum System buffer 12 MB,<br>however bidder can quote higher<br>system buffer to meet the SLA &<br>uptime   |
| 11. | 106 | Layer 3<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts                  | Electrical:<br>Frequency: 50/60 Hz<br>Maximum Heat Dissipation: 528<br>BTU/hr (557.04 KJ/hr)<br>Voltage: 100-240 Vac, rated<br>Maximum Power Rating: 155 W   | Power rating and heat dissipation<br>varies from OEM to OEM and should<br>be removed to allow more OEM<br>participation.   | It is clarified that the supplied<br>equipment should be compatible<br>with tier 3 data centre<br>environment.   |
| 12. | 107 | TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF<br>VPN<br>MODULES | VPN modules Processors should<br>have minimum 4GB of flash<br>memory or more to support<br>multiple software images for<br>backup purposes, log report and<br>future scalability   | 4 GB Flash is too low to accommodate<br>all the feature support asked. May<br>kindly revisit the minimum requirement.  | It is clarified that minimum flash<br>memory requirement is 4 GB,<br>however bidder can quote higher<br>Flash memory to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.  |
| 13. | 108 | TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF<br>VPN<br>MODULES | VPN concentrator must support 6<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC performance and 10000<br>IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case<br>of an external box, The VPN<br>concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at | As per tender specification the VPN<br>concentrator need to support at least<br>10,000 VPN tunnels and crypto<br>throughput is only 6 Gbps. So per tunnel<br>throughput is only around 600 Kbps,<br>which would be limiting factor for<br>smooth operation of the branch. So we<br>request you to revisit the crypto | Clause modified as under:<br>VPN concentrator must support 10<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full<br>loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day<br>1. In case of an external box, The<br>VPN concentrator must have |

|     |     |  | least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 4 no.   | throughput requirement and we  | redundant power supply & at least   |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|---|
|     |     |  | of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1.   | believe it should be at least 50 Gbps for  | 6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10  |
|     |     |  |  | large frame so that each tunnel can  | G interface (SFP) from Day1.  |
|     |     |  |  | deliver 5 Mbps of throughput. Since the  |   |
|     |     |  |  | VPN concentrator would be  | VPN concentrator should have  |
|     |     |  |  | connected to high speed backbone,  | capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC   |
|     |     |  |  | please revisit the interface requirement   | fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any   |
|     |     |  |  | (6 x 1 GigE and 4 x 10 GigE) to 8 x 10G  | point of time (at start, re-start or  |
|     |     |  |  | and 2 x 40G.   | throughout the day)   |
|     |     |  |  |  | Clause modified as under:   |
| 14. | 108 | TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF<br>VPN<br>MODULES | VPN concentrator must support 6<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC performance and 10000<br>IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case<br>of an external box, The VPN<br>concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at<br>least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 4 no.<br>of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1. | All the East-West and North-South<br>traffic would travel through the Core<br>Firewall. So the Core Firewall need to<br>be connected to the backbone<br>network using high speed interfaces.<br>Kindly revisit the interface requirement<br>(6 x 10 GigE and 6 x 1GigE) to 8 x 10<br>GigE and 2 x 40 GigE ports. | VPN concentrator must support 10<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full<br>loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day<br>1. In case of an external box, The<br>VPN concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at least<br>6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10<br>G interface (SFP) from Day1.<br>VPN concentrator should have<br>capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC<br>fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any<br>point of time (at start, re-start or<br>throughout the day) |
| 15. | 109 | TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF<br>VPN<br>MODULES | 8000 IPSec VPN tunnels   | Under clause 19, 10,000 VPN tunnel is asked for.   | Clause modified as under:<br>10000 IPSec VPN tunnels  |

|     |     |  |  |  | Clause modified as under:   |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|---|
| 16. | 109 | TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF<br>VPN<br>MODULES                                     | VPN modules should have<br>hardware encryption capabilities<br>with a minimum throughput of 6<br>Gbp   | As per tender specification the VPN<br>concentrator need to support at least<br>10,000 VPN tunnels and crypto<br>throughput is only 6 Gbps. So per tunnel<br>throughput is only around 600 Kbps,<br>which would be limiting factor for<br>smooth operation of the branch. So we<br>request you to revisit the crypto<br>throughput requirement and we<br>believe it should be at least 50 Gbps for<br>large frame so that each tunnel can<br>deliver 5 Mbps of throughput. | VPN concentrator must support 10<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full<br>loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day<br>1. In case of an external box, The<br>VPN concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at least<br>6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10<br>G interface (SFP) from Day1.<br>VPN concentrator should have<br>capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC<br>fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any<br>point of time (at start, re-start or<br>throughout the day) |
| 17. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Firewall should have at least 6 no.<br>of 10 GE ports SFP port and 6 no, 1<br>Gbps Ethernet port   | All the East-West and North-South<br>traffic would travel through the Core<br>Firewall. So the Core Firewall need to<br>be connected to the backbone<br>network using high speed interfaces.<br>Kindly revisit the interface requirement<br>(6 x 10 GigE and 6 x 1GigE) to 8 x 10<br>GigE and 2 x 40 GigE ports.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should have at least 6 no.<br>of 10 G ports SFP port and 4 nos. of<br>40G/100 G port and 2 nos. 1 Gbps<br>Ethernet port.  |
| 18. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput<br>100 Gbps after enabling all<br>function like IPS, QoS, malware<br>protection and Anti-virus | The Anti-virus protection is usually taken<br>care at the Internet Gateway level and<br>would not be required at the Core<br>Firewall which would be deployed at<br>server farm zone. So requesting to<br>change "Firewall performance should<br>be minimum real world throughput 100<br>Gbps after enabling all function like IPS,<br>QoS, malware protection and Anti-   | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 60<br>Gbps after enabling the IPS, QoS,<br>malware protection function.<br>However bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.   |

|     |     |  |   | virus" to "Firewall performance should<br>be minimum real world throughput 100<br>Gbps after enabling all function like IPS,<br>QoS, and malware protection".  |   |
|-----|-----|--|---|--|---|
| 19. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR                             | Firewall should support minimum<br>1000,0000 concurrent<br>connections<br>Firewall should support minimum<br>200000 new connections per<br>second (cps) | Minimum concurrent connections and<br>connections per second asked are<br>only 1, 000,0000 and 200,000<br>respectively. For such a critical firewall<br>Minimum concurrent connections and<br>connections per second should be<br>10,000,0000 and 1,000,000<br>respectively.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall with IPS features should<br>support minimum 2,00,00,000<br>concurrent connections<br>Firewall with IPS features should<br>support minimum 10,00,000 new<br>connections per second (cps) |
| 20. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>Type-2<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability   | The functional requirement of the<br>Internet Firewall can be addressed<br>using fixed configuration firewall.<br>Requesting to allowing fixed<br>configuration firewall along with<br>Chassis based & modular architecture.<br>Kindly revisit the interface requirement<br>(6 x 10 GigE and 6 x 1GigE) to 8 x 1/10<br>GigE ports. | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.   |
| 21. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR           | deliver VPN throughput minimum<br>300 Mbps  | Kindly revisit the VPN throughput<br>requirement.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 22. | 9   | eligibility<br>Criteria   | Additional Clause  | To have best quality product for your<br>esteemed organization, we request<br>you to consider products that belongs<br>to the Leaders quadrant of the latest<br>Gartner Magic Quadrant for Data<br>Center Networking. | Not admissible  |
|-----|-----|---|--|---|---|
| 23. | 9   | eligibility<br>Criteria   | Bidder should have positive net<br>worth for last three financial years<br>(2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19).   | Please accept credentials of Parent<br>company as well or consider the<br>Bidders Positive Profitability in any two<br>financial years (2017-18, 2018-19) out<br>of three.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 24. | 114 | 2. PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.  | Modular or Fixed architecture for<br>scalability & other than Checkpoint<br>OEM.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.   |
| 25. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR  | Firewall should have at least 6 no.<br>of 10 GE ports SFP port and 6 no, 1<br>Gbps Ethernet port   | Firewall should have at least 4 x<br>100/1000/10G Cu, 16 x 1G/10G SFP/<br>SFP+ populated with 6 x 10 G optical<br>transceivers and 4 x 40G/100G QSFP28<br>ports from day one  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should have at least 6 no.<br>of 10 G ports SFP port and 4 nos. of<br>40G/100 G port and 2 nos. 1 Gbps<br>Ethernet port.  |
| 26. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L                                    | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput<br>100 Gbps after enabling all<br>function like IPS, QoS, malware<br>protection and Anti-virus | Minimum Next Generation Threat<br>prevention throughput in real<br>world/production environment (by<br>enabling and measured with<br>Application-ID/AVC, User-ID/Agent-ID,<br>NGIPS, Anti-Virus, Anti-Spyware, Anti-  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 60<br>Gbps after enabling the IPS, QoS,<br>malware protection function.<br>However bidder can quote higher |

|     |     | REQUIREM  |                                   | Bot, Zero-day attacks and all other      | specification to meet the SLA &   |
|-----|-----|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
|     |     | ents at   |                                   | security threat prevention features      | uptime.                           |
|     |     | DC & DR   |                                   | enabled with 64 K HTTP transactions size |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | and traffic mix such as HTTPS, SMTP and  |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | other protocols and logging enabled)-    |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | 33 Gbps. The bidder shall submit the     |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | performance test report from Global      |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | Product Engineering department /         |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | Global Testing Department/ Global        |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | POC team of OEM to certify the           |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   | mentioned performance.                   |                                   |
|     |     | 2 PAIR OF |                                   |  | Clause modified as under:         |
|     |     |           |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | TYPE 1    | Firewall should support minimum   | Firewall should support minimum          | Firewall with IPS features should |
| 27. | 114 | TECHNICA  | 1000 0000 concurrent              | 8 000 000 concurrent connections with    | support minimum 2,00,00,000       |
|     |     | L         |                                   | Laver 7 inspection enabled               | concurrent connections. However   |
|     |     | REQUIREM  |                                   |  | bidder can quote higher           |
|     |     | ents at   |                                   |  | specification to meet the SLA &   |
|     |     | DC & DR   |                                   |  | uptime.                           |
|     |     | 2 PAIR OF |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | CORE      |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | FIREWALL  | Proposed solution should have     |  |                                   |
|     |     | TYPE 1    | automatic bypass for IPS in case  |  |                                   |
| 28. | 114 | TECHNICA  | ot performance suffer beyond      | Request to remove this clause            | Clause stands as per RFP          |
|     |     |           | defined administrative threshold  |  |                                   |
|     |     |           | or IPS function/engine falls      |  |                                   |
|     |     |           |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | 2 PAIR OF |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | CORE      |                                   |  |                                   |
|     | 114 | FIREWALL  | IPS should have the functionality | Request to remove this clause. Same      |                                   |
| 29. | 114 | TYPE 1    | of Software Fail Open.            | has been asked in clause 138             | Clause is self-explanatory        |
|     |     | TECHNICA  |                                   |  |                                   |
|     |     | L         |                                   |  |                                   |

|     |     | REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR   |   |  |   |
|-----|-----|--|---|--|---|
| 30. | 114 | 2 PAIR OF<br>CORE<br>FIREWALL<br>TYPE 1<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR                   | IPS Software Fail Open<br>functionality can be defined in<br>terms Gateway Threshold of<br>Memory or CPU and should have<br>an option to trigger the mail if<br>required. | Request to remove this clause. Same<br>has been asked in clause 138 and 139  | Clause is self-explanatory  |
| 31. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability   | Modular or Fixed architecture for scalability  | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM. |
| 32. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Firewall should have at least 6 no.<br>of 1 GE ports and 6 no of 10 G<br>fiber port   | Firewall should have at least 12 x<br>100/1000 Cu, 8 x 1G/10G SFP/ SFP+<br>populated with 6 x 10 G optical<br>transceivers and 4 x 40G QSFP+ ports<br>from day one | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 33. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 20<br>Gbps after enabling all function<br>like IPS, QoS, and malware<br>protection.                                   | Minimum Next Generation Threat<br>prevention throughput in real<br>world/production environment (by<br>enabling and measured with<br>Application-ID/AVC, User-ID/Agent-ID,<br>NGIPS, Anti-Virus, Anti-Spyware, Anti-<br>Bot, Zero-day attacks and all other<br>security threat prevention features<br>enabled with 64 K HTTP transactions<br>size and traffic mix such as HTTPS, SMTP<br>and other protocols and logging<br>enabled)– 4.5 Gbps. The bidder shall<br>submit the performance test report<br>from Global Product Engineering<br>department / Global Testing<br>Department / Global POC team of<br>OEM to certify the mentioned<br>performance. | Clause stands as per RFP |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| 34. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Firewall should support minimum<br>500,0000 concurrent connections  | Firewall should support minimum<br>300,0000 concurrent connections with<br>Layer 7 inspection enabled   | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 35. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L                                   | The proposed device should have<br>Intrusion prevention sensors<br>delivering a minimum of 10 Gbps<br>of context-aware , real-world<br>traffic inspection (enabling all<br>functions) | Request to remove this clause as TP<br>throughput has been asked in clause<br>no 8  | Clause stands as per RFP |

|     |     | REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR   |   |  |                          |
|-----|-----|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| 36. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Proposed IPS should support a<br>minimum of average inspection<br>throughput of 10 Gbps   | Request to remove this clause as TP<br>throughput has been asked in clause<br>no 8. Also the same has been asked in<br>clause no 125 | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 37. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | IPS must support a minimum of 5<br>million concurrent connections   | Request to remove this clause as<br>required concurrent connections for<br>NGFW has been asked in clause no<br>10.                   | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 38. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | Proposed solution should have<br>automatic bypass for IPS in case<br>of performance suffer beyond<br>defined administrative threshold<br>or IPS function/engine fails | Request to remove this clause  | Clause stands as per RFP |

| 39. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | IPS should have the functionality<br>of Software Fail Open.  | Request to remove this clause. Same<br>has been asked in clause 140   | Clause is self-explanatory   |
|-----|-----|--|--|---|--|
| 40. | 125 | 3 PAIR OF<br>INTERNET &<br>EXTRANET<br>FACING<br>FIREWALL<br>TECHNICA<br>L<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS AT<br>DC & DR | IPS Software Fail Open<br>functionality can be defined in<br>terms Gateway Threshold of<br>Memory or CPU and should have<br>an option to trigger the mail if<br>required.  | Request to remove this clause. Same<br>has been asked in clause 140 and 141   | Clause is self-explanatory   |
| 41. | 83  | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Architectu<br>re.                        | The Solution should support logical<br>device separation for each<br>individual server farm<br>infrastructure at zone level (No<br>Virtualization / No Virtual Chassis<br>/Stacking), Zone details as<br>per current setup (3 zones - Non-<br>prod servers, Tandem and CBS). It<br>should support minimum 16 zones | Request to please change this as "The<br>Solution should support logical device<br>separation for each individual server<br>farm infrastructure at zone level (No<br>Virtualization / No Virtual Chassis<br>/Stacking), Zone details as per current<br>setup (3 zones - Non-prod servers,<br>Tandem and CBS). It should support<br>minimum 8 zones". So that leader OEM<br>can participate. | Clause modified as under:<br>The Solution should support logical<br>device separation for each<br>individual server farm infrastructure<br>at zone level (No Virtualization / No<br>Virtual Chassis /Stacking), Zone<br>details as<br>per current setup (3 zones - Non-<br>prod servers, Tandem and CBS). It<br>should support minimum 8 zones |
| 42. | 83  | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme   | Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to<br>Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100   | Requesting the clarity, as the asked<br>port count is very high respect to day-<br>1 requirement. Also scalability is very<br>much higher than the requirement.<br>Request to change this as "Spine   | Clause modified as under:<br>Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to  |

| 45. | 84 | nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce.<br>Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Port | 48 no. of 40G/100G QSFP based<br>Fiber ports per switch  | scalability than present requirement.<br>Request to please change this as"32<br>no. of 40G/100G QSFP based Fiber<br>ports per switch"   | uptime.<br>Minimum 32 no. of 40G/100G QSFP<br>based Fiber ports per switch,<br>however bidder can quote higher<br>nos. to meet the SLA & uptime  |
|-----|----|--|--|---|--|
| 44. | 83 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme   | Switch must support at least 48 or<br>more wire-speed 40/100 GBE<br>ports.   | Request to please change this as<br>"Switch must support at least 32 or<br>more wire-speed 40/100 GBE ports<br>from day-1".This is sufficient with 4x   | Switch must support at least 32 or<br>more wire-speed 40/100 GBE ports.<br>However bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &  |
| 43. | 83 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce.                       | Should support minimum 5 Tbps<br>switching capacity/throughput or<br>more  | Compare to switch scalability the<br>asking throughput is on lower side<br>.Request to please change this as<br>"Should support minimum 14 Tbsp.<br>switching capacity/throughput or<br>more". This will ensure better ROI and<br>no further investment for fabric<br>upgradation or replacement.                                       | It is clarified that minimum<br>switching capacity/throughput is 5<br>Tbps however bidder can quote<br>higher switching capacity/<br>throughput to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.   |
|     |    | nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce.   | G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have 200 nos. of line<br>rate 40G/100G ports scalable to<br>128 nos with consideration of leaf<br>to SPINE over subscription ration of<br>6:1 | Switches must have adequate<br>number of line rate 40G/100G ports to<br>support desired Leaf Scale. Each Leaf<br>connects to Each Spine using<br>minimum 1 x 100 G ports connectivity<br>i.e. Each Spine must have 120. of line<br>rate 40G/100G ports scalability<br>consideration of leaf to SPINE over<br>subscription ration of 3:1 | Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100<br>G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have minimum 32 nos.<br>of line rate 40G/100G ports<br>minimum scalable to 64 nos. with<br>consideration of leaf to SPINE over<br>subscription ratio of 3:1 or more |

|     |    | redundan     |                                    |  |                                    |
|-----|----|--------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|     |    | су           |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    |              |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Annexure     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | – XVI,       |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Spine        |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Switch       |                                    | Request to please change this as         |                                    |
|     |    | Requireme    |                                    | "Switch should support Graceful          | This is the minimum technical      |
| 46. | 84 | nts, under   | Switch should support Graceful     | Restart for OSPF. BGP.IS-IS.MPLS from    | requirement however bidder may     |
|     |    | Switch       | Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.         | day-1. This will ensure no further       | offer more functionalities to meet |
|     |    | Hardware     |                                    | investment and better ROI.               | the SLA within the quoted cost.    |
|     |    | features     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | and Hiah     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | availability |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Annexure     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | – XVI,       |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Spine        |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Switch       |                                    | Request to please change this as "The    |                                    |
|     |    | Requireme    | The Proposed switch should         | Proposed switch should support FCOE      | ine Proposed switch should         |
| 47. | 84 | nts, under   | support FCOE (Desirable) and       | (Desirable) and DCB center bridging      | support FCOE and DCB center        |
|     |    | Switch       | DCB center bridging feature.       | feature. From day-1".This will ensure no | bridging rediore from the date of  |
|     |    | Hardware     |                                    | further investment and better ROI.       |                                    |
|     |    | features     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | and High     |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | availability |                                    |  |                                    |
|     |    | Annexure     |                                    | Request to please change this as"32      |                                    |
|     |    | – XVI,       | Each SPINE switch must connect     | no. of 40G/100G QSFP based Fiber         |                                    |
|     |    | Spine        | with each Super Spine Switch       | ports per switch "Each SPINE switch      |                                    |
|     |    | Switch       | using 40/100G uplinks while        | must connect with each Super Spine       |                                    |
| 48. | 84 | Requireme    | maintaining the desired (6:1) over | Switch using 40/100G uplinks while       | Clause stands deleted.             |
|     |    | nts, under   | subscription ratio. Each Super     | maintaining the desired (3:1) over       |                                    |
|     |    | Switch       | Spine Should connect to each       | subscription ratio. Each Super Spine     |                                    |
|     |    | Hardware     | DMZ Switch with 40/100G            | Should connect to each DMZ Switch        |                                    |
|     |    | features     |                                    | with 40/100G".                           |                                    |

|     |    | and High  |   |  |   |
|-----|----|---|---|--|---|
|     |    | availability  |   |  |   |
|     |    |   |   |  |   |
|     |    | Annexure  |   | Pequest to please chapge this as "The  |   |
| 49. | 84 | Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Scalability   | The proposed switch should<br>support minimum 90K MAC<br>address table entries. | proposed switch should support<br>minimum 120K MAC address table<br>entries". To ensure better capacity as<br>this Spine switch.   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 50. | 85 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Resilient<br>Control<br>Plane | Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU   | Request to please remove/change as<br>"Minimum Quad Core processor".x86 is<br>relevant for server not switch.<br>Requesting the clarity.   | Clause modified as under :<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or<br>equivalent. |
| 51. | 85 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Resilient<br>Control<br>Plane | Minimum 8GB Flash   | The architecture and technology<br>differs from OEM to OEMs and RAM<br>and FLASH size not related to<br>performance of the switch. 8GB RAM<br>and 1GB flash are sufficient to store<br>the IOS, Configuration files and Log<br>files in HPE switches without any<br>degradation of performance because<br>all the features, application and<br>protocols supports from day one. No<br>additional licenses are required for<br>upgradation for features and<br>protocols. Request you to modify the<br>clause so that leading OEM can<br>participate. | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 52. | 86 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 3<br>Switch<br>features  | Must support 100K or more IPv6<br>Unicast entries   | Request to please change this as<br>"Must support minimum 60K or more<br>IPv6 Unicast entries". So that leading<br>OEM can participate. Request to<br>relax. | Clause stands as per RFP  |
|-----|----|--|---|--|---|
| 53. | 86 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, ,under<br>Layer 3<br>Switch<br>features | Must support 10K IPv4 Multicast<br>entries  | Request to please change this as<br>"Must support 8K IPv4 Multicast<br>entries". So that leading OEM can<br>participate. Request to relax.                   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 54. | 86 | Annexure<br>– XVI,<br>Spine<br>Switch<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 3<br>Switch<br>features  | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>Redundancy  | Request to please modify as "Must<br>support 16-way ECMP routing for load<br>balancing and Redundancy". So that<br>leading OEM can participate.              | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.   |
| 55. | 91 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce         | The switch should support 200,000<br>IPv4 and IPv6 routes entries in the<br>routing table including multicast<br>routes | Request to please modify this as "The<br>switch should support 120,000 IPv4 and<br>IPv6 routes entries in the routing table<br>including multicast routes    | Clause modified as under:<br>The switch should support minimum<br>1,00,000 IPv4 and IPv6 routes<br>entries in the routing table<br>including multicast<br>routes. However bidder can quote<br>higher specification to meet the<br>SLA & uptime. |

| 56. | 91 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce  | Minimum Multicast Routing table –<br>10000   | Request to please change this as<br>"Minimum Multicast Routing table –<br>8000"   | Clause modified as under:<br>Minimum Multicast Routing table –<br>8000. However bidder can quote<br>higher specification to meet the<br>SLA & uptime. |
|-----|----|---|--|---|---|
| 57. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Switch<br>Hardware<br>features<br>and High<br>availability | Switch should support Graceful<br>Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.                               | Request to please change this as<br>"Switch should support Graceful<br>Restart for OSPF, BGP,IS-IS,MPLS from<br>day-1.This will ensure no further<br>investment and better ROI.                       | This is the minimum technical<br>requirement however bidder may<br>offer more functionalities to meet<br>the SLA within the quoted cost.              |
| 58. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Switch<br>Hardware<br>features<br>and High<br>availability | The Proposed switch should<br>support FCOE (Desirable) and<br>DCB center bridging feature. | Request to please change this as "The<br>Proposed switch should support FCOE<br>(Desirable) and DCB center bridging<br>feature. From day-1".This will ensure no<br>further investment and better ROI. | The Proposed switch should<br>support FCOE and DCB center<br>bridging feature from the date of<br>implementation.                                     |
| 59. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme   | The proposed switch should<br>support minimum 90K MAC<br>address table entries.            | Request to please change this as "The<br>proposed switch should support<br>minimum 100K MAC address table<br>entries". To ensure better capacity as<br>this Spine switch.                             | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|     |    | nts, under<br>Scalability   |                                |  |  |
|-----|----|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 60. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Control<br>Plane | Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU      | Request to please remove/change as<br>"Minimum Quad Core processor".x86 is<br>relevant for server not switch.<br>Requesting the clarity.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or<br>equivalent  |
| 61. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Control<br>Plane | Minimum 4GB Flash              | The architecture and technology<br>differs from OEM to OEMs and RAM<br>and FLASH size not related to<br>performance of the switch. 8GB RAM<br>and 1GB flash are sufficient to store<br>the IOS, Configuration files and Log<br>files in HPE switches without any<br>degradation of performance because<br>all the features, application and<br>protocols supports from day one. No<br>additional licenses are required for<br>upgradation for features and<br>protocols. Request you to modify the<br>clause so that leading OEM can<br>participate. | It is clarified that minimum 4GB<br>Flash is required however bidder<br>can quote higher flash memory to<br>meet the SLA & uptime. |
| 62. | 92 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 2          | Must support minimum 4096 VLAN | Request to please change this as<br>"Must support minimum 4094 VLAN".  | Clause modified as under:<br>Must support minimum 4094 VLAN  |

|     |    | Switch  |   |   |   |
|-----|----|---|---|---|---|
|     |    | features  |   |   |   |
|     |    | Annexure  |   |   |   |
| 63. | 93 | - XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Multi-Cast                       | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static<br>RP   | Request to remove this clause, not relevant for Bank environment.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static<br>RP (optional)   |
| 64. | 93 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, Layer<br>3 Switch<br>features | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>Redundancy  | Request to please modify as "Must<br>support 8-way ECMP routing for load<br>balancing and redundancy". So that<br>leading OEM can participate.                                  | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.   |
| 65. | 97 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Performan<br>ce       | The switch should support 200,000<br>IPv4 and IPv6 routes entries in the<br>routing table including multicast<br>routes | Request to please modify this as "The<br>switch should support 150,000 IPv4 and<br>IPv6 routes entries in the routing table<br>including multicast routes                       | Clause modified as under:<br>The switch should support minimum<br>1,00,000 IPv4 and IPv6 routes<br>entries in the routing table<br>including multicast<br>routes. However bidder can quote<br>higher specification to meet the<br>SLA & uptime. |
| 66. | 98 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Switch<br>Hardware    | Switch should support Graceful<br>Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.  | Request to please change this as<br>"Switch should support Graceful<br>Restart for OSPF, BGP,IS-IS,MPLS from<br>day-1.This will ensure no further<br>investment and better ROI. | This is the minimum technical<br>requirement however bidder may<br>offer more functionalities to meet<br>the SLA within the quoted cost.  |

|     |    | features<br>and High<br>availability   |  |   |  |
|-----|----|--|--|---|--|
| 67. | 98 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Switch<br>Hardware<br>features<br>and High<br>availability | The Proposed switch should<br>support FCOE (Desirable) and<br>DCB center bridging feature. | Request to please change this as "The<br>Proposed switch should support FCOE<br>(Desirable) and DCB center bridging<br>feature. From day-1".This will ensure no<br>further investment abd better ROI. | The Proposed switch should<br>support FCOE and DCB center<br>bridging feature from the date of<br>implementation.                  |
| 68. | 98 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Scalability  | The proposed switch should<br>support minimum 90K MAC<br>address table entries.            | Request to please change this as "The<br>proposed switch should support<br>minimum 100K MAC address table<br>entries". To ensure better capacity as<br>this Spine switch.                             | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 69. | 99 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Control<br>Plane   | Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU  | Request to please remove/change as<br>"Minimum Quad Core processor".x86 is<br>relevant for server not switch.<br>Requesting the clarity.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or<br>equivalent  |
| 70. | 99 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme   | Minimum 4GB Flash  | The architecture and technology<br>differs from OEM to OEMs and RAM<br>and FLASH size not related to<br>performance of the switch. 8GB RAM<br>and 1GB flash are sufficient to store                   | It is clarified that minimum 4GB<br>Flash is required however bidder<br>can quote higher flash memory to<br>meet the SLA & uptime. |

|     |     | nts, under<br>Control<br>Plane   |  | the IOS, Configuration files and Log<br>files in HPE switches without any<br>degradation of performance because<br>all the features, application and<br>protocols supports from day one. No<br>additional licenses are required for<br>upgradation for features and |   |
|-----|-----|--|--|---|---|
|     |     |  |  | protocols. Request you to modify the<br>clause so that leading OEM can<br>participate.  |   |
| 71. | 99  | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 2<br>Switch<br>features  | Must support minimum 4096 VLAN                 | Request to please change this as<br>"Must support minimum 4094 VLAN".   | Clause modified as under:<br>Must support minimum 4094 VLAN                     |
| 72. | 100 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(Fiber)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Multi-Cast                     | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static<br>RP        | Request to remove this clause, not relevant for Bank environment.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static<br>RP (optional) |
| 73. | 92  | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 2<br>Switch<br>features | Minimum Number of MAC<br>addresses entries 90K | Request to please change this as<br>"Minimum Number of MAC addresses<br>entries 100K".To ensure better capacity<br>as this Spine switch.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 74. | 100 | Annexure<br>– XVI,LEAF<br>SWITCH<br>(COPPER)<br>Requireme<br>nts, under<br>Layer 3<br>Switch<br>features | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>Redundancy  | Request to please modify as "Must<br>support 8-way ECMP routing for load<br>balancing and redundancy". So that<br>leading OEM can participate.  | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|
| 75. | 104 | Annexure<br>–<br>XVI,TECHNI<br>CAL<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF L3<br>SWITCH,                                  | Switch should have minimum 300<br>Gbps Switching capacity all the<br>services enabled on switch   | Request to please change this as<br>"Switch should have minimum 176<br>Gbps Switching capacity all<br>the services enabled on switch". As<br>per non-blocking architecture<br>calculation (48x2+80 Gbps).This is<br>standard calculation.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Switch should have minimum 170<br>Gbps Switching capacity all the<br>services enabled on switch  |
| 76. | 105 | Annexure<br>–<br>XVI,TECHNI<br>CAL<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF L3<br>SWITCH,                                  | Must support Layer2 Ping and<br>Layer 2 Trace route for<br>connectivity and Fault<br>Management Must support<br>multicast Trace route.  | This is restrictive; Request to please<br>change this as "Must support Layer2<br>/Layer 3 Ping and Layer 2/Layer 3<br>Trace route for connectivity and Fault<br>Management Must support multicast<br>Tracer out .Layer 3 is latest and can<br>cross WAN boundary which is more<br>effective.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Must support Layer2 /Layer 3 Ping<br>and Layer 2/Layer 3 Trace route for<br>connectivity and Fault<br>Management Must support<br>multicast Trace route.            |
| 77. | 105 | Annexure<br>–<br>XVI,TECHNI<br>CAL<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF L3<br>SWITCH,                                  | Must support minimum 128K IPv4<br>Unicast entries<br>Must support minimum 64K or<br>more IPv6 Unicast entries Must<br>support minimum 8K IPv4 Multicast<br>entries Must support minimum 8K<br>ACL<br>Must support basic layer-3 routing<br>– static routes, BGP, OSPF, ISIS VRF:<br>VRF-lite (IP VPN), VRF-aware<br>unicast (BGP, OSPF, and RIP), | This is restrictive. Layer 3 switch is<br>required for management only amd<br>the specification has been asked with<br>very much higher than the<br>requirement. Request to modify as<br>"Must support minimum 32K IPv4<br>Unicast entries<br>Must support minimum 16K or more<br>IPv6 Unicast entries<br>Must support minimum 2K IPv4<br>Multicast entries | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 81. | 33  | Scope of<br>Work Point<br>41   | DC Fabric should support both<br>IPv4 and IPv6 from day one. At<br>present, IPv6 is not implemented<br>in Bank's Network. Bidder need to<br>implement IPv6 without<br>any additional cost whenever<br>Bank decides to implement. | IPV6 implementation would require a<br>complete redesigning of the Network<br>infrastructure and a separate Scope<br>and commercials would be required<br>for the same. Hence we would<br>request the bank to modify the clause.  | Clause modified as under:<br>DC Fabric should support both IPv4<br>and IPv6 from day one. At present,<br>IPv6 is not implemented in Bank's<br>Network. Bidder need to<br>implement IPv6 on proposed<br>devices in this RFP without<br>any additional cost whenever<br>Bank decides to implement. |
|-----|-----|--|--|---|--|
| 80. | 31  | Scope of<br>Work Point<br>21   | Supporting IS audit, VA & PT and closure of identified vulnerabilities.  | As the RFP does not has any tool for<br>VA, the task of onsite engineers should<br>be for the closure of any vulnerabilities<br>found in the Device/Software asked in<br>the RFP. Need more clarity on it   | The successful bidder will be responsible for providing solution for closure of vulnerabilities.   |
| 79. | 31  | Scope of<br>Work Point<br>21   | Preparation and processing of<br>Change requests as per the<br>requirement of the Bank.  | How the change requests will be raised. Does the bank has an ITSM tool.   | Shall be shared with successful bidder.  |
| 78. | 105 | Annexure<br>–<br>XVI,TECHNI<br>CAL<br>REQUIREM<br>ENTS OF L3<br>SWITCH | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>Redundancy   | Request to please modify as "Must<br>support 8-way ECMP routing for load<br>balancing and redundancy". So that<br>leading OEM can participate.  | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.  |
|     |     |  | and VRF-aware multicast.<br>Must support VRRP or equivalent<br>Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy  | Must support minimum 2K ACL<br>Must support basic layer-3 routing –<br>static routes, BGP, OSPF, ISIS VRF: VRF-<br>lite (IP VPN), VRF-aware unicast (BGP,<br>OSPF, and RIP), and VRF-aware<br>multicast from day-1.<br>Must support VRRP or equivalent. Must<br>support 8-way ECMP routing for load<br>balancing and redundancy. Which is<br>sufficient for this scope. |  |

| 82. | 36 | Scope of<br>Work<br>//Firewall<br>Point T    | The Firewall should have the<br>ability to integrate seamlessly with<br>Active Directory, proposed PIM<br>tool to provide complete user<br>identification and enable<br>application-based policy<br>definition per user or group.   | The Firewall policies can be<br>configured to provide application<br>control over custom ports as well. The<br>Firewall will be integrated with Active<br>directory to provide granularity of<br>who, what and when can access a<br>certain application.<br>Request the bank to please modify<br>the clause as<br>"The Firewall should have the ability to<br>integrate seamlessly with Active<br>Directory to provide complete user<br>identification and enable application-<br>based policy definition per user or<br>group."  | Clause modified as under:<br>The Firewall should have the ability<br>to integrate seamlessly with Active<br>Directory to provide complete user<br>identification and enable<br>application-based policy<br>definition per user or group.  |
|-----|----|--|---|---|---|
| 83. | 38 | Delivery<br>and<br>Installation<br>//Point A | The successful bidder should<br>complete the entire project<br>(delivery, Installation,<br>configuration of proposed DC<br>fabric, Firewall, VPN devices,<br>Switches and integration with<br>existing network architecture)<br>within 8 weeks (for all locations)<br>from the issuance of Purchase<br>order. | The timeline of 8 weeks to complete<br>the Delivery, Installation and<br>Configuration of all Hardware on all<br>locations is quiet less as the Delivery<br>itself will take 8 Weeks by the OEM. In<br>order to implement the project fully<br>there will be dependencies on<br>different teams and devices to be<br>readily configured. the stages of<br>Design Workshop, Design freezing, LLD<br>creation would require the SI, Bank<br>and OEM to give the final output and<br>commence the configuration.<br>Hence we request the bank to modify<br>the timeline to 32 weeks (for all<br>locations) from the issuance of<br>Purchase order. | Clause modified as under:<br>The successful bidder should<br>complete the entire project<br>(delivery, Installation, configuration<br>of proposed DC fabric, Firewall,<br>VPN devices,<br>Switches and integration with<br>existing network architecture)<br>within 12 weeks (for all locations)<br>from the issuance of Purchase<br>order. |

| 84. | 34 | Spine<br>Switch//Po<br>int 1                     | Switch each with minimum 48 nos.<br>Slot based switches along with<br>fibre SFPs. Fibre ports should<br>support 10G/40g/100G port<br>capacity. Same slots of<br>switches should also be<br>compatible for copper based<br>SFPs which should support<br>100M/1000M port capacity.<br>Switch should work in High<br>Availability (HA) Active-Active<br>mode. | 100/1000M SFP port is compatible over<br>10G/40G/100G module only with QSA<br>module. Kindly specify if the same<br>module is required and what would<br>be the quantity.                     | Clause modified as under:<br>Each Switch should have minimum<br>32 nos. of ports along with fiber SFPs<br>module. Fiber ports should support<br>10G/40g/100G port capacity.<br>Same slots of switches should also<br>be compatible for copper based<br>SFPs which should support<br>100M/1000M port capacity. Switch<br>should work in High Availability<br>(HA) Active-Active mode. |
|-----|----|--|--|---|--|
| 85. | 33 | Spine<br>Switch//Po<br>int 44                    | Bank existing servers will be<br>connected with leaf switch<br>(copper) with 1G port &<br>upcoming new server will be<br>connected with leaf switch (fibre)<br>with 10G fibre port. All said servers<br>should have the dual connectivity<br>with two separate leaf<br>switches for redundancy.  | The SFP ports on servers should be<br>compatible with the one bidder will<br>proposed on leaf switches hence UCO<br>bank has to consider the same while<br>procuring the SFP for the servers. | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 86. | 33 | PART –IV<br>Scope of<br>work//Poin<br>† 45       | Latency proposed network<br>architecture should be less than 1<br>ms   | What is the parameters and reference point to calculate the latency   | Clause modified as under:<br>The latency in-between proposed<br>leaf, spine and Type-1 core firewall<br>should be less than 1 Microsecond<br>at maximum load.  |
| 87. | 35 | Leaf<br>Switch<br>(Fiber<br>Switch)//P<br>oint 1 | Switch each with minimum 48 nos.<br>Slot based switches along with<br>fiber SFPs. Fiber ports should<br>support 1G/10G port capacity.<br>Same slots of switches<br>should also be compatible for<br>copper based SFPs which should<br>support 100M/1000M/ 10000M<br>port capacity. Switch should work  | Which type of transceiver is required<br>since it has been mentioned 1/10G.<br>Need clarification.  | All ports of proposed leaf & spine<br>switches should have SFP-SR<br>module.   |

|     |    |                                      | in High Availability (HA) Active-  |  |  |
|-----|----|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|     |    |                                      | Active mode.   |  |  |
| 88. | 34 | Spine<br>Switch//Po<br>int 1         | Switch each with minimum 48 nos.<br>Slot based switches along with<br>fiber SFPs. Fiber ports should<br>support 10G/40g/100G port<br>capacity. Same slots of switches<br>should also be compatible for<br>copper based SFPs which should<br>support 100M/1000M port<br>capacity. Switch should work in | Since as per the RFP, we are<br>connecting 6 leafs per switch hence<br>need clarity do we have to populate<br>reaming ports with fiber transceiver<br>module and if so than which<br>transceiver is required<br>(10G/40G/100G)   | Clause modified as under:<br>Each Switch should have minimum<br>32 nos. of ports along with fiber SFPs<br>module. Fiber ports should support<br>10G/40g/100G port capacity.<br>Same slots of switches should also<br>be compatible for copper based<br>SFPs which should support<br>100M/1000M port capacity. Switch |
|     |    |                                      | Active mode.   |  | (HA) Active-Active mode.   |
| 89. | 34 | Spine<br>Switch//Po<br>int 1         | Switch should have 2 supervisor<br>engines per switch to have<br>redundancy at supervisor layer of<br>switch.  | Referring page no 34, point 37, it has<br>been mentioned "Spine switch either<br>Chassis based or fixed switch meeting<br>the port requirement mentioned<br>above with redundancy/high<br>availability for control and data plane<br>in each zone." But since as per the<br>mentioned clause here, redundant<br>supervisor has been asked. Hence<br>both the statements are contradicted<br>since no supervisor are available in<br>modular switches. Kindly remove the<br>clause at page no 34 point no 37. | Clause stands as per RFP.  |
| 90. | 4  | ELIGIBILITY<br>CRITERIA//<br>Point 4 | The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and<br>maintaining/maintained<br>proposed OEM's SDN / SDN ready<br>Data Centre Fabric of minimum 2<br>Spine switches & 8 Leaf switches,<br>VPN Concentrator, Firewall and L3   | We have implemented VPN for<br>multiple customer over the Cisco<br>Router acting as a concentrator along<br>with the other pieces as asked in the<br>RFP.<br>We would kindly ask the bank to   | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN   |

|     |    |  | Switches in minimum 2  | please consider the Purchase order for   | Concentrator, Firewall and L3   |
|-----|----|--|--|--|---|
|     |    |  | organizations out of PSBs / Private  | the same as the technology is VPN.   | Switches in minimum 2   |
|     |    |  | Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in  |  | organizations out of PSBs / Private   |
|     |    |  | India  |  | Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/   |
|     |    |  |  |  | PSUs/State data centers in India.   |
|     |    |  |  |  | Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation<br>certificate from existing |
| 91. | 4  | Page 4//<br>ELIGIBILITY<br>CRITERIA//<br>Point 4 |  | Kindly clarify if both the PO's submitted<br>should contain all the technologies or<br>DC fabric with other security<br>components of the same OEM will<br>suffice   | It should be either in a single PO or<br>in multiple POs from 2 organizations<br>out of PSBs / Private Sector Banks/<br>BSE / NPCI / RBI/ PSUs/State data<br>centers in India.  |
| 92. | 10 | eligibility<br>Criteria                          | The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining<br>/maintained proposed OEM's SDN<br>/ SDN ready Data Centre<br>Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in<br>India. | We request the honorable tendering<br>committee to include<br>PSU/Government under organizations.<br>Also request Bank to consider<br>proposed OEM only for switches and<br>leave aside VPN concentrator and<br>Firewalls. We are requesting the same<br>as all solutions as asked in your RFP,<br>from the same OEM in single PO is not<br>possible.<br>Also VPN concentrator is an old | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private   |

|     |   |                       | technology and providing<br>PO/completion for the same is not<br>possible as it comes by default with<br>NGFW for all the leading OEM's. | Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be   |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--|--|
|     |   |                       |  | running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation<br>certificate from existing<br>customer(s) to be submitted.  |
| 93. | 9 | Section 3,<br>Point 4 | Since this is a new technology with<br>limited adaptation, we request the<br>Bank to consider 1 reference under<br>implementation        | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum |

|     |    |                            |   |  | 1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation<br>certificate from existing<br>customer(s) to be submitted.   |
|-----|----|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| 94. | 10 | 3. Eligibility<br>Criteria | The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and<br>maintaining/maintained<br>proposed OEM's SDN / SDN ready<br>Data Centre Fabric of minimum 2<br>Spine switches & 8 Leaf switches,<br>VPN Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in<br>India. | Request Bank to modify as "The<br><b>bidder/OEM</b> must have supplied,<br>implemented and<br>maintaining/maintained proposed<br>OEM's SDN / SDN ready Data Centre<br>Fabric of minimum 2 Spine switches &<br>8 Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches in <b>minimum 1</b><br><b>organizations</b> out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in<br>India/Govt. Owned Bank, Financial<br>Institute" | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation<br>certificate from existing<br>customer(s) to be submitted. |

| 95. | 10 | 3.<br>ELIGIBILITY<br>CRITERIA |  | Request you to change the same as<br>"The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented or<br>maintaining/maintained SDN ready<br>Data Centre Fabric of minimum 8<br>Leaf/Spine Switches, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2 organizations<br>out of PSBs / Private Sector Banks/ BSE<br>/ NPCI / RBI /RRB/ State/Central Govt.<br>Organization in India." | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation<br>certificate from existing<br>customer(s) to be submitted. |
|-----|----|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 96. | 10 | Eligibility<br>Criteria       | The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and<br>maintaining/maintained<br>proposed OEM's SDN / SDN ready<br>Data Centre Fabric of minimum 2<br>Spine switches & 8 Leaf switches,<br>VPN Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private | Please change clause as any type of<br>SDN solution and for not just the<br>proposed OEM's SDN.  | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2   |

|     |   |   | Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in |  | organizations out of PSBs / Private   |
|-----|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
|     |   |   | India                             |  | Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/   |
|     |   |   |                                   |  | PSUs/State data centers in India.   |
|     |   |   |                                   |  | Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation  |
|     |   |   |                                   |  | customer(s) to be submitted   |
| 97. | 9 | Point No<br>03, SI No<br>04,<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria |                                   | The bidder must have minimum two<br>experience of supplied, implemented<br>and maintaining/maintained SDN /<br>SDN ready Data Centre Fabric of<br>minimum 2 Spine switches & 8 Leaf<br>switches, VPN Concentrator, Firewall<br>and L3 Switches in PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI /<br>RBI/Government Organization in<br>India. | Clause modified as under:<br>The bidder must have supplied,<br>implemented and maintaining /<br>maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3<br>Switches in minimum 2<br>organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.<br>Further, the proposed OEM<br>product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches &<br>Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator,<br>Firewall and L3 Switches should be |

|      |    |                                    |  |  | running as on RFP date in minimum<br>1 organizations out of PSBs / Private<br>Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.  |
|------|----|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
|      |    |                                    |  |  | Purchase order in name of bidderAndexecution/installationcertificatefromcustomer(s)to be submitted.   |
| 98.  |    | Annexure-<br>XI. P No<br>74        | FM Services 24x7x365 DC (1(Seat),<br>DR (1(Seat))  | Please clarify what means by 1 Seat at<br>DC & DR. How many engineers would<br>be present in each DC & DR location<br>to support 24X7X365? | At any point of time one source<br>should be available at DC & DR   |
| 99.  | 90 | Annexure<br>– XVI,                 | Offer product must not be End of<br>Life and Support for next 2 years<br>after expiry of 5 years of contract<br>period.  | Does this mean non EoS document<br>need to be provided for 7 years?  | Clause modified as under:<br>Offer product must not be End of<br>Life and Support for next 2 years<br>after expiry of 5 years of contract<br>period i.e. End of support or End of<br>life should be 7 years form the date<br>of PO. |
| 100. | 53 | SERVICE<br>LEVEL<br>AGREEME<br>NT, | Uptime for all Branches  | Please clarify the SoW related to the<br>branches hence activates related to<br>the DC & DR only.  | Please refer corrigendum  |
| 101. | 27 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK,              | The successful bidder should<br>provide support for all the<br>supplied devices on<br>24X7X365 basis and should<br>replace the equipment within 4<br>hours of time, in<br>case of any failure. (SLA penalty<br>applicable in case of default). | Is the penalty mentioned in this clause<br>related to Uptime calculation only?<br>Please clarify.  | Clause is self-explanatory  |

|      |    |  |   |  | Clause modified as under:   |
|------|----|--|---|--|---|
| 102. | 41 | CONTRAC<br>T PERIOD,                                   | SLA will cover performance and<br>availability of the solution<br>deployed for a period of Six years  | Please clarify why it has been<br>mentioned a Six (6) years where as<br>entire contract is for Five (5) yrs.   | SLA will cover performance and availability of the solution deployed for a period of five years.  |
| 103. |    | LIQUIDATE<br>D<br>DAMAGE,<br>P No. 55                  | If Bidder fails to commission the<br>link as per feasibility report (this<br>includes change of media) 10% of<br>the link cost will be deducted<br>from payment of other link or from<br>Performance Bank Guarantee<br>and bank will place the order to<br>any other selected bidder. | N.A as per RFP, please exclude the clause or give more clarity.  | Any delay in delivery/installation/<br>commissioning/shifting/upgradati<br>on of the device/equipment/<br>solution beyond the stipulated<br>time period as per clause no. 47,<br>Bank will charge penalty at 1 % of<br>the order value for that<br>device/equipment/implementatio<br>n cost per week or part thereof,<br>subject to a maximum of 10%. The<br>bank may at its discretion also<br>waive or reduce the penalty if the<br>reasons for delay are considered<br>to be justified. After elapsing of<br>stipulated time period including 12<br>Weeks Liquidated damages<br>period, if selected bidder fails to<br>implement, the order will be<br>deemed cancelled after imposing<br>necessary penalty amount & Bank<br>will deduct the same from<br>Performance Bank Guarantee or<br>from any outstanding payment. |
| 104. | 32 | PART –IV,<br>SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK,<br>Point No:<br>30, | 30. The successful bidder should<br>complete the entire project<br>(delivery, configuration, migration<br>of proposed DC fabric and<br>integration with existing<br>network architecture) within 8<br>weeks (for all locations) from the  | Requesting Bank to change the<br>delivery timeline as per below:<br>1) Delivery of the HW/SW/Lic will be 8<br>Weeks from the Date of Purchase<br>Order.<br>2) Installation of the supplied | Clause modified as under:<br>The successful bidder should<br>complete the entire project<br>(delivery, configuration, migration<br>of proposed DC fabric and<br>integration with existing   |

|      |    |   | issuance of Purchase order.  | HW/SW/Lic will be within 8 weeks from  | network architecture) within 12  |
|------|----|---|--|--|--|
|      |    |   | (Damages applicable in case of   | the Date of Delivery.  | weeks (for all locations) from the   |
|      |    |   | default).  |  | issuance of Purchase order.  |
|      |    |   |  |  | (Damages applicable in case of   |
|      |    |   |  |  | default).  |
| 105. | 32 | PART -IV,<br>SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK,<br>Point No:<br>31 | 31. It Bank decides to shift the<br>data center location, in case of<br>any exigencies, the bidder should<br>shift the equipments without any<br>additional cost to the Bank.<br>However, Bank will bear the<br>transportation cost, if any                                | Request Bank to keep this activity<br>outside the one time implementation<br>signoff. Also requesting Bank to<br>owning the shipment of the materials  | Clause stands as per RFP   |
|      |    |   |  |  | Clause modified as under:  |
| 106. | 34 | PART –IV,<br>SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK                     | 34. OEM onsite support should be<br>provided during the process of<br>network devices Installation and<br>Configuration of DC fabric and<br>for resolution of complaints<br>related to core components<br>during the contract period.                                      | Requesting Bank to clarify the same in details.  | If required, bidder should arrange<br>for OEM support during the process<br>of network devices Installation and<br>Configuration of DC fabric and for<br>resolution of complaints related to<br>core components during the<br>contract period. |
| 107. |    | DELIVERY<br>&<br>INSTALLATI<br>ON,                    | b) The bidder is responsible for<br>transit insurance, storage,<br>insurance upto installation at the<br>Bank side.  | Requesting bank to keep the<br>responsibility of the materials till<br>delivery at the Bank side.  | Clause modified as under:<br>b) The bidder is responsible for<br>transit insurance, storage,<br>insurance upto delivery at the<br>Bank side.   |
| 108. | 28 | PART –IV,<br>SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK,<br>Point No:<br>2, | 2. The bidder has to provide all<br>necessary hardware, software<br>and Network cabling (copper &<br>fiber) required to make the<br>solution work strictly as per<br>technical specifications. The<br>specifications given are minimum.<br>Bidders can quote equivalent or | <ul> <li>Requesting bank to share the followings:</li> <li>1) Technical specification of Passive cabling components.</li> <li>2) BoM for passive cabling to be incorporate.</li> <li>3) Distance between the racks where respective new active hardware to be</li> </ul> | Please refer corrigendum   |

|      |    |            | higher technical specifications to  | placed.                                  |  |
|------|----|------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|      |    |            | meet the Bank's requirements.       | 4) Requesting Bank to clarify whether    |  |
|      |    |            | However, no weightage would be      | bidder has to consider the passive       |  |
|      |    |            | given for higher configurations.    | cabling required between                 |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | existing/new servers to new supplied     |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | hardware connectivity.                   |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | Switch each with minimum 32 nos. Slot    |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | based switches along with fiber SFPs.    |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | Fiber ports should support 40g/100G      |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | port capacity. Switch should work in     |  |
|      |    |            | Switch each with minimum 48 nos.    | High Availability (HA) Active-Active     | Clause modified as under:              |
|      |    |            | Slot based switches along with      | mode.                                    | Each Switch should have minimum        |
|      |    |            | fiber SFPs. Fiber ports should      | We understand that the total number      | 32 nos. of ports along with fiber SFPs |
|      |    |            | support 10G/40g/100G port           | of leaf at DC is 8 as of now which can   | module. Fiber ports should support     |
|      |    | Snine      | capacity. Same slots of switches    | scale upto 32. 48 number of ports are    | 10G/40g/100G port capacity.            |
| 109. | 34 | Switch     | should also be compatible for       | specific to one OEM. Spine supposed      | Same slots of switches should also     |
|      |    | 30011011   | copper based SFPs which should      | to be used for the leaf connectivity     | be compatible for copper based         |
|      |    |            | support 100M/1000M port             | which should be high speed fabric        | SFPs which should support              |
|      |    |            | capacity. Switch should work in     | and things are connected on high         | 100M/1000M port capacity. Switch       |
|      |    |            | High Availability                   | speed ports. I do not see any scenario   | should work in High Availability       |
|      |    |            | (HA) Active-Active mode.            | where 1/10 G will be connected to        | (HA) Active-Active mode.               |
|      |    |            |                                     | spine directly. Every other thing apart  |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | from leaf should be connected to leaf    |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | only for industry best practices for     |  |
|      |    |            |                                     | spine leaf architecture.                 |  |
|      |    |            | The Solution should support logical |  | Clause modified as under:              |
|      |    |            | device separation for each          | We request you to please clarify the     |  |
|      |    |            | individual server farm              | architecture for better understanding    | The Solution should support logical    |
|      |    | Architectu | infrastructure at zone level (No    | of the solution. There are industry best | device separation for each             |
| 110. | 83 |            | Virtualization / No Virtual Chassis | practices using tenanting on the         | individual server farm infrastructure  |
|      |    | 10, 1.1    | /Stacking), Zone details as per     | common infrastructure and also make      | at zone level (No Virtualization / No  |
|      |    |            | current setup (3 zones - Non-prod   | sure there is isolation of resources for | Virtual Chassis /Stacking), Zone       |
|      |    |            | servers, Tandem and CBS). It        | each environment.                        | details as                             |
|      |    |            | should support minimum 16 zones.    |  | per current setup (3 zones - Non-      |

|      |    |   |  |  | prod servers, Tandem and CBS). It   |
|------|----|---|--|--|---|
|      |    |   |  |  | should support minimum 8 zones  |
| 111. | 83 | Performan<br>ce, 2.1                                | Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to<br>Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100<br>G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have 200 nos. of line<br>rate 40G/100G ports scalable to<br>128 nos with consideration of leaf<br>to SPINE over subscription ration of<br>6:1 | Spine Switches must have adequate<br>number of line rate 40G/100G ports to<br>support desired Leaf Scale. Each Leaf<br>connects to Each Spine using<br>minimum 1 x 100 G ports connectivity<br>i.e. Each Spine must have 128 nos. of<br>line rate 40G/100G ports scalable to<br>288 nos with consideration of leaf to<br>SPINE over subscription ration of<br>6:1.We understand that every OEM<br>has 8 slot modular chassis supporting<br>40/100 G line cards with 36 ports in<br>each line card. The modular switch<br>should support minimum 288 or more<br>wire speed 40/ 100 G ports. | Clause modified as under:<br>Spine Switches must have<br>adequate number of line rate<br>40G/100G ports to support desired<br>Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to<br>Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100<br>G ports connectivity i.e. Each<br>Spine must have minimum 32 nos.<br>of line rate 40G/100G ports<br>minimum scalable to 64 nos. with<br>consideration of leaf to SPINE over<br>subscription ratio of 3:1 or more |
| 112. | 83 | Performan<br>ce, 2.2                                | Should support minimum 5 Tbps<br>switching capacity/throughput or<br>more.   | The given throughput of 5 Tbps is for 48<br>ports fixed switch. In case of modular<br>switch. Switch should support total<br>aggregate system throughput<br>minimum 50 Tbps minimum of<br>switching capacity (Full Duplex:- Bi-<br>Directional). This will make sure the<br>bank will get the enterprise grade<br>data center switch for the DC<br>infrastructure.   | It is clarified that minimum<br>switching capacity/throughput is 5<br>Tbps however bidder can quote<br>higher switching capacity/<br>throughput to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.  |
| 113. | 83 | Port<br>requireme<br>nts with<br>redundan<br>cy 2.3 | Switch must support at least 48 or<br>more wire-speed 40/100 GBE<br>ports.   | What's the number of port<br>requirement for a modular switch ?<br>We understand that every OEM has 8<br>slot modular chassis supporting 40/100<br>G line cards with 36 ports in each line<br>card. <b>The modular switch should</b>   | Switch must support at least 32 or<br>more wire-speed 40/100 GBE ports.<br>However bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.  |
|      |    |              |                                    | support minimum 288 or more wire         |                                      |
|------|----|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
|      |    |              |                                    | speed 40/ 100 G ports.                   |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    |  |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | Since the IP MPLS Core Network           |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | components like the Core Routers,        |                                      |
|      |    | Port         |                                    | Internet Gateway etc would also          |                                      |
|      |    | requireme    | Should support minimum of (1       | terminate on the Core Switch based       |                                      |
| 114. | 83 | nts with     | VPEs or more                       | on the Network Design point, the         | Clause stands as per RFP             |
|      |    | redundan     | VKFS OF THOLE                      | switch would need "Switch should         |                                      |
|      |    | су 2.3       |                                    | support more than 1000 VRF instances     |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | from day 1" This is important to         |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | maintain virtualization end to end.      |                                      |
|      |    | Switch       |                                    | Should support front to back air flow or |                                      |
|      |    | Hardware     | Should support both front to back  | back to front air flow. Most of the OEM  | Clause modified as under:            |
| 115  | 83 | features     | and back to front reversible air   | provides front to back air flow which    |                                      |
| 110. | 00 | and High     | flow                               | provides optimal front-to-back airflow   | Should support both front to back    |
|      |    | availability |                                    | and helps the switch operate with less   | or back to front reversible air flow |
|      |    | , 4.3        |                                    | power.                                   |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | The 11 RU form factor is proprietary to  |                                      |
|      |    | Switch       |                                    | Arista DCS-7508. Which will give         |                                      |
|      |    | Hardware     |                                    | advantage to one particular OEM.         | Clause modified as under:            |
| 116. | 84 | teatures     | The proposed switch should not     | Rest of the OEM required 13RU to         |                                      |
|      |    | and High     | be more than 11 Rack Units in size | support 8 slot modular Switch. Hence     | The proposed switch should not be    |
|      |    | availability |                                    | requesting you to change it to "The      | more than 15 Rack Units in size      |
|      |    | , 4./        |                                    | proposed switch should not be more       |                                      |
|      |    |              |                                    | than 13 Rack Units in size.              |                                      |
|      |    |              | Ine proposed switch should         |  | Clause modified as under:            |
|      |    |              | Support VILAN (Bridging and        | Ine proposed switch should support       |                                      |
|      |    | L2 Switch    |                                    |  | The proposed switch should           |
| 117. | 85 | Features,    | (desirable) in bardware to support | nv GRE overlay encapsulation             | support VXLAN (Bridging and          |
|      |    | 8.8          |                                    | protocol (desirable) in hardware to      | Routing) and NVGRE overlay           |
|      |    |              | the Data Centre Minimum 4094       | deployment in the Data Centra            | encapsulation protocol (desirable)   |
|      |    |              | VYLAN should be supported          |  | in hardware to support multiple      |
|      |    |              | VALAN SHOULD DE SUPPORTED          |  |                                      |

|      |    |  |   |  | hypervisor deployment in the Data<br>Centre.  |
|------|----|--|---|--|---|
| 118. | 86 | L3 Switch<br>Features,<br>9.14                       | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy  | In spine leaf DC fabric topology with<br>redundant spines, 64 way ECMP for<br>Multicast traffic as a requirement<br>would NOT come up. The number of<br>uplink ports on leaf switches are at a<br>maximum of 6 (from all vendors).<br>Hence request you to change the<br>spec as "Must support Minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy" | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |
| 119. | 87 | QoS<br>Features                                      | The proposed switch must support<br>minimum 32 MB packet buffer to<br>avoid packet drops due to buffer<br>queue entries are exhausted<br>which results in poor and<br>unpredictable performance | The given buffer is for the fixed 48 port<br>switch. For Modular switch each line<br>card should support minimum 160 MB<br>packet buffer to avoid packet drops<br>due to buffer queue entries are<br>exhausted which results in poor and<br>unpredictable performance.   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 120. | 88 | Virtualizati<br>on<br>Features.<br>13.1              | Virtualization switch should<br>communicate with vSphere 4.0<br>and above, and vCenter to<br>support adaptive network<br>virtualization   | Virtualization switch should<br>communicate with vSphere 5.5 and<br>above, and vCenter to support<br>adaptive network virtualization. We<br>understand that Vsphere 4.0 should<br>not be running in any of the<br>production environment because of<br>end of support announced long back.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Virtualization switch should<br>communicate with vSphere 5.5<br>and above, and vCenter to<br>support adaptive network<br>virtualization                            |
| 121. | 98 | Port<br>requireme<br>nt with<br>redundan<br>cy , 3.1 | Switch should have 48 ports or<br>more, capable of 1/10 Gbps<br>copper ports  | Switch should have 48 ports or more,<br>capable of 100M/1G/10G Copper<br>ports with 2 Tbps of throughput. It gives<br>flexible port configuration to get the<br>leaf connected to the old<br>infrastructure supporting 100 Mbps.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Switch should have 48 ports or<br>more, capable of 100M/1G/10G<br>Copper ports.  |

| 122. | 92 | Control<br>Plane 6.4           | Minimum System buffer 16 MB  | Minimum System buffer 32 MB. As per<br>the QOS specification, packet buffer<br>has been asked as 16 MB for better<br>performance in an enterprise grade<br>DC. Here system buffer is mentioned<br>as 16 Mb, which is not possible. Hence<br>to provide the 16 MB packet buffer<br>the requirement of system buffer<br>should be minimum 32Mb. | Minimum System buffer 12 MB,<br>however bidder can quote higher<br>system buffer to meet the SLA &<br>uptime  |
|------|----|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 123. | 93 | L2 Switch<br>Features,<br>8.4  | Must support minimum 4096 VLAN   | Even though most vendors support<br>4096 VLANs, 128 vlan-ids are reserved<br>for internal use, leaving with 3967<br>usable vlan-ids. Hence request you to<br>relax the clause to <b>"Switch should<br/>support VLAN Trunking (802.1q) and<br/>should support minimum 3967 VLAN"</b>   | Clause modified as under:<br>Must support minimum 4094 VLAN   |
| 124. | 93 | L2 Switch<br>Features,<br>8.17 | Minimum Number of Ether<br>Channels should be 64                         | Minimum Number of Ether Channels<br>should be 32., The number of ports<br>asked in the switch is 48, hence we<br>request you to downsize it to 32 which<br>is optimum for a 48 ports switch.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Minimum Number of Ether<br>Channels should be 32. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |
| 125. | 93 | L3 Switch<br>Features,<br>9.3  | Must support minimum 8K IPv4<br>Multicast entries                        | Must support minimum 32K IPv4<br>Multicast entries. 8K multicast entries<br>are very minimal for the enterprise<br>grade DC fabric. Suggest you to<br>increase it to 32K so that a proper<br>enterprise grade DC switch is quoted.  | Must support minimum 8K IPv4<br>Multicast entries. However bidder<br>can quote higher specification to<br>meet the SLA & uptime.                                |
| 126. | 93 | L3 Switch<br>Features,<br>9.8  | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy | In spine leaf DC fabric topology with<br>redundant spines, 64 way ECMP for<br>Multicast traffic as a requirement<br>would NOT come up. The number of<br>uplink ports on leaf switches are at a<br>maximum of 6 (from all vendors).  | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher               |

|      |    |                    |                                     | Hence request you to change the         | specification to meet the SLA &  |
|------|----|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
|      |    |                    |                                     | spec as "Must support Minimum 6-way     | uptime.                          |
|      |    |                    |                                     | ECMP routing for load balancing and     |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | redundancy"                             |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | Multicast: PIM-SM, and PIM-SSM.         |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | Request you to change it to PIM-SM      |                                  |
| 107  | 01 | Multi-Cast.        | Multicast: PINA SNAV2 and PINA SSNA | which is generic and widely used and    | Clause modified as under:        |
| 127. | 74 | 10.1               |                                     | accepted in the DC environment.         |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | SMv2 is supported by some specific      | Multicast: PIM-SM, and PIM-SSM   |
|      |    |                    |                                     | OEMs.                                   |                                  |
|      |    |                    | Should support 4004 V/vl AN         | Should support both VRF and VxLAN,      | Clause modified as under:        |
|      |    |                    | Should support both VPE and         | bridging and routing from day 1. As     |                                  |
| 128. | 94 | Footuro            | Vyl AN bridging and routing from    | per the spine switch there is no need   | Should support both VRF and      |
|      |    |                    | day 1                               | to mention the number of VXLAN          | VxLAN, bridging and routing from |
|      |    | 13.1               | ddy 1,                              | required.                               | day 1.                           |
|      |    |                    |                                     | Virtualization switch should            | Clause modified as under:        |
|      |    |                    | Virtualization switch should        | communicate with vSphere 5.5 and        |                                  |
|      | 00 | Virtualizati<br>on | communicate with vSphere 4.0        | above, and vCenter to support           | Virtualization switch should     |
| 120  |    |                    |                                     | adaptive network virtualization. We     | communicate with vSphere 5.5     |
| 127. | 70 | Features.          | support adaptivo potwork            | understand that Vsphere 4.0 should      | and above and vCenter to         |
|      |    | 14.1               | virtualization                      | not be running in any of the            | dia above, and vertile to        |
|      |    |                    |                                     | production environment because of       | virtualization                   |
|      |    |                    |                                     | end of support announced long back.     |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | Switch should have 48 ports or more,    |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | capable of 1/10/25Gbps SFP for factor   |                                  |
|      |    | Port               |                                     | ports. Switch should support native     |                                  |
|      |    | roquiromo          |                                     | 25G ports and provide 3.5 tbps of       | Clause modified as under:        |
| 130  | 00 | nt with            | Switch should have 48 ports or      | throughput. The industry is moving from |                                  |
| 130. | // | rodundan           | more, capable of 1/10 Gbps ports    | 10G to 25G SFPs for the servers and     | Switch should have 48 ports or   |
|      |    |                    |                                     | the same switch can provide the 25G     | more, copper ports               |
|      |    | Cy , 5.1           |                                     | form factor to provide more flexibility |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | and future ready infrastructure with    |                                  |
|      |    |                    |                                     | the investment protection.              |                                  |
| 131  | 90 | Control            | Minimum System buffer 16 MB         | Minimum System buffer 32 MB. As per     | Minimum System buffer 12 MB,     |
| 131. | 77 | Plane 6.4          |                                     | the QOS specification, packet buffer    | however bidder can quote higher  |

|      |     |                                |  | has been asked as 16 MB for better<br>performance in an enterprise grade<br>DC. Here system buffer is mentioned<br>as 16 Mb, which is not possible. Hence<br>to provide the 16 MB packet buffer<br>the requirement of system buffer<br>should be minimum 32Mb.  | system buffer to meet the SLA & uptime.   |
|------|-----|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 132. | 99  | L2 Switch<br>Features,<br>8.4  | Must support minimum 4096 VLAN   | Even though most vendors support<br>4096 VLANs ,128 vlan-ids are reserved<br>for internal use, leaving with 3967<br>usable vlan-ids. Hence request you to<br>relax the clause to "Switch should<br>support VLAN Trunking (802.1q) and<br>should support minimum 3967 VLAN"  | Clause modified as under<br>Must support minimum 4094 VLAN  |
| 133. | 100 | L2 Switch<br>Features,<br>8.17 | Minimum Number of Ether<br>Channels should be 64                         | Minimum Number of Ether Channels<br>should be 32., The number of ports<br>asked in the switch is 48, hence we<br>request you to downsize it to 32 which<br>is optimum for a 48 ports switch.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Minimum Number of Ether<br>Channels should be 32. however<br>bidder can quote higher system<br>buffer to meet the SLA & uptime                                     |
| 134. | 100 | /L3 Switch<br>Features,<br>9.3 | Must support minimum 8K IPv4<br>Multicast entries                        | Must support minimum 32K IPv4<br>Multicast entries. 8K multicast entries<br>are very minimal for the enterprise<br>grade DC fabric. Suggest you to<br>increase it to 32K so that a proper<br>enterprise grade DC switch is quoted.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 135. | 100 | L3 Switch<br>Features,<br>9.8  | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy | In spine leaf DC fabric topology with<br>redundant spines, 64 way ECMP for<br>Multicast traffic as a requirement<br>would NOT come up. The number of<br>uplink ports on leaf switches are at a<br>maximum of 6 (from all vendors).<br>Hence request you to change the<br>spec as <b>"Must support Minimum 6-way</b> | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |

|      |     |              |                                     | ECMP routing for load balancing and      |                                      |
|------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
|      |     |              |                                     | redundancy"                              |                                      |
|      |     |              |                                     |  |                                      |
|      |     |              |                                     | Multicast: PIM-SM, and PIM-SSM.          |                                      |
|      |     |              |                                     | Request you to change it to PIM-SM       |                                      |
| 134  | 101 | Multi-Cast.  | Multicast: PINA SNAV2 and PINA SSNA | which is generic and widely used and     | Clause modified as under:            |
| 130. | 101 | 10.1         |                                     | accepted in the DC environment.          |                                      |
|      |     |              |                                     | SMv2 is supported by some specific       | Multicast: PIM-SM, and PIM-SSM       |
|      |     |              |                                     | OEMs.                                    |                                      |
|      |     | DC           | Should support 4096 VXI AN          | Should support both VRF and VxLAN,       | Clause modified as under:            |
|      |     | Advance      | Should support both VRE and         | bridging and routing from day 1. As      |                                      |
| 137. | 101 | Feature      | VxI AN bridging and routing from    | per the spine switch there is no need    | Should support both VRF and          |
|      |     | 13.1         | day 1                               | to mention the number of VXLAN           | VxLAN, bridging and routing from     |
|      |     | 10.1         |                                     | required.                                | day 1.                               |
|      |     |              |                                     | Virtualization switch should             | Clause modified as under:            |
|      |     |              | Virtualization switch should        | communicate with vSphere 5.5 and         |                                      |
|      |     | Virtualizati | communicate with vSphere 4.0        | above, and vCenter to support            | Virtualization switch should         |
| 138. |     | on           | and above, and vCenter to           | adaptive network virtualization. We      | communicate with vSphere 5.5         |
|      |     | Features.    | support adaptive network            | understand that Vsphere 4.0 should       | and above, and vCenter to            |
|      |     | 14.1         | virtualization                      | not be running in any of the             | support adaptive network             |
|      |     |              |                                     | production environment because of        | virtualization                       |
|      |     |              |                                     |  | New clause added:                    |
|      |     |              |                                     | Request to add :"Fabric must have        | new clause duded.                    |
|      |     |              |                                     | zero trust policy model for connected    | Fabric must support zero trust       |
|      |     |              |                                     | systems or hosts to help in protecting   | policy model for connected           |
|      |     |              |                                     | against any kind of attacks like         | systems or hosts to help in          |
|      |     |              |                                     | Unauthorized Access, Man - in - the -    | protecting against any kind of       |
| 139. |     |              | Request to add, Scope of work       | middle - attack, Replay Attack, Data     | attacks like Unauthorized Access,    |
|      |     |              |                                     | Disclosure, Denial of Service and also   | Man - in - the - middle - attack,    |
|      |     |              |                                     | act as a State-less distributed tirewall | Replay Attack, Data Disclosure,      |
|      |     |              |                                     | with the logging capability."            | Denial of Service and also act as a  |
|      |     |              |                                     | Justification: The DC Fabric must have   | State-less distributed firewall with |
|      |     |              |                                     | the ability to enforce security policies | the logging capability."             |

|      |  |                               | to defend itself against security vulnerabilities  |           |
|------|--|-------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 140. |  | Request to add, Scope of work | Request to add:"Fabric must support<br>VM attribute based zoning and policy.<br>It must also support Micro<br>Segmentation for the Virtualized<br>environment"<br>Justification: The DC fabric must<br>support granular control of traffic and<br>reduce the attack surface by<br>minimizing the possibilities for lateral<br>movement in the event of a security<br>breach.   | No change |
| 141. |  | Request to add, Scope of work | Request to add: "The DC Fabric must<br>provide redundant (N+1/N+2)<br>Centralized Management Appliance<br>based Single pane of Glass for<br>managing, monitoring and<br>provisioning the entire Fabric including<br>L4 - L7 Services physical or virtual<br>appliance as well as integrate with<br>Virtual Machine manager. "<br>Justification: A Centralized DC Fabric<br>Management solution is necessary to<br>simplify and automate the<br>provisioning of the DC fabric network<br>based on application requirements. | No change |
| 142. |  | Request to add, Scope of work | Request to add : Switch and optics<br>from the same OEM<br>Justification: To ensure that OEM<br>certified HW and optics in order to<br>avoid any compatibility and support<br>issues in future.  | No change |

| 143. |    |          | Request to add, Scope of work   | Request to add : All relevant licenses<br>for all the above features and scale<br>should be quoted along with switch<br>Justification: To ensure that there is no<br>additional cost escalation in future.  | No change                |
|------|----|----------|---|---|--------------------------|
| 144. |    |          | Spine Switch, Suggestions   | Switch should support MPLS segment<br>routing and VRF route leaking<br>functionality from day 1<br><b>Justification:</b> There are certain benefits<br>of segment routing in the DC fabric.<br>With Segment Routing, your network is<br>more resilient. Whenever and<br>wherever a node or a link fails in the<br>network, connectivity is restored in<br>under 50 milliseconds. Low-latency<br>network service ensures that time-<br>sensitive applications are always<br>directed over the optimal low-latency<br>path. | No change                |
| 145. |    |          | Spine Switch, Suggestions   | Switch should support layer 2<br>extension over VXLAN (RFC7348)<br>across all Datacenter to enable VM<br>mobility & availability<br><b>Justification:</b> This gives flexibility to<br>move VMs across DCs which will make<br>the DC environment for agile in terms<br>of VM placement.   | No change                |
| 146. | 33 | Point 44 | Proposed core firewall should<br>connect with leaf switch/spine<br>switch with minimum 100G port. | Request to reword "Proposed core<br>firewall should connect with leaf<br>switch/spine switch with minimum 10G<br>port." The interface ask on the type 1<br>firewall is 10 G only. Please clarify and<br>advise on this.   | Clause stands as per RFP |

| 147. | 114 | Point No.1     | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.  | Request to reword as "Proposed<br>solution should be purpose built NGFW<br>chassis based hardware & the OEM<br>should be present in Gartner's Leader<br>Quadrant in latest published report<br>named "Magic Quadrant for<br>Enterprise Network Firewalls" published<br>in 2019."<br>Every OEM had different hardware for<br>different scales of throughput and so<br>the ask should be for the purpose built<br>appliance instead of modular<br>architecture fulfilling the throughput<br>ask. | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.   |
|------|-----|----------------|--|--|---|
| 148. | 114 | Point no.8     | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput<br>100 Gbps after enabling all<br>function like IPS, QoS, malware<br>protection and Anti-virus | Request to reword "Firewall<br>performance should be minimum real<br>world throughput 35 Gbps after<br>enabling all function like IPS, QoS,<br>malware protection and Anti-virus"<br>The throughput ask of 100 Gbps is not<br>syncing with the concurrent<br>connections and new connections<br>per second & the interface ask.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 60<br>Gbps after enabling the IPS, QoS,<br>malware protection function.<br>However bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |
| 149. | 114 | Point<br>No.10 | Firewall should support minimum<br>1000,0000 concurrent<br>connections   | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support minimum 20,000,000<br>concurrent connections with<br>application visibility turned on"<br>The ask concurrent connection limit is<br>very less and not inline with the<br>throughput ask.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should support minimum<br>2000,0000 concurrent<br>connections. However bidder can<br>quote higher specification to meet<br>the SLA & uptime.  |
| 150. | 114 | point<br>No.11 | Firewall should support minimum<br>200000 new connections per<br>second (cps)  | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support minimum 250,000 new<br>connections per second (cps) with<br>application visibility turned on"<br>The ask new connection / pre sec limit  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should support minimum<br>10,00,000 new connections per<br>second (cps). However bidder   |

|      |     |                |  | is very less and not inline with the   | can quote higher specification to   |
|------|-----|----------------|--|--|---|
|      |     |                |  | throughput ask.  | meet the SLA & uptime.  |
|      |     |                |  |  |   |
| 151. | 115 | Point<br>No.13 | Firewall should support memory at<br>least 8 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.  | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support memory at least 192 GB<br>Memory for better and faster<br>processing."<br>Ask of 8 GB memory throughput is very<br>less for handling 35 Gbps of traffic. 192<br>GB will ensure enough resources are<br>available on system for processing the<br>traffic.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should support memory at<br>least 60 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.   |
| 152. | 116 | point<br>No.44 | The solution should support the<br>following File/Media Types for<br>Malware identification: PDF, ZIP,<br>7Z, RAR, CAB, PKZIP, EXE, DLL, SYS,<br>SCR, CPL, OCX, Java, Flash, MS<br>office files. | Request to reword "The solution should<br>support the following File/Media Types<br>for Malware identification: "BAT ,.BZ2<br>,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX ,.EML<br>,.EXE,.GZ - gzip ,.HTA ,.HWP, .HWT,<br>.HWPX ,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX,<br>Java, Flash,JAR,JS,JSE,JTD,JTT,<br>JTDC,JTTC,.LNK etc."<br>The list of sample file types that are<br>supported by every OEM sandboxing<br>solution will be different. So requesting<br>to consider the extended coverage<br>for better security posture or relax this<br>clause. | Clause modified as under:<br>The solution should support the<br>following File/Media Types for<br>Malware identification: "BAT ,.BZ2<br>,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX ,.EML<br>,.EXE,.GZ - gzip ,.HTA ,.HWP, .HWT,<br>.HWPX ,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX,<br>Java, Flash,JAR,JS,JSE,JTD<br>,JTT,JTDC,JTTC,.LNK etc |
| 153. | 117 | Point<br>No.54 | The solution should provide the<br>ability to upload gold image and<br>analyze threats under conditions<br>of actual host environment.   | Request to make this optional as this<br>will not provide a true identification.<br>Certain types of malware require user<br>activity in order to launch, such as<br>selecting a checkbox on the UI, or<br>opening a file attachment to an email<br>message. To emulate a user<br>automatically during sample analysis   | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|      |     |                |   | for which the sandboxing images are<br>customized any modification will in<br>turn will degrade the detection   |   |
|------|-----|----------------|---|---|---|
| 154. | 118 | Point<br>No.63 | The proposed system shall<br>support One-arm IDS (sniffer<br>mode)  | Request to Relax this clause.<br>Ask if for a NGFW and not an IPS<br>solution.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 155. | 119 | Point<br>No.76 | The detection engine must be<br>capable of detecting and<br>preventing a wide variety of<br>threats (e.g., malware, network<br>probes/reconnaissance, VoIP<br>attacks, buffer overflows, P2P<br>attacks, zero -day threats,<br>etc.)which require license for<br>cloud sandboxing feature with<br>hash only   | Request to reword "The detection<br>engine must be capable of detecting<br>and preventing a wide variety of<br>threats (e.g., malware, network<br>probes/reconnaissance, VoIP attacks,<br>buffer overflows, P2P attacks, zero -<br>day threats, etc.)which require on<br>Premises sandboxing"<br>Cloud based sandboxing may not<br>adhere with the BANK privacy<br>guidelines so we would request to<br>consider on premises sandboxing<br>appliance. Please clarify and advise<br>on this.   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 156. | 119 | point<br>No.82 | The solution should be capable of<br>providing network -based<br>detection of malware by<br>checking the disposition of known<br>files in the cloud using the SHA -<br>256 file -hash as they transit the<br>network (SHA -256 and target IP<br>address should be given to aid<br>remediation efforts) with enabling<br>just advance malware license if<br>require in near future | Request to reword "The solution should<br>be capable of providing network -<br>based detection of malware by<br>checking the disposition of known files<br>in the cloud using the SHA -256 file -<br>hash as they transit the network (SHA -<br>256 and target IP address should be<br>given to aid remediation efforts) all<br>the license required for said<br>functionality should be considered<br>from day 1"<br>All the feature ask in the RFP points<br>towards protection against the | Clause modified as under:<br>The solution should be capable of<br>providing network -based<br>detection of malware by checking<br>the disposition of known files in the<br>cloud using the SHA -256 file -hash<br>as they transit the network (SHA -<br>256 and target IP address should<br>be given to aid remediation<br>efforts) all the license required for<br>said functionality should be<br>considered from day 1 |

|      |     |                 |   | malware attacks so the license for the same should be considered from day  |                           |
|------|-----|-----------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| 157. | 123 | Point<br>No.134 | Proposed IPS should support<br>a minimum of average<br>inspection throughput of 10 Gbps | Request to reword "Proposed IPS<br>should support a minimum of<br>average inspection throughput of 35<br>Gbps"<br>The throughput ask contradicts with<br>the NGFW throughput ask in point 8 on<br>page number 114.<br>Additionally the point number 124-161<br>in type 1 NGFW starting from page<br>122-124 are pointing towards a<br>dedicated IPS appliance solution and<br>not as a part of NGFW. Please advice<br>and clarify on this.                     | Clause stands as per RFP. |
| 158. | 123 | Point<br>No.136 | IPS must support a minimum<br>of 5 million concurrent<br>connections.                   | Request to reword "IPS must support a<br>minimum of 10 million concurrent<br>connections. With application visibility<br>turned on"<br>The ask concurrent connection limit is<br>very less and not in line with the<br>throughput ask.<br>Additionally the point number 125-163<br>in type 2 NGFW starting from page<br>133-135 are pointing towards a<br>dedicated IPS appliance solution and<br>not as a part of NGFW. Please advise<br>and clarify on this. | Clause stands as per RFP. |
| 159. | 123 | Point<br>No.137 | Support more than 1, 00,000<br>new sessions per second<br>processing                    | Request to reword "Support more than<br>180,000 new sessions per second<br>processing with application visibility<br>turned on" The ask new connection /   | Clause stands as per RFP. |

|      |     |                |   | pre sec limit is very less and not in line   |   |
|------|-----|----------------|---|--|---|
|      |     |                |   | with the throughput ask.   |   |
|      |     |                |   | Additionally the point number 125-163<br>in type 2 NGFW starting from page<br>133-135 are pointing towards a<br>dedicated IPS appliance solution and<br>not as a part of NGFW. Please advise<br>and clarify on this.   |   |
| 160. | 125 | point No.1     | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability                       | Request to reword as "Proposed<br>solution should be purpose built NGFW<br>chassis based hardware & the OEM<br>should be present in Gartner's Leader<br>Quadrant in latest published report<br>named "Magic Quadrant for<br>Enterprise Network Firewalls" published<br>in 2019."<br>Every OEM had different hardware for<br>different scales of throughput and so<br>the ask should be for the purpose built<br>appliance instead of modular<br>architecture fulfilling the throughput<br>ask. | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM. |
| 161. | 125 | Point<br>No.10 | Firewall should support<br>minimum 500,0000<br>concurrent connections         | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support minimum 10,000,000<br>concurrent connections with<br>application visibility turned on"<br>The ask concurrent connection limit is<br>very less and not inline with the<br>throughput ask.   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 162. | 125 | Point<br>No.11 | Firewall should support minimum<br>100000 new connections per<br>second (cps) | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support minimum 180,000 new<br>connections per second (cps) with<br>application visibility turned on"<br>The ask new connection / pre sec limit  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|      |     |                 |  | is very less and not inline with the   |  |
|------|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
|      |     |                 |  | throughput ask.  |  |
|      |     |                 |  |  |  |
| 163. | 125 | point<br>No.12  | deliver VPN throughput minimum<br>300 Mbps   | Request to reword "deliver VPN<br>throughput minimum 8 Gbps"<br>The VPN throughput ask is very less and<br>not inline with the enterprise firewall<br>ask.   | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 164. | 126 | Point<br>No.14  | Firewall should support memory at<br>least 8 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.  | Request to reword "Firewall should<br>support memory at least 192 GB<br>Memory for better and faster<br>processing."<br>Ask of 8 GB memory throughput is very<br>less for handling 35 Gbps of traffic. 192<br>GB will ensure enough resources are<br>available on system for processing the<br>traffic.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should support memory at<br>least 60 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.  |
| 165. | 127 | Point<br>No.45  | The solution should support the<br>following File/Media Types for<br>Malware identification: PDF, ZIP,<br>7Z, RAR, CAB, PKZIP, EXE, DLL, SYS,<br>SCR, CPL, OCX, Java, Flash, MS<br>office files. | Request to reword "The solution should<br>support the following File/Media Types<br>for Malware identification: "BAT,.BZ2<br>,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX,.EML<br>,.EXE,.GZ - gzip,.HTA,.HWP, .HWT,<br>.HWPX,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX,<br>Java, Flash,JAR,JS,JSE,JTD,JTT,<br>JTDC,JTTC,.LNK etc." The list of sample<br>file types that are supported by every<br>OEM sandboxing solution will be<br>different. So requesting to consider the<br>extended coverage for better security<br>posture or relax this clause. | Clause modified as under:<br>The solution should support the<br>following File/Media Types for<br>Malware identification: "BAT ,.BZ2<br>,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX ,.EML<br>,.EXE,.GZ - gzip ,.HTA ,.HWP, .HWT,<br>.HWPX ,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX,<br>Java, Flash,JAR,JS,JSE,JTD<br>,JTT,JTDC, JTTC,.LNK etc |
| 166. | 128 | point No.<br>55 | The solution should provide the<br>ability to upload gold image and<br>analyses threats under conditions<br>of actual host environment.  | Request to make this optional as this<br>will not provide a true identification.<br>Certain types of malware require user<br>activity in order to launch, such as  | Clause stands as per RFP   |

|      |     |                |  | selecting a checkbox on the UI, or<br>opening a file attachment to an email<br>message. To emulate a user<br>automatically during sample analysis<br>for which the sandboxing images are<br>customized any modification will in<br>turn will degrade the detection<br>capabilities.  |  |
|------|-----|----------------|--|--|--|
| 167. | 129 | Point<br>No.64 | The proposed system shall support<br>One-arm IDS (sniffer mode)  | Request to Relax this clause.<br>Ask if for a NGFW and not an IPS<br>solution.   | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 168. | 130 | Point<br>No.77 | The detection engine must be<br>capable of detecting and<br>preventing a wide variety of<br>threats (e.g., malware, network<br>probes/reconnaissance, VoIP<br>attacks, buffer overflows, P2P<br>attacks, zero -day threats,<br>etc.)which require license for<br>cloud   | Request to reword "The detection<br>engine must be capable of detecting<br>and preventing a wide variety of<br>threats (e.g., malware, network<br>probes/reconnaissance, VoIP attacks,<br>buffer overflows, P2P attacks, zero -<br>day threats, etc.) which require on<br>Premises sandboxing"<br>Cloud based sandboxing may not<br>adhere with the BANK privacy<br>guidelines so we would request to<br>consider on premises sandboxing<br>appliance. Please clarify and advise<br>on this. | Clause stands as per RFP   |
| 169. | 133 | Page<br>No.83  | The solution should be capable of<br>providing network -based<br>detection of malware by<br>checking the disposition of known<br>files in the cloud using the SHA -<br>256 file -hash as they transit the<br>network (SHA -256 and target IP<br>address should be given to aid<br>remediation efforts) with enabling | Request to reword "The solution should<br>be capable of providing network -<br>based detection of malware by<br>checking the disposition of known files<br>in the cloud using the SHA -256 file -<br>hash as they transit the network (SHA -<br>256 and target IP address should be<br>given to aid remediation efforts) all<br>the license required for said  | Clause modified as under:<br>The solution should be capable of<br>providing network -based<br>detection of malware by checking<br>the disposition of known files in the<br>cloud using the SHA -256 file -hash<br>as they transit the network (SHA -<br>256 and target IP address should |

|      |     |         | just advance malware license if  | functionality should be considered        | be given to aid remediation           |
|------|-----|---------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      |     |         | require in near future           | from day 1"                               | efforts) all the license required for |
|      |     |         |                                  | All the feature ask in the RFP points     | said functionality should be          |
|      |     |         |                                  | towards protection against the            | considered from day 1                 |
|      |     |         |                                  | malware attacks so the license for the    |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | same should be considered from day        |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | 1.  |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | Request to reword "The proposed           |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | device should have Intrusion              |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | prevention sensors delivering a           |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | minimum of 20 Gbps of context-aware       |                                       |
|      |     |         | The proposed device should have  | , real-world traffic inspection (enabling |                                       |
|      |     |         | Interproposed device should have | all functions)"                           |                                       |
|      |     | Deint   | delivering a minimum of 10 Chos  | The throughput ask contradicts with       |                                       |
| 170. | 134 | FOINI   | of context aware real world      | the NGFW throughput ask in point 8 on     | Clause stands as per RFP              |
|      |     | 110.125 | traffic inspection (enabling all | page number 125.                          |                                       |
|      |     |         | functional                       | Additionally the point number 125-163     |                                       |
|      |     |         | functions)                       | in type 2 NGFW starting from page         |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | 133-135 are pointing towards a            |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | dedicated IPS appliance solution and      |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | not as a part of NGFW. Please advise      |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | and clarify on this.                      |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | Request to reword "Proposed IPS           |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | should support a minimum of               |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | average inspection throughput of 20       |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | Gbps"                                     |                                       |
|      |     |         | Proposed IPS should support a    | The throughput ask contradicts with       |                                       |
| 171  | 134 | point   | minimum of average inspection    | the NGFW throughput ask in point 8 on     | Clause stands as per REP              |
| 171. | 104 | No.136  | throughput of 10 Gbps            | page number 125.                          |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  |   |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | Additionally the point number 125-163     |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | in type 2 NGFW starting from page         |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | 133-135 are pointing towards a            |                                       |
|      |     |         |                                  | dedicated IPS appliance solution and      |                                       |

|      |                           | not as a part of NGFW. Please advise<br>and clarify on this.  |                |
|------|---------------------------|---|----------------|
| 172. | Additional Recommendation | Request to please consider" Proposed<br>Firewall should not be proprietary ASIC<br>based in nature & should be open<br>architecture based on multi-core<br>cpu's to protect & scale against<br>dynamic latest security threats."<br>Recommendation based on general<br>ask by other banks referring the RFP<br>PSB/HOIT/RFP/138/2019-20.<br>Most ASIC-based firewall companies<br>have developed their own custom<br>Operating System (OS), it becomes<br>problematic to port new applications<br>to a custom OS. Both ASIC hardware<br>and software designs can contain<br>security flaws. Vulnerabilities in an ASIC<br>design may be problematic to rapidly<br>change and address. The customers<br>will need to replace their ASIC<br>hardware components (not a trivial<br>process) or replace the entire<br>appliance. This can be an expensive<br>and time consuming process to<br>correct a serious security issue.<br>Software-based engines can use<br>software-based updates to correct<br>the security flaw with minimal down<br>time and cost. | Not admissible |

|      |    |           |                                    | Software-based firewalls can more          |                                     |
|------|----|-----------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|      |    |           |                                    | easily be integrated with other            |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | network devices.                           |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    |  |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | Performance comparisons have               |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | shown that software-based products         |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | had both performance and reliability       |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | advantages over ASIC-based firewalls.      |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | Request to please consider "Should         |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | support more than 15,000 (excluding        |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | custom signatures) IPS signatures or       |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | more. Should support capability to         |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | configure correlation rule where           |                                     |
| 170  |    |           |                                    | multiple rules/event can be combined       |                                     |
| 173. |    |           | Additional Recommendation          | together for better efficacy."             | Not admissible                      |
|      |    |           |                                    |  |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | Since IPS leverages signature to match     |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | against pattern, hence it is critical that |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | solution should have high number of        |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | signature or extreme database.             |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | Request to reword "III. VPN                | Clause modified as under:           |
|      |    |           |                                    | concentrator must support 6 Gbps of        |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | Crypto throughput for IPSEC                | VPN concentrator must support 10    |
|      |    |           | VPN concentrator must support 6    | performance and 8000 IPSEC tunnels         | Gbps of Crypto throughput for       |
|      |    |           | Gbps of Crypto throughput for      | from day 1. In case of an external box,    | IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full    |
|      |    |           | IPSEC performance and 10000        | The VPN concentrator must have             | loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day    |
|      |    |           | IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case  | redundant power supply & at least 4 x      | 1. In case of an external box, The  |
| 174. | 35 | Point III | of an external box, The VPN        | 1GE interfaces and 4 no. of 10 G           | VPN concentrator must have          |
|      |    |           | concentrator must have             | interface (SFP) from Day1."                | redundant power supply & at least   |
|      |    |           | redundant power supply & at        | RFP page no. 106 under "TECHNICAL          | 6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10  |
|      |    |           | least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 4 no. | REQUIREMENTS OF VPN MODULES"               | G interface (SFP) from Day1.        |
|      |    |           | of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1. | point no. 57 it is mentioned 8000 IPSec    |                                     |
|      |    |           |                                    | tunnels whereas page no. 35 it is          | VPN concentrator should have        |
|      |    |           |                                    | mentioned 10000 IPSec tunnels which        | capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC   |
|      |    |           |                                    | is creating confusion - kindly change it   | fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any |

|      |     |            |  | to 8000 IPSec Tunnels and 4 x 1 G & 4 x   | point of time (at start, re-start or  |
|------|-----|------------|--|---|---|
|      |     |            |  | I log intendce from Day1  | niougnour me day)   |
| 175. | 106 | Point No.2 | The VPN modules should be<br>modular in architecture with a<br>services-based hardware, Should<br>be a single chassis solution | Request to reword "The VPN modules<br>should be modular/fixed in<br>architecture with a services-based<br>hardware, Should be a single<br>chassis/integrated solution"<br>kindly amend the clause because<br>when the requirement is a single<br>chassis then that should be a fixed<br>and integrated solution | Clause Modified as under:<br>The VPN modules should be<br>modular/fixed in architecture with<br>a services-based hardware,<br>Should be a single<br>chassis/integrated solution |
| 176. | 106 | Point No.3 | VPN modules should have<br>support for redundant Router<br>processors /Routing engines   | Request to reword "VPN modules<br>should have support for<br>redundant/Integrated Router<br>processors /Routing engines"<br>Architecture differs from OEM to OEM<br>kindly consider Integrated Router<br>processor  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 177. | 106 | Point No.4 | VPN modules should support a dedicated Service Processor card  | Request to reword "VPN modules<br>should support a dedicated Service<br>Processor card/ESP"<br>Architecture differs from OEM to OEM<br>kindly consider ESP  | Clause Modified as under:<br>VPN modules should support a<br>dedicated Service Processor<br>card/ESP  |
| 178. | 106 | Point No.7 | VPN modules should support<br>system throughput of minimum 80<br>Gbps from day 1   | Request to reword "VPN modules<br>should support system throughput of<br>minimum 60 Gbps from day 1"<br>kindly amend the clause to 60 Gbps<br>as per the requirements and other<br>parameters 60 Gbps is more than<br>sufficient  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 179. | 106 | Point No.8 | VPN modules should support<br>minimum Traffics handling<br>capacity of 55 Mpps   | Request to reword "VPN modules<br>should support minimum Traffics<br>handling capacity of 40 Mpps"  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|      |     |        |   | kindly amend the clause to 40 Mpps       |                                     |
|------|-----|--------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
|      |     |        |   | as per the requirements and other        |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | parameters 40 Mpps is more than          |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | sufficient                               |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | Request to reword "Power supplies        |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | should be hot swappable"                 |                                     |
| 180  | 114 | Point  | All modules, fan trays & Power                  | when high availability already asked     | Clause stands as per REP            |
| 100. | 114 | No.12  | supplies should be hot swappable                | in the solution for DC and DR then hot   |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | swappable modules and fan trays not      |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | required                                 |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | Request to reword "Hot Swap ability:     |                                     |
|      |     |        | List Swap, ability a The results result         | The router must support on line hot      |                                     |
|      |     |        | Hor swap ability: the router must               | insertion and removal of cards/power     |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | supply. Any insertion line card/power    |                                     |
| 101  | 114 | Point  | line a smallele sullele act a sull for resulter | supply should not call for router        |                                     |
| 101. | 114 | No.17  |   | rebooting nor should disrupt the         | Clause stands as per RFP            |
|      |     |        |   | remaining unicast and multicast traffic  |                                     |
|      |     |        | remaining Unicast and multicast                 | flowing in any way."                     |                                     |
|      |     |        | frattic flowing in any way.                     | Architecture differs from OEM to OEM     |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | kindly reword the clause                 |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | Request to reword "VPN concentrator      | Clause modified as under:           |
|      |     |        |   | must support 6 Gbps of Crypto            |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | throughput for IPSEC performance         | VPN concentrator must support 10    |
|      |     |        | VPN concentrator must support 6                 | and 8000 IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In    | Gbps of Crypto throughput for       |
|      |     |        | Gbps of Crypto throughput for                   | case of an external box, The VPN         | IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full    |
|      |     |        | IPSEC performance and 10000                     | concentrator must have redundant         | loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day    |
|      |     | Point  | IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case               | power supply & at least 4 x 1GE          | 1. In case of an external box, The  |
| 182. | 114 | No 19  | of an external box, The VPN                     | interfaces and 4 no. of 10 G interface   | VPN concentrator must have          |
|      |     | 110.17 | concentrator must have                          | (SFP) from Day1."                        | redundant power supply & at least   |
|      |     |        | redundant power supply & at                     | RFP page no. 106 under "TECHNICAL        | 6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10  |
|      |     |        | least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 4 no.              | REQUIREMENTS OF VPN MODULES"             | G interface (SFP) from Day1.        |
|      |     |        | of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1.              | point no. 57 it is mentioned 8000 IPSec  |                                     |
|      |     |        |   | tunnels whereas page no. 35 it is        | VPN concentrator should have        |
|      |     |        |   | mentioned 10000 IPSec tunnels which      | capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC   |
|      |     |        |   | is creating confusion - kindly change it | fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any |

|      |     |                |   | to 8000 IPSec Tunnels and 4 x 1G & 4 x<br>10G Interface from Day1  | point of time (at start, re-start or throughout the day)  |
|------|-----|----------------|---|--|---|
| 183. | 114 | Point<br>No.22 | Track the status of various system<br>components like the software,<br>services processor, line cards, fan<br>trays, PSU etc & provide an out of<br>band access method to the<br>router in case of a software crash | Request to reword "Track the status of<br>various system components like the<br>software, services processor/line<br>cards/fan trays/PSU etc & provide an<br>out of band access method to the<br>router in case of a software crash"<br>Request to change the clause as<br>mentioned | Clause Modified as under:<br>Track the status of various system<br>components like the software,<br>services processor/line cards/fan<br>trays/PSU etc & provide an out of<br>band access method to the router<br>in case of a software crash |
| 184. | 114 | Point<br>No.23 | 8000 VPLS instances.<br>4000 GRE tunnels, 14 million flows<br>512000 MAC addresses<br>Should support at least 3 Million<br>1Pv4 and IPv6 routes.  | Request to reword<br>"8000 VPLS instances/PW per system.<br>4000 GRE tunnels, 2 million flows<br>64000 MAC addresses<br>Should support at least 1 Million 1Pv4<br>and IPv6 routes."<br>Request to make the minimum value   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 185. | 114 | Point<br>No.26 | Should support RIPv1 & RIPv2,<br>OSPF, IS-1S and BGP4, LDP, BFP<br>routing protocols & IP multicast<br>routing protocols: PIM , IGMP  | Request to reword<br>"Should support RIPv1 & RIPv2, OSPF, IS-<br>1S and BGP4, LDP, BFD routing<br>protocols & IP multicast routing<br>protocols: PIM , IGMP"<br>Kindly amend this clause there may<br>be typo  | Clause modified as under:<br>Should support RIPv1 & RIPv2, OSPF,<br>IS-1S and BGP4, LDP, BFD routing<br>protocols & IP multicast routing<br>protocols: PIM, IGMP  |
| 186. | 114 | Point<br>No.40 | Should support protection from nested applications with threat prevention   | Kindly remove this clause<br>This is the function of advanced threat<br>prevention and not VPN Concentrator  | Clause stands deleted   |
| 187. | 114 | Point<br>No.55 | Routing Table Size: The router<br>must support minimum 2,000,000<br>IPv4 or 2,000,000 IPv6 routes<br>entries in the routing table and<br>should be scalable.  | Request to reword "Routing Table Size:<br>The router must support minimum<br>1,000,000 IPv4 or 1,000,000 IPv6 routes<br>entries in the routing table and should<br>be scalable."   | Clause modified as under:<br>Routing Table Size: The router must<br>support minimum 10,00,000 IPv4 or<br>10,00,000 IPv6 routes entries in the<br>routing table and should be<br>scalable. However bidder can                                  |

|      |     |                   |   | Request to make the minimum value  | quote higher specification to meet  |
|------|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
|      |     |                   |   | to 1 Million   | the SLA & uptime.   |
|      |     |                   |   |  |   |
|      |     |                   | The VPN modules should support<br>uninterrupted forwarding  | Request to reword "The VPN modules<br>should support uninterrupted<br>forwarding operation for OSPF, IS-IS   | Clause Modified as under:<br>The VPN modules should support   |
| 188. | 114 | Point<br>No.56    | operation for OSPF, IS-IS routing<br>protocol to ensure high-<br>availability during primary  | routing protocol to ensure high-<br>availability during primary controller   | uninterrupted forwarding<br>operation for OSPF, IS-IS routing<br>protocol to ensure high- gyailability  |
|      |     |                   | controller card failure   | Architecture differs from OEM to OEM   | during primary controller   |
|      |     |                   |   | kindly reword the clause   | card/Integrated controller failure  |
|      |     |                   |   |  | Clause modified as under:   |
| 189. | 114 | Point<br>No.57    | VPN modules must support 6 Gbps<br>of Crypto throughput for IPSEC<br>performance and 8000 IPSEC<br>tunnels from day 1<br>(internal/external). In case of an<br>external box, The vpn<br>concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at least<br>6 x 1GE interfaces from Day 1. | Request to reword "VPN modules must<br>support 6 Gbps of Crypto throughput<br>for IPSEC performance and 8000 IPSEC<br>tunnels from day 1 (internal/external).<br>In case of an external box, The vpn<br>concentrator must have redundant<br>power supply & at least 4 x 1GE<br>interfaces from Day 1." Kindly change<br>the 1G interface to 4 x 1G and 4 x 10G<br>Interface from Day 1 | VPN concentrator must support 10<br>Gbps of Crypto throughput for<br>IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full<br>loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels from day<br>1. In case of an external box, The<br>VPN concentrator must have<br>redundant power supply & at least<br>6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10<br>G interface (SFP) from Day1.<br>VPN concentrator should have<br>capabilities to handle 5000 IPSEC<br>fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any<br>point of time (at start, re-start or<br>throughout the day) |
| 190. | 114 | Point<br>No.62-74 | Point no. 62-74 of VPN MODULES  | Kindly remove this clauses from point<br>no. 62 to 74 because<br>These points generally asking for a<br>NGFW. URL Filtering/Proxy solution and<br>not a VPN Concentrator   | Clause stands deleted   |

| 191. |         | MODULES<br>/ VPN<br>concentra<br>tor / VPN<br>Router<br>suggestion        | The Router OS should be at least<br>EAL2 (Common Criteria<br>Certificate) or above or NIAP<br>Certified.  | This point is suggested to include for<br>Security Evaluated certified products<br>because this is a banking environment  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
|------|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 192. |         | VPN<br>MODULES<br>/ VPN<br>concentra<br>tor / VPN<br>Router<br>suggestion | The Router should IPv6 Ready<br>Logo Phase-2 certified  | This point needs to be included to have<br>IPv6 Logo certified products   | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 193. |         | VPN<br>MODULES<br>/ VPN<br>concentra<br>tor / VPN<br>Router<br>suggestion | OEM should be<br>Leader/Challenger in Gartner<br>Magic Quadrant for Wired and<br>Wireless LAN in last consecutive 3<br>years (2017, 2018, 2019) | This point also needs to be included<br>for standard products which is the<br>requirement of the bank   | This is optional requirement  |
| 194. | 104-106 | Point No.4  | Switch should have minimum 300<br>Gbps Switching capacity all the<br>services enabled on switch   | Request to reword "Switch should have<br>minimum 300 Gbps Switching capacity<br>with Stacking & all the services enabled<br>on switch"<br>As per switch port numbers and switing<br>capacity is not matching hence<br>requesting the amend the clause | Switch should have minimum 170<br>Gbps Switching capacity all the<br>services enabled on switch                                 |
| 195. | 104-106 | point No13  | Must support minimum 128K IPv4<br>Unicast entries   | Request to reword "Must support<br>minimum 32K IPv4 Unicast entries"<br>for a 48 port L3 switch 128K IPv4 entries<br>is very high   | Must support minimum 32K IPv4<br>Unicast entries. However bidder<br>can quote higher specification to<br>meet the SLA & uptime. |

| 196. | 104-106 | point<br>No.13          | Must support minimum 64K or<br>more IPv6 Unicast entries   | Request to reword "Must support<br>minimum 16K or more IPv6 Unicast<br>entries" for a 48 port L3 switch 64K IPv6<br>entries is very high   | Must support minimum 16K or more<br>IPv6 Unicast entries. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.  |
|------|---------|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| 197. | 104-106 | Point No.<br>13         | Must support minimum 8K ACL  | Request to reword "Must support<br>minimum 5K ACL"<br>for a 48 port L3 switch 8K ACL is very<br>high   | Must support minimum 5K ACL.<br>However bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime.   |
| 198. | 104-106 | Point No.<br>13         | Must support 64-way ECMP<br>routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy   | Request to reword "Must support<br>ECMP routing for load balancing and<br>redundancy"  | Clause modified as under :<br>Must support minimum 6-way<br>ECMP routing for load balancing<br>and Redundancy. However<br>bidder can quote higher<br>specification to meet the SLA &<br>uptime. |
| 199. | 104-106 | Point No.<br>20         | Electrical:<br>Frequency: 50/60 Hz<br>Maximum Heat Dissipation: 528<br>BTU/hr (557.04 KJ/hr)<br>Voltage: 100-240 Vac, rated<br>Maximum Power Rating: 155 W | Request to reword<br>"Electrical:<br>Frequency: 50/60 Hz<br>Maximum Heat Dissipation: 1194<br>BTU/hr Total Output BTU<br>Voltage: 100-240 Vac, rated<br>Maximum Power Rating: 350W Power<br>Supply Rated Maximum"<br>The Heat Dissipation and Power Rating<br>mentioned should be minimum and<br>not maximum because present days<br>switches required higher Heat<br>Dissipation and Power Rating | It is clarified that the supplied<br>equipment should be compatible<br>with tier 3 data centre<br>environment.  |
| 200. |         | L3 switch<br>suggestion | Switch OS should be at least EAL2<br>(Common Criteria Certificate) or<br>above or NIAP Certified.  | This point is suggested to include for<br>Security Evaluated certified products<br>because this is a banking environment   | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 201. |    | L3 switch<br>suggestion | The Switch should IPv6 Ready Logo<br>Phase-2 certified  | This point needs to be included to have<br>IPv6 Logo certified products  | Clause stands as per RFP     |
|------|----|-------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|
| 202. |    | L3 switch<br>suggestion | OEM should be<br>Leader/Challenger in Gartner<br>Magic Quadrant for Wired and<br>Wireless LAN in last consecutive 3<br>years (2017, 2018, 2019) | This point also needs to be included<br>for standard products which is the<br>requirement of the bank  | This is optional requirement |
| 203. | 11 | Point 7                 | The proposed OEMs should have<br>warehouse on its own/through<br>partner in Kolkata and Bangalore.  | The OEM should have Min.20 and<br>above spares depot in India including<br>one each in Delhi-NCR, BANGALORE<br>and Kolkata. Bidder shall submit OEM<br>certification which should be legally<br>signed by the OEM legal entity | Clause stands as per RFP     |
| 204. |    |                         | Additional Recommendation - for<br>better Integration, Management<br>& operational efficiency and cost  | Spine-Leaf Switch, VPN Concentrator<br>& L3 Switch should be from single OEM   | No change                    |
| 205. |    |                         | Additional Recommendation   | OEM must have ISO9001, ISO 14001<br>and ISO 27000  | No change                    |
| 206. |    |                         | Additional Recommendation   | Bidder have valid ISO 9000 / 9001, ISO<br>20000 and ISO 27001 certification  | No change                    |
| 207. |    |                         | Additional Recommendation   | Proposed OEM of each Category must<br>have their own 24x7 Customer support<br>center in operation since last five years.<br>24x7 Customer support center must be<br>manned by at-least 100+ Engineers.                         | No change                    |
| 208. | 10 | Eligibility             |   | The bidder submitting the offer should<br>have minimum average turnover of<br>Rupees 500 Crores for the last three<br>financial years i.e. 2016-17, 2017-18 &  | Clause stands as per RFP     |

|      |    |            |  | 2018-19. This must be the individual     |                          |
|------|----|------------|--|--|--------------------------|
|      |    |            |  | company turnover and not of any          |                          |
|      |    |            |  | group of companies.                      |                          |
|      |    |            |  | Bidder should have at least 20 certified |                          |
|      |    | Not in the |  | professionals on their payroll with      |                          |
| 209. |    |            | Eligibility                            | minimum two CISA/CISM/CISSP              | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | КГГ        |  | certifications, declaration from         |                          |
|      |    |            |  | CEO/COO/CS has to be submitted.          |                          |
|      |    |            |  | To make the contract feasible for        |                          |
|      |    |            |  | business we request Liability should be  |                          |
|      |    |            |  | limited up to the extent mentioned       |                          |
|      |    |            |  | below:                                   |                          |
|      |    |            |  | Neither party shall, in any event,       |                          |
|      |    |            |  | regardless of the form of claim, be      |                          |
|      |    |            |  | liable for any indirect, special,        |                          |
|      |    |            |  | punitive, exemplary, speculative or      |                          |
| 210. |    |            | Not there in the RFP                   | consequential loss or damages.           | Not admissible           |
|      |    |            |  | Subject to the above and to the          |                          |
|      |    |            |  | extent allowed by local laws, the        |                          |
|      |    |            |  | maximum aggregate liability of each      |                          |
|      |    |            |  | party under this proposal/Contract/PO    |                          |
|      |    |            |  | for any claim or series of claims        |                          |
|      |    |            |  | regardless of the form of cidim,         |                          |
|      |    |            |  | damage and legal theory shall not        |                          |
|      |    |            |  | Contract                                 |                          |
|      |    |            | Selected hidder shall indemnify        | We request that the clarity be           |                          |
|      |    |            | protect and save the Bank and          | provided in the REP that - Indemnity     |                          |
|      |    |            | hold the Bank harmless from and        | shall only be restricted to third party  |                          |
|      |    | Clause no  | against all claims losses costs        | claim for (i) IPR Infringement           |                          |
| 211. | 46 | 13         | damages, expenses, action suits        | indemnity, and (ii) bodily injury and    | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | -          | and other proceedings, (including      | death and tanaible property damage       |                          |
|      |    |            | reasonable attorney fees), relating    | due to gross negligence and willful      |                          |
|      |    |            | to or resulting directly or indirectly | misconduct. The process of               |                          |

|  | from i. an act or omission of the    | indemnification shall provide the      |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|  | Vendor, its employees, its agents,   | requirement of notice, right to defend |  |
|  | or employees of the consortium in    | and settle, and the concept of         |  |
|  | the performance of the services      | apportionment (liable only to the      |  |
|  | provided by this contract, ii.       | extent of its claim), mitigation and   |  |
|  | breach of any of the terms of this   | carve-outs.                            |  |
|  | RFP or breach of any                 |  |  |
|  | representation or warranty by the    |  |  |
|  | Vendor, iii. use of the deliverables |  |  |
|  | and or services provided by the      |  |  |
|  | Vendor, iv. Infringement of any      |  |  |
|  | patent, trademarks, copyrights       |  |  |
|  | etc. Or such other statutory         |  |  |
|  | infringements in respect of all      |  |  |
|  | components provided to fulfil the    |  |  |
|  | scope of this project. Vendor shall  |  |  |
|  | further indemnify the Bank against   |  |  |
|  | any loss or damage to the Bank       |  |  |
|  | premises or property, loss of life,  |  |  |
|  | etc., due to the acts of the         |  |  |
|  | Vendor's employees or                |  |  |
|  | representatives. The Vendor shall    |  |  |
|  | further indemnify the Bank against   |  |  |
|  | any loss or damage arising out of    |  |  |
|  | claims of infringement of third-     |  |  |
|  | party copyright, patents, or other   |  |  |
|  | intellectual property, and third-    |  |  |
|  | party claims on the Bank for         |  |  |
|  | malfunctioning of the equipment      |  |  |
|  | or software or deliverables at all   |  |  |
|  | points of time, provided however,    |  |  |
|  | i. the Bank notify the vendor in     |  |  |
|  | writing immediately on becoming      |  |  |
|  | aware of such claim, ii. the Vendor  |  |  |
|  | has sole control of defence and all  |  |  |

|      |    |           | related settlement negotiations, iii.    |  |                          |
|------|----|-----------|--|--|--------------------------|
|      |    |           | the Bank provides the Vendor with        |  |                          |
|      |    |           | the assistance, information and          |  |                          |
|      |    |           | authority reasonably necessary to        |  |                          |
|      |    |           | perform the above, and iv. The           |  |                          |
|      |    |           | Bank does not make any                   |  |                          |
|      |    |           | statement or comments or                 |  |                          |
|      |    |           | representations about the claim          |  |                          |
|      |    |           | without prior written consent of the     |  |                          |
|      |    |           | Vendor, except under due                 |  |                          |
|      |    |           | process of law or order of the           |  |                          |
|      |    |           | court. It is clarified that the vendor   |  |                          |
|      |    |           | shall in no event enter into a           |  |                          |
|      |    |           | settlement, compromise or make           |  |                          |
|      |    |           | any statement (including failure to      |  |                          |
|      |    |           | take appropriate steps) that may         |  |                          |
|      |    |           | be detrimental to the Bank               |  |                          |
|      |    |           | (and/or its customers, users and         |  |                          |
|      |    |           | service providers) rights, interest      |  |                          |
|      |    |           | and reputation. Vendor shall be          |  |                          |
|      |    |           | responsible for any loss of life, etc,   |  |                          |
|      |    |           | due to acts of Vendor's                  |  |                          |
|      |    |           | representatives, and not just            |  |                          |
|      |    |           | arising out of gross negligence or       |  |                          |
|      |    |           | misconduct, etc, as such liabilities     |  |                          |
|      |    |           | pose significant risk. Vendor should     |  |                          |
|      |    |           | take full responsibility for its and its |  |                          |
|      |    |           | employee's actions.                      |  |                          |
|      |    |           | The Selected bidder is required to       | Import Duty, Taxes etc. are levied by    |                          |
|      |    |           | guarantee that exchange rate             | Honorable Government of India.           |                          |
|      |    |           | fluctuations, changes in import          | Hence in case of any revision in these   |                          |
| 212. | 45 | 11. Price | duty and other taxes will not            | levies, taxes, etc., the bidder requests | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    |           | affect the Rupee value of the            | the bank to revise price bid after       |                          |
|      |    |           | commercial bid, over the validity        | incorporating these additional costs     |                          |
|      |    |           | period of the bid.                       | whether upward or downward.              |                          |

| 213. |     | Penalties |   | Kindly request the bank to levy total<br>penalties (SLA and Liquidated<br>Damages) upto maximum of 10% of<br>Total Contract Value of 5 years.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
|------|-----|-----------|---|--|---|
| 214. |     | 22        | The complete Data Centre Fabric<br>including but not limited to Spine &<br>Leaf switches, SFP/QSFP, Data<br>Centre Network Management<br>Software, other components /<br>software etc. should be from same<br>OEM and should have<br>comprehensive onsite support for<br>the entire contract period from the<br>date of sign-off by the Bank on<br>completion of this project | The complete Data Centre Fabric<br>including but not limited to Spine &<br>Leaf switches, SFP/QSFP, Data Centre<br>Network Management Software, other<br>components / software etc. should be<br>from same OEM (Excluding Type-2<br>firewall as mentioned as mentioned<br>page no. 38) and should have<br>comprehensive onsite support for the<br>entire contract period from the date of<br>sign-off by the Bank on completion of<br>this project | The complete Data Centre Fabric<br>including but not limited to Spine &<br>Leaf switches, SFP/QSFP, Data<br>Centre Network Management<br>Software, other components /<br>software etc. should be from same<br>OEM (Excluding Type-2 firewall as<br>mentioned page no. 38 of RFP)<br>and should have comprehensive<br>onsite support for the entire<br>contract period from the date of<br>sign-off by the Bank on completion<br>of this project |
| 215. |     | 1         | Chassis based & modular<br>architecture for scalability   | Chassis based & modular architecture<br>for scalability. The operating system of<br>the proposed firewall solution should<br>not have any reported vulnerability in<br>last 3 years as per Miter Vulnerability<br>database in www.cvedetails.com.  | Clause modified as under:<br>Chassis based or modular<br>architecture for scalability & other<br>than Checkpoint OEM.   |
| 216. | 125 | 8         | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 20<br>Gbps after enabling all function<br>like IPS, QoS, and malware<br>protection.   | Firewall performance should be<br>minimum real world throughput 20<br>Gbps after enabling all function like IPS,<br>URL Filtering, QoS, Zero-day protection,<br>and malware protection.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 217. | 126 | 14        | Firewall should support memory at<br>least 8 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.   | Firewall should support memory at least<br>8 GB Memory for better and faster<br>processing and should be scalable<br>upto 128 GB to achieve the highest<br>performance parameter of the<br>proposed appliance.   | Clause modified as under:<br>Firewall should support memory at<br>least 60 GB Memory for better and<br>faster processing.   |

| 218. | 40 | Site-to-site VPN tunnels: full-mesh/<br>star topology shall be supported.<br>The solution should provide the<br>ability to upload gold image and  | Site-to-site VPN tunnels: full-mesh/ star<br>topology shall be supported with<br>minimum 25 Gbs of overall VPN<br>throughput.   | Clause stands as per RFP     |
|------|----|---|---|------------------------------|
| 217. | 55 | analyses threats under conditions of actual host environment.   |   | mis is ophond requirement    |
| 220. | 59 | Solution should provide high<br>Threat protection rate minimum of<br>99%.   | Solution should provide high Threat<br>protection rate. The proposed OEM<br>must have received at-least 99% live<br>exploit block rate in the latest NSS Labs<br>Breach Prevention Report   | Clause stands as per RFP     |
| 221. | 84 | The solution must be capable of<br>passively gathering information<br>about network hosts and their<br>activities, such as operating<br>system, services, open ports, client<br>applications, and vulnerabilities,<br>to assist with multiple activities,<br>such as intrusion event data<br>correlation, elimination of false<br>positives, and policy compliance. | Please remove this clause. This clause<br>intends to specific vendors who<br>provides integrated network and<br>security solution including port/os<br>based vulnerability scanners. This<br>feature can be easily catered by point<br>no. 107 mentioned later in page no.<br>132 | This is optional requirement |
| 222. | 88 | The solution must be capable of<br>passively gathering details unique<br>to mobile devices traffic to identify<br>a wide variety of mobile operating<br>systems, mobile applications and<br>associated mobile device<br>hardware.   | Please remove this clause. This clause<br>intends to specific vendors who<br>provides integrated network and<br>security solution including port/os<br>based vulnerability scanners. This<br>feature can be easily catered by point<br>no. 107 mentioned later in page no.<br>132 | This is optional requirement |
| 223. | 99 | The proposed solution should<br>provide an option to include URL<br>filtering for enforcing Internet<br>content filtering so as to reduce   | The proposed solution should provide<br>an option to include URL filtering and<br>Anti-SPAM/Mail filtering modules for<br>enforcing Internet content filtering so   | Clause stands as per RFP     |

|      |    |         | web born threats and improve         | as to reduce web and mail born           |                          |
|------|----|---------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
|      |    |         | productivity                         | threats and improve productivity         |                          |
|      |    |         |                                      |  |                          |
|      |    |         |                                      | The Proposed solution must be from       |                          |
|      |    |         |                                      | leading security OEMs considered as      |                          |
| 224. |    |         | New Clause                           | leaders in the Enterprise Firewall Magic | Not admissible           |
|      |    |         |                                      | Quadrant of Gartner consistently for     |                          |
|      |    |         |                                      | last 3 years.                            |                          |
|      |    |         |                                      | The Proposed OEM must have               |                          |
| 225  |    |         | Now Clause                           | received at least 97%                    | Natadmissible            |
| 225. |    |         | New Clouse                           | recommendations in NSS Labs SVM for      | NOT damissible           |
|      |    |         |                                      | NGFW in last 2 years.                    |                          |
|      |    |         | >40% of the value of new network     | We request to modify the clause to:      |                          |
|      |    |         | equipment/devices/solutions/         | >70% of the value of new network         |                          |
|      |    |         | upgradation                          | equipment/devices/solutions/             |                          |
|      |    |         | of along with Taxes, will be paid    | upgradation                              |                          |
|      |    |         | after delivery on submission of      | of along with Taxes, will be paid after  |                          |
|      |    |         | proof of                             | delivery on submission of proof of       |                          |
|      |    |         | delivery.                            | delivery.                                |                          |
|      |    |         | > 50% of the value network           | >20% of the value network                |                          |
|      |    |         | equipment/devices/solutions/         | equipment/devices/solutions/             |                          |
|      |    |         | upgradation of                       | upgradation of                           |                          |
| 226  | 43 | PAYMENT | completion of successful             | completion of successful installation &  | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 220. | 10 | TERMS   | installation & operational, the      | operational, the acceptance              |                          |
|      |    |         | acceptance certificate duly          | certificate duly signed by Bank's        |                          |
|      |    |         | signed by Bank's authorized          | authorized official & satisfactory       |                          |
|      |    |         | official & satisfactory service      | service report from the Bank where       |                          |
|      |    |         | report from the Bank where the       | the systems have been installed after    |                          |
|      |    |         | systems have been installed after    | realizing penalty charges for late       |                          |
|      |    |         | realizing penalty charges for late   | delivery & installation, if any.         |                          |
|      |    |         | delivery & installation, if any. The | > The balance 10% of order value will    |                          |
|      |    |         | balance 10% of order value will      | be paid after 3 months successful        |                          |
|      |    |         | be paid after 3 months successful    | running against equivalent amount of     |                          |
|      |    |         | running.                             | PBG.                                     |                          |

| 227. | 13 | Part-<br>II/Clause<br>4/Earnest<br>Money<br>Deposit | The EMD will not bear any interest<br>and EMD made by the bidder will<br>be impounded if:<br>d) The bidder violates any of the<br>provisions of the terms and<br>conditions of this tender<br>specification.   | The EMD will not bear any interest and<br>EMD made by the bidder will be<br>impounded if:<br>d) The bidder violates any of the<br>provisions of the material terms and<br>conditions of this tender specification.   | Clause stands as per RFP |
|------|----|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| 228. | 20 | Part-<br>II/Clause<br>26/                           | 26. Acceptance of Terms A<br>Recipient will, by responding to<br>Bank RFP, be deemed to have<br>accepted the terms as stated in<br>the RFP.  | A Recipient will, by responding to Bank<br>RFP, be deemed to have accepted<br>the terms as stated in the RFP along<br>with the assumptions as set forth in our<br>Bid Proposal   | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 229. | 41 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>4/Point d                      | d. Both the parties accept that the<br>Monitors have the right to access<br>all the documents relating to the<br>project/procurement, including<br>minutes of meetings.  | d. Both the parties accept that the<br>Monitors have the right to access all<br>the documents relating to the project /<br>procurement, including minutes of<br>meetings <b>excluding Bidder's</b><br><b>procurement cost</b> .  | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 230. | 52 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>24                             | The products & services offered to<br>the Bank must be incompliance<br>with all laws, regulations & Govt.<br>guidelines of India. It also not violet<br>any of the provisions of the IT act in<br>anyway or any other legal<br>provisions relating to such<br>products or services in India. | The products & services offered to the<br>Bank must be incompliance with all<br>laws, regulations & Govt. guidelines of<br>India. It also not violet any of the<br>provisions of the IT act in anyway or any<br>other legal provisions relating to such<br>products or services in India.<br>applicable to BIDDER'S SCOPE OF<br>WORK | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 231. | 41 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>5                              | The performance of the vendor<br>shall be reviewed monthly, if not<br>found satisfactory, Bank may<br>terminate the contract at its sole<br>discretion by giving three months'<br>notice without assigning any<br>reasons. Any offer falling short of  | Bidder requests to delete the word<br>Satisfactory and amend the clause as<br>it is subjective and open ended<br>The performance of the vendor shall<br>be reviewed quarterly monthly, if not<br>found satisfactory, Bank may terminate<br>the contract for convenience after<br>giving 90 days' notice period along                 | Clause stands as per RFP |

|      |    |          | the contract period is liable for      | with following:                                |                          |
|------|----|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
|      |    |          | rejection.                             | 1) Payment of for the hardware and             |                          |
|      |    |          |  | the services rendered till the date of         |                          |
|      |    |          |  | termination.                                   |                          |
|      |    |          |  | 2) Payment of exit fee along with wind         |                          |
|      |    |          |  | down / shut down expenses.                     |                          |
|      |    |          | 13. Indemnity                          |  |                          |
|      |    |          | Selected bidder shall indemnify,       | 13. Indemnity                                  |                          |
|      |    |          | protect and save the Bank and          | Selected bidder shall indemnify,               |                          |
|      |    |          | hold the Bank harmless from and        | protect and save the Bank and hold             |                          |
|      |    |          | against all claims, losses, costs,     | the Bank harmless from and against all         |                          |
|      |    |          | damages, expenses, action suits        | claims, losses, costs, damages,                |                          |
|      |    |          | and other proceedings, (including      | expenses, action suits and other               |                          |
|      |    |          | reasonable attorney fees), relating    | proceedings, (including reasonable             |                          |
|      |    | Part-    | to or resulting directly or indirectly | attorney fees), relating to or resulting       |                          |
| 232. | 46 | V/Clause | from                                   | directly or indirectly from                    | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | 13       | i. an act or omission of the Vendor,   | i. an act or omission of the Vendor, its       |                          |
|      |    |          | its employees, its agents, or          | employees, its agents, or employees of         |                          |
|      |    |          | employees of the consortium in         | the consortium in the performance of           |                          |
|      |    |          | the performance of the services        | the services provided by this contract,        |                          |
|      |    |          | provided by this contract,             | ii. breach of any of the <b>material</b> terms |                          |
|      |    |          | ii. breach of any of the terms of this | of this RFP <del>or breach of any</del>        |                          |
|      |    |          | RFP or breach of any                   | representation or warranty by the              |                          |
|      |    |          | representation or warranty by the      | Vendor   |                          |
|      |    |          | Vendor                                 |  |                          |
|      |    |          |  | The wordings serious discrepancy is            |                          |
|      |    |          | The Bank reserves the right to         | very open ended wordings and                   |                          |
|      |    |          | cancel the contract in the event       | request bank to delete for S.No. (a) of        |                          |
|      |    | Part     | of happening one or more of the        | Clause 20.                                     |                          |
| 233  | 19 |          | following Conditions:                  | 20. Exit Option and Contract Re-               | Clause stands as per PEP |
| 200. | 47 | 20       | Ø Delay in offering equipments for     | Negotiation:                                   |                          |
|      |    | 20       | pre-delivery Inspection;               | The Bank reserves the right to cancel          |                          |
|      |    |          | Ø Delay in delivery beyond the         | the contract after failing to remedy           |                          |
|      |    |          | specified period;                      | the cause beyond 30 days in the                |                          |
|      |    |          | Ø Delay in completing installation     | event of happening one or more of              |                          |

|      |    |                                  | / implementation of Network  | the following Conditions:   |                          |
|------|----|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
|      |    |                                  | devices /  | Ø Delay in offering equipments for  |                          |
|      |    |                                  | checks beyond the specified  | pre-delivery Inspection & beyond any  |                          |
|      |    |                                  | periods;   | period extended by the bank;  |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | Ø Delay in delivery beyond the  |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | specified period & any period   |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | extended by the bank;   |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | Ø Delay in completing installation /  |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | implementation of Network devices   |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | /checks beyond the specified periods  |                          |
|      |    |                                  |  | & any period extended by the bank;  |                          |
| 234. | 49 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>20/Point c. | c) The Bank will reserve a right to<br>re-negotiate the price and terms<br>of the entire<br>contract with the Selected Bidder<br>at more favorable terms for Bank<br>in case such terms are offered in<br>the industry at that time for<br>projects of similar and<br>comparable size, scope and<br>quality. | c) The Bank will reserve a right to re-<br>negotiate the price and terms of the<br>entire contract with the Selected<br>Bidder at more favorable terms for<br>Bank in case such terms are offered in<br>the industry at that time for projects of<br>similar and<br>comparable size, scope and quality<br><u>before contract sign off</u> . | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 235. | 49 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>20/Point e. | e) As aforesaid the Bank would<br>procure the equipment from the<br>third party only in the event that<br>the equipment was available at<br>more favorable terms in the<br>industry, and secondly,   | e) As aforesaid the Bank would<br>procure the equipment from the third<br>party <b>before contract sign off</b> only in<br>the event that the equipment was<br>available at more favorable terms in<br>the industry, and secondly,  | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    |                                  | 22 Termination:  | 22 Termination:   |                          |
|      |    |                                  | The Bank shall be entitled to  | The Bank shall be entitled to terminate   |                          |
|      |    | Part-                            | terminate the agreement with the   | the agreement with the selected   |                          |
|      | 51 | V/Clause                         | selected bidder at any time by   | bidder at any time by giving Thirty (30)  | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | 22                               | giving Thirty (30) days prior written  | days prior written notice to the  |                          |
|      |    |                                  | notice to the selected bidder.   | selected bidder.  |                          |
|      |    |                                  | The Bank shall be entitled to  | The Bank shall be entitled to terminate   |                          |
|      |    |                                  | terminate the agreement at any   | the agreement at any time by giving   |                          |

|      |    |          | time by giving notice if:           | notice if:                                |                          |
|------|----|----------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
|      |    |          | Ø The Selected bidder breaches      | Ø The Selected bidder breaches its        |                          |
|      |    |          | its obligations under the scope     | material obligations under the scope      |                          |
|      |    |          | document or the subsequent          | document or the subsequent                |                          |
|      |    |          | agreement and if the breach is      | agreement and if the breach is not        |                          |
|      |    |          | not cured within 30 days from the   | cured within 30 days from the date of     |                          |
|      |    |          | date of notice.                     | notice.                                   |                          |
|      |    |          | In case of States having Road       |   |                          |
|      |    |          | Permit /entry tax, the successful   | In case of States having Road Permit      |                          |
|      |    |          | Bidder will have to liaison with    | /entry tax, the successful Bidder will    |                          |
|      |    |          | local tax authorities at each of    | have to liaison with local tax            |                          |
|      |    |          | the locations to obtain the         | authorities at each of the locations to   |                          |
|      |    |          | necessary permissions from the      | obtain the necessary permissions from     |                          |
|      |    |          | respective authorities. Obtaining   | the respective authorities. Obtaining     |                          |
|      |    |          | the necessary permission will be    | the necessary permission will be the      |                          |
|      |    |          | the responsibility of the Bidder.   | responsibility of the Bidder. The Bank    |                          |
|      |    | Part-    | The Bank will not arrange for any   | will not arrange for any Road Permit /    |                          |
| 236. | 44 | V/Clause | Road Permit / Sales Tax clearance   | Sales Tax clearance for delivery of       | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | 9/Note   | for delivery of Network devices &   | Network devices & other equipments        |                          |
|      |    |          | other equipments to different       | to different locations. UCO Bank will     |                          |
|      |    |          | locations. UCO Bank will not        | not provide any C Form or Way Bill        |                          |
|      |    |          | provide any C Form or Way Bill      | etc. Clearance of the equipment from      |                          |
|      |    |          | etc. Clearance of the equipment     | Tax Authorities would be the              |                          |
|      |    |          | from Tax Authorities would be the   | responsibility of the bidder. Delay in    |                          |
|      |    |          | responsibility of the bidder. Delay | delivery due to these will be             |                          |
|      |    |          | in delivery due to these will be    | considered for contract extension of      |                          |
|      |    |          | considered under liquidity          | time. under liquidity damage.             |                          |
|      |    |          | damage.                             |   |                          |
|      |    |          |                                     | Limitation of Liability Bidder's          |                          |
|      |    |          |                                     | aggregate liability under the contract    |                          |
|      |    | New      |                                     | shall be limited to a maximum of the      |                          |
| 237. | 47 | Clause   |                                     | annual contract value. This limit shall   | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | Clubse   |                                     | not apply to third party claims for a. IP |                          |
|      |    |          |                                     | Infringement indemnity.                   |                          |
|      |    |          |                                     |   |                          |

|      |    |  |   | Neither Party shall be liable to the   |                          |
|------|----|--|---|--|--------------------------|
|      |    |  |   | other Party (including under any   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | indemnity) for any amounts in respect  |                          |
|      |    |  |   | of: loss of revenue, loss of profit, loss of   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | goodwill, business interruption,   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | diminished business value, loss of   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | anticipated savings; or for any special,   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | incidental, indirect, exemplary,   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | punitive or consequential damages of   |                          |
|      |    |  |   | any party, including third parties   |                          |
|      |    |  | Until a formal contract is  | Until a formal contract is executed,   |                          |
|      |    | Annexure   | executed, this tender offer,  | this tender offer along with Bidder's  |                          |
|      |    | – I Tender   | together with the Bank's written  | response & assumptions set forth in it,  |                          |
| 238. | 57 | offer  | acceptance thereof and Bank's   | together with the Bank's written   | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |    | forwarding   | notification of award, shall  | acceptance thereof and Bank's  |                          |
|      |    | letter   | constitute a binding contract   | notification of award, shall constitute  |                          |
|      |    |  | between us.   | a binding contract between us.   |                          |
| 239. | 65 | Annexure<br>– VI<br>Format of<br>Bank<br>Guarante<br>e (EMD) | 2. If the Bidder, having been<br>notified of the acceptance of its<br>proposal by the Bank during the<br>period of the validity of the<br>proposal fails or refuses to enter<br>into the contract in accordance<br>with the Terms and Conditions of<br>the RFP or the terms and<br>conditions mutually agreed<br>subsequently | 2. If the Bidder, having been notified<br>of the acceptance of its proposal by<br>the Bank during the period of the<br>validity of the proposal fails or refuses<br>to enter into a mutually agreed<br>contract in accordance with the<br>Terms and Conditions of the RFP or the<br>terms and conditions mutually agreed<br>subsequently | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 240. | 60 | Annexure<br>–III   | We<br>[indicate the name of Bank<br>ISSUING THE<br>GUARANTEE] further agree with<br>UCO BANK that UCO BANK shall<br>have the fullest<br>liberty without our consent and   | Contract terms once signed cannot<br>be varied   | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |     |            | without affecting in any manner    |  |                           |
|------|-----|------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
|      |     |            | our obligations hereunder to vary  |  |                           |
|      |     |            | any of the terms and conditions of |  |                           |
|      |     |            | the said Agreement or to extend    |  |                           |
|      |     |            | time of performance by the said    |  |                           |
|      |     |            | VENDOR from time or to postpone    |  |                           |
|      |     |            | for any time, or from time to time |  |                           |
|      |     |            | any of the powers exercisable by   |  |                           |
|      |     |            | UCO BANK against the said          |  |                           |
|      |     |            | VENDOR and to forebear or          |  |                           |
|      |     |            | enforce any of the terms and       |  |                           |
|      |     |            | conditions relating to the said    |  |                           |
|      |     |            | agreement and we shall not be      |  |                           |
|      |     |            | relieved from our liability by     |  |                           |
|      |     |            | reason of any variation,           |  |                           |
|      |     |            | We hereby agree to comply with     | We hereby agree to comply with all     |                           |
| 0.41 | 70  | Annexure   | all the terms and conditions /     | the mutually agreed terms and          |                           |
| 241. | 12  | –IX        | stipulations as contained in the   | conditions / stipulations as contained | Ciduse stands as per KFP  |
|      |     |            | RFP                                | in the RFP                             |                           |
|      |     |            | c) Further, we hereby undertake    | c) Further, we hereby undertake and    |                           |
| 212  | 138 | Annexure-  | and agree to abide by all terms    | agree to abide by all mutually agreed  | Clause stands as per PEP  |
| 272. | 100 | XIX        | and conditions and guidelines      | terms and conditions and guidelines    | Cidose sidilas as per kir |
|      |     |            | stipulated by the Bank.            | stipulated by the Bank.                |                           |
|      |     |            | We hereby agree to comply with     | We hereby agree to comply with all     |                           |
| 243  | 73  | Annexure - | all the terms and conditions /     | the mutually agreed terms and          | Clause stands as per REP  |
| 210. | , 0 | VIII       | stipulations as contained in the   | conditions / stipulations as contained |                           |
|      |     |            | RFP                                | in the RFP                             |                           |
|      |     |            | We hereby agree to comply with     | We hereby agree to comply with all     |                           |
|      |     |            | all the terms and conditions /     | the <b>mutually agreed</b> terms and   |                           |
|      |     |            | stipulations as contained in the   | conditions / stipulations as contained |                           |
| 244. | 72  | Annexure   | RFP and the related addendums      | in the RFP and the related             | Clause stands as per REP  |
| 2    | 7 2 | - IX       | and other documents including      | addendums and other documents          |                           |
|      |     |            | the changes made to the original   | including the changes made to the      |                           |
|      |     |            | tender documents if any, issued    | original tender documents if any,      |                           |
|      |     |            | by the Bank. The Bank is not       | issued by the Bank. The Bank is not    |                           |

|      |     |                      | bound by any other extraneous  | bound by any other extraneous  |                          |
|------|-----|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------|
|      |     |                      | matters or deviations, even if   | matters or deviations, even if   |                          |
|      |     |                      | mentioned by us elsewhere either   | mentioned by us elsewhere either in  |                          |
|      |     |                      | in our proposal or any subsequent  | our proposal or any subsequent   |                          |
|      |     |                      | deviations sought by us, whether   | deviations sought by us, whether orally  |                          |
|      |     |                      | orally or in writing, and the Bank's   | or in writing, and the Bank's decision   |                          |
|      |     |                      | decision not to accept any such  | not to accept any such extraneous  |                          |
|      |     |                      | extraneous conditions and  | conditions and deviations will be final  |                          |
|      |     |                      | deviations will be final and   | and binding on us other than   |                          |
|      |     |                      | binding on us.   | assumptions as set forth in our Bid  |                          |
|      |     |                      |  | response.  |                          |
| 245. | 149 | Annexure<br>– XXII   | (d) Indemnification: The Receiving<br>Party shall indemnify the Bank and<br>hold the Bank harmless against<br>any loss caused to it as a result of<br>the non-performance or improper<br>performance of this Agreement<br>by the Receiving Party, or its<br>servants or agents to perform any<br>aspect of its obligations forming<br>part of the subject matter of this<br>Agreement. | Bidder had given indemnity<br>undertaking at various places of<br>contract and hence a specific<br>undertaking shall not be issued<br>separately by the Bidder                                     | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 246. | 64  | Annexure-<br>V       | We are responsible for the due<br>performance as per the<br>scope of work and terms &<br>conditions as per mentioned in<br>RFP.  | We are responsible for the due<br>performance as per the<br>scope of work and mutually agreed<br>terms & conditions as per mentioned<br>in RFP.  | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 247. | 13  | Part-<br>II/Clause 4 | The EMD of unsuccessful bidders<br>will be returned to them on<br>completion of the tender process   | The EMD of unsuccessful bidders will<br>be returned to them <b>after 180 days of</b><br><b>opening of the bid o</b> r completion of<br>the tender process, <b>whichever is</b><br><b>earlier</b> . | Clause stands as per RFP |

| 248. | 45 | Part-<br>V/Clause<br>12 | Provided either party shall within<br>ten (10) days from the<br>occurrence of such a cause notify<br>the other in writing of such<br>causes. The Selected bidder or<br>the Bank shall not be liable for<br>delay in performing his/her<br>obligations resulting from any<br>Force Majeure cause as referred<br>to and / or defined above. | Bidder requests to amend the clause<br>to include the following at the end of<br>the main clause:<br>Provided either party shall within ten<br>(10) days from the occurrence of such<br>a cause notify the other in writing of<br>such causes. The Selected bidder or<br>the Bank shall not be liable for delay in<br>performing his/her obligations resulting<br>from any Force Majeure cause as<br>referred to and / or defined above.<br>Bidder shall be excused from the<br>performance of its obligations under<br>the contract for so long as the delay is<br>due to the act/omission of the Bank. | Clause stands as per RFP |
|------|----|-------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 249. | 41 | 5                       | The performance of the vendor<br>shall be reviewed monthly, if not<br>found satisfactory, Bank may<br>terminate the contract at its sole<br>discretion by giving three months'<br>notice without assigning any<br>reasons.  | Contract shall be terminated only for<br>serious and material breaches. We<br>further request that the bidder should<br>be allowed a cure period of a<br>minimum of 30 days prior to issuance<br>of notice of default for termination of<br>the contract.  | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 250. | 42 | 6                       | The vendor, within 15 days from<br>the date of issue of LOI & will have<br>to furnish a Performance Bank<br>Guarantee, format as per<br>Annexure-III of the RFP, issued by<br>any scheduled commercial bank<br>equivalent to 10% of the total cost<br>of the Project (TCO)/Order value  | <ol> <li>Performance guarantee (PBG) to<br/>be provided at 10% of annual<br/>contract value and shall be renewed<br/>yearly at 10% of relevant subsequent<br/>year's contract value.</li> <li>Customer shall invoke the PBG only<br/>on occurrence of material breach<br/>and after providing 30 days cure<br/>period to the service provider to<br/>rectify the material breach for which<br/>the PBG is sought to be invoked.</li> </ol>   | Clause stands as per RFP |

|      |    |      |                                       | Request for following changes into      |                                |
|------|----|------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
|      |    |      |                                       | payment terms                           |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | 1) Payment for Hardware shall be        |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | made 100% on delivery.                  |                                |
| 251. | 43 | 8    | Payment Terms                         | 2) AMC shall be paid yearly in          | Clause stands as per RFP       |
|      |    |      |                                       | advance.                                |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | 3) Facility Management & support        |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | cost shall be paid basis monthly in     |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | arrears.                                |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | Contract shall be terminated only for   |                                |
|      |    |      | The Bank reserves the right to        | serious and material breaches. We       |                                |
|      |    |      | cancel the contract in the event      | further request that the bidder should  | Clause stands as per REP       |
| 252. | 49 | 20   | of happening one or more of the       | be allowed a cure period of a           | Please refer page 51 clause 22 |
|      |    |      | following Conditions                  | minimum of 30 days prior to issuance    |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | of notice of default for termination of |                                |
|      |    |      |                                       | the contract.                           |                                |
|      |    |      | The Bank will reserve a right to re-  |   |                                |
|      |    | 20-0 | the entire contract with the          |   |                                |
|      |    |      | Selected Ridder at more               |   |                                |
|      |    |      | favorable terms for Bank in case      |   |                                |
|      |    |      | such terms are offered in the         |   |                                |
|      |    | 20 D | industry at that time for projects of |   |                                |
|      |    | 20-0 | similar and comparable size           |   |                                |
|      |    |      | scope and quality                     | Request for deletion of these clauses   |                                |
| 253  | 49 |      |                                       | as this contract will be awarded after  | Clause stands as per REP       |
| 200. |    |      | The Bank shall have the option of     | a competitive bid process               |                                |
|      |    |      | purchasing the equipment from         |   |                                |
|      |    |      | third-party suppliers, in case such   |   |                                |
|      |    | 20-E | equipment is available at a lower     |   |                                |
|      |    |      | price and the Selected Bidder's       |   |                                |
|      |    |      | offer does not match such lower       |   |                                |
|      |    |      | price. Notwithstanding                |   |                                |
|      |    | 20-F | the foregoing, the Selected           |   |                                |
|      |    |      | Bidder shall continue to have the     |   |                                |

|      |     |    | same obligations as contained in<br>this scope document in relation to<br>such equipment procured from<br>third-party suppliers.<br>As aforesaid the Bank would<br>procure the equipment from the<br>third party only in the event that<br>the equipment was available at<br>more favorable terms in the<br>industry, and secondly<br>The Equipment procured here<br>from third parties is functionally<br>similar, so that the Selected Bidder |   |                          |
|------|-----|----|---|---|--------------------------|
| 254. | 53  | 28 | SLA Penalty   | The total SLA penalty shall be capped at 5% of the monthly billing.   | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 255. | 150 | 10 | This Agreement may be<br>terminated by either Party giving<br>sixty (60) days' prior written notice<br>to the other Party   | Bank may terminate the contract for<br>convenience after giving 90 days'<br>notice period along with following:<br>1) Payment of for the hardware and<br>the services rendered till the date of<br>termination.<br>2) Payment of exit fee along with wind<br>down / shut down expenses. | Clause stands as per RFP |
| 256. | NA  | NA | Additional Clause   | Bidder seeks right to terminate or<br>suspend services in the event of delay<br>in payment of undisputed invoice.   | Not admissible           |
| 257. | NA  | NA | Additional Clause   | All payments shall be made within 30 days from the date of invoice.   | Not admissible           |

| 258. | 7  | Control<br>Sheet<br>Table  | Last Date and Time for receipts of<br>tender bids is 26/12/2019  | We would request Bank to please<br>allow minimum 3 weeks from the date<br>of Pre-bid query response &<br>Corrigendum published by Bank<br>Authority. Kindly note that all the OEMs<br>including Bidder like us are closed<br>during December end. This is<br>comprehensive bid. We request you<br>to please keep the submission in the<br>week begining 13th of January 2020.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
|------|----|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| 259. | 10 | Eligibility<br>Criteria    | The Bidder must be in Core<br>Business of IT Network services &<br>solution for minimum 5 years and<br>should have expertise in Design,<br>Supply, Implementation and<br>Configuration of Data Centre<br>Network Fabric Infrastructure in at-<br>least one public sector Bank in<br>India. The Bidder must also have<br>experience across diverse<br>networking technologies i.e.<br>Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. | Request Bank to modify as "The Bidder<br>must be in Core Business of IT Network<br>services & solution for minimum 5 years<br>and should have expertise in Design,<br>Supply, Implementation and<br>Configuration of Data Centre Network<br>Fabric Infrastructure in at-least one<br>public sector Bank/ <b>Govt of India</b><br><b>owned Bank, Financial Institute in</b><br><b>India</b> . The Bidder must also have<br>experience across diverse networking<br>technologies i.e. Switching, Routing,<br>Security Solutions and Network<br>management services." | Clause modified as under:<br>The Bidder must be in Core Business<br>of IT Network services & solution for<br>minimum 5 years and should have<br>expertise in Design, Supply,<br>Implementation and Configuration<br>of Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one PSBs /<br>Private Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI /<br>RBI/ PSUs in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across<br>diverse networking technologies<br>i.e. Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. |
| 260. | 11 | 6. Eligibility<br>Criteria | The Bidder should be an<br>authorized partner with the<br>highest level of partnership with<br>proposed OEMs at-least for the<br>last 3 years.   | Request Bank to modify as "The Bidder<br>should be an authorized partner <del>with</del><br><del>the highest level of partnership</del> with<br>proposed OEMs at-least for the last 3<br>years."   | Clause modified as under:<br>The Bidder should be an authorized<br>partner with proposed OEMs at<br>least for the last 3 years  |
| 261. |    |                            | Additional Recommendation  | Proposed OEM of each Category<br>must have their own 24x7 Customer<br>support center in operation since last<br>five years. 24x7 Customer support  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|      |    |                               |   | center must be manned by at-least   |   |
|------|----|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
|      |    |                               |   | 100+ Engineers.   |   |
| 262. |    |                               | Additional Recommendation   | All the Networking products proposed<br>should be supported by a "Malicious<br>code free" Declaration Letter legally<br>vetted by the OEM   | New Clause added: (under scope<br>of work)<br>All the Networking products<br>proposed should be supported by<br>a "Malicious code free"<br>Declaration Letter legally vetted<br>by the OEM  |
| 263. | 31 | Part IV -<br>Scope of<br>Work | 23. The software & hardware<br>quoted by bidder should not be<br>declared as End of Sale (EOS) by<br>the OEM at least for two years<br>from the date of installation. In the<br>event of the supplied equipment<br>being declared End of Sale within<br>the mentioned period, the bidder<br>has to replace the equipment<br>with equipment having<br>equivalent or higher<br>configurations without any<br>additional cost to the Bank. | Request you to modify this as "<br>The software & hardware quoted by<br>bidder should not be declared as End<br>of Sale (EOS) by the OEM on the date<br>of supply. In the<br>event of the supplied equipment<br>being declared End of Sale within the<br>mentioned period, the bidder has to<br>replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or<br>higher configurations without any<br>additional cost to the Bank. | Clause modified as under:<br>The software & hardware quoted<br>by bidder should not be declared<br>as End of Sale (EOS) by the OEM<br>from the date of Installation. In the<br>event of the supplied equipment<br>being declared End of Sale within<br>the mentioned period, the bidder<br>has to replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or<br>higher configurations without any<br>additional cost to the Bank. The<br>proposed device should not reach<br>End of support/End of life during<br>the contract period. In the event of<br>the supplied equipment being<br>declared End of support within the<br>mentioned period, the bidder has<br>to replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or<br>higher configurations without any<br>additional cost to the Bank |

| 264. | 74 | BILL OF<br>MATERIAL<br>AND PRICE<br>SCHEDULE       | FM Services 24x7x365 , DC(1 seat0<br>and DR(1 Seat)  | Please confirm whether the resource<br>required is L1/L2/L3 per shift and also<br>confirm other than DC & DR do we<br>need to deploy any additional<br>resource at Bank's Project Office at<br>Salk Lake | <u>DR</u><br>One L2 resource- Shift 1: 07:00 hrs to<br>15:00 hrs<br>One L2 resource - Shift 2: 15:00 hrs<br>to 23:00 hrs<br>One L1 resource - Shift 3: 23:00 hrs<br>to 07:00 hrs<br><u>DC</u><br>One L2 resource- Shift 1: 07:00 hrs to<br>15:00 hrs<br>One L1 resource - Shift 2: 15:00 hrs<br>to 23:00 hrs<br>Shift 3: 23:00 hrs to 07:00 hrs (no<br>resource required) |
|------|----|--|--|--|---|
| 265. | 31 | Part IV -<br>Scope of<br>Work                      | 27. The successful bidder should<br>provide support for all the<br>supplied devices on 24X7X365<br>basis and should replace the<br>equipment within 4 hours of time,<br>in case of any failure. (SLA<br>penalty applicable in case of<br>default). | Request you to remove the clause as<br>all the devices are in cluster and<br>individual device failure will not have<br>any impact.  | Clause stands as per RFP  |
| 266. | 54 | PART-V<br>28.<br>SERVICE<br>LEVEL<br>AGREEME<br>NT | Uptime: on monthly basis as<br>mentioned below:<br>DC -DR and Branch Network -<br>Uptime SLA 99.9%   | The branch Network is not in the<br>scope of this RFP and request you to<br>remove the same along with the<br>associated penalties   | Please refer corrigendum  |
| 267. | 54 | Part-V<br>28.<br>Service<br>Level<br>Agreeme<br>Nt | PAYMENT AGAINST DELIVERY OF<br>SLAS:   | The % of Payment , penalty is very high<br>and request you to kindly review the<br>same  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

| 268. | 10 | 3.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | The Bidder must be in Core<br>Business of IT Network services &<br>solution for minimum 5 years and<br>should have expertise in Design,<br>Supply, Implementation and<br>Configuration of Data Centre<br>Network Fabric Infrastructure in at-<br>least one public sector Bank in<br>India. The Bidder must also have<br>experience across diverse<br>networking technologies i.e.<br>Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. | Request you to change the same as<br>"The Bidder must be in Core Business of<br>IT Network services & solution for<br>minimum 5 years and should have<br>expertise in Design, Supply,<br>Implementation and Configuration of<br>Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one public<br>sector Bank/ RRB/State/Central Govt<br>Organization in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across diverse<br>networking technologies i.e.<br>Switching, Routing, Security Solutions<br>and Network management services.  | Clause modified as under:<br>The Bidder must be in Core Business<br>of IT Network services & solution for<br>minimum 5 years and should have<br>expertise in Design, Supply,<br>Implementation and Configuration<br>of Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one PSBs /<br>Private Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI /<br>RBI/ PSUs in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across<br>diverse networking technologies<br>i.e. Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. |
|------|----|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 269. |    | General                       | Limitation of Liability  | Request you to include:<br>Bidder's aggregate liability under the<br>contract shall be limited to a<br>maximum of the contract value. This<br>limit shall not apply to third party<br>claims for<br>a. IP Infringement indemnity.<br>b. Bodily injury (including Death) and<br>damage to real property and tangible<br>property caused by Bidder/s' gross<br>negligence.<br>For the purpose of this section,<br>contract value at any given point of<br>time, means the aggregate value of<br>the purchase orders placed by Bank<br>on the Bidder that gave rise to claim,<br>under this RFP.<br>c. Bidder shall not be liable for any<br>indirect, consequential, incidental or | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|      |     |            |                                      | special damages under the                |                          |
|------|-----|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
|      |     |            |                                      | agreement/ purchase order.               |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      |  |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | Request you to change the same as :      |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | "The selected bidder agrees to           |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | indemnify and keep indemnified the       |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | Bank against actual, direct and proven   |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | losses, damages, costs, charges and      |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | expenses incurred or suffered by the     |                          |
|      |     |            | The Receiving Party shall            | Bank due to or on account of any         |                          |
|      |     |            | indemnify the Bank and hold the      | claim for infringement of intellectual   |                          |
|      |     |            | Bank harmless against any loss       | property rights. The selected Bidder     |                          |
|      |     |            | caused to it as a result of the non- | agrees to indemnify and keep             |                          |
|      |     | D.         | performance or improper              | indemnified Bank at times against all    |                          |
| 270. | 149 | Indemnific | performance of this Agreement        | actual, direct and proven claims,        | Clause stands as per RFP |
|      |     | ation      | by the Receiving Party, or its       | demands, actions, costs, expenses        |                          |
|      |     |            | servants or agents to perform any    | which may arise or be brought against    |                          |
|      |     |            | aspect of its obligations forming    | the Bank, by third parties on account of |                          |
|      |     |            | part of the subject matter of this   | gross negligence or deliberate failure   |                          |
|      |     |            | Agreement.                           | to fulfil obligations by the selected    |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | bidder or its employees/personnel. All   |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | indemnities shall survive                |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | notwithstanding expiry or termination    |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | of Service Level Agreement and the       |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | Vendor shall continue to be liable       |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | under the indemnities. "                 |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | Request you to include"                  |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | "Bidder/Service Provider may             |                          |
| 071  |     | 22.        | To make a line Dialah                | terminate this Agreement and / or any    |                          |
| 2/1. | 51  |            | lermination Rights                   | SOW upon written notice to the Bank if   | No change                |
|      |     | ON         |                                      | The Bank commits a default or material   |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | breach and does not remedy the           |                          |
|      |     |            |                                      | aetault or material breach within 30     |                          |

|      |   |   |  | days of notice from the Bidder/Service<br>Provider''   |   |
|------|---|---|--|--|---|
|      |   |   |  |  |   |
| 272. | 9 | Point No<br>03, SI No<br>05,<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | The Bidder must be in Core<br>Business of IT Network services &<br>solution for minimum 5 years and<br>should have expertise in Design,<br>Supply, Implementation and<br>Configuration of Data Centre<br>Network Fabric Infrastructure in at-<br>least one public sector Bank in<br>India. The Bidder must also have<br>experience across diverse<br>networking technologies i.e.<br>Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. | The Bidder must be in Core Business of<br>IT Network services & solution for<br><b>minimum 2 years</b> and should have<br>expertise in Design, Supply,<br>Implementation and Configuration of<br>Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one public<br>sector Bank/ANY Government<br>Organization in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across diverse<br>networking technologies i.e. Switching,<br>Routing, Security Solutions and Network<br>management services | Clause modified as under:<br>The Bidder must be in Core Business<br>of IT Network services & solution for<br>minimum 5 years and should have<br>expertise in Design, Supply,<br>Implementation and Configuration<br>of Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one PSBs /<br>Private Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI /<br>RBI/ PSUs in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across<br>diverse networking technologies<br>i.e. Switching, Routing, Security<br>Solutions and Network<br>management services. |
| 273. | 9 | Point No<br>03, SI No<br>06,<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | The Bidder should be an<br>authorized partner with the<br>highest level of partnership with<br>proposed OEMs at least for the<br>last 3 years  | The Bidder should be an authorized partner with proposed OEM   | Clause modified as under:<br>The Bidder should be an authorized<br>partner with proposed OEMs at<br>least for the last 3 years  |
| 274. | 9 | Point No<br>08,,<br>Payment<br>Terms                    | Bank will make the payment<br>subject to signing of the contract<br>as follows:<br>Ø 40% of the value of new<br>network<br>equipment/devices/solutions/<br>upgradation<br>of along with Taxes, will be paid<br>after delivery on submission of<br>proof of delivery.<br>Ø 50% of the value network   | Bank will make the payment subject<br>to signing of the contract as follows:<br>Ø 60% of the value of new network<br>equipment/devices/solutions/<br>upgradation of along with Taxes, will<br>be paid after delivery on submission of<br>proof of delivery.<br>Ø 40% of the value network<br>equipment/devices/solutions/<br>upgradation of completion of<br>successful installation & operational,  | Clause stands as per RFP  |

|  | equipment/devices/solutions/       | the acceptance certificate duly           |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
|  | upgradation of completion of       | signed by Bank's authorized official &    |  |
|  | successful installation &          | satisfactory service report from the      |  |
|  | operational, the acceptance        | Bank where the systems have been          |  |
|  | certificate duly signed by Bank's  | installed after realizing penalty         |  |
|  | authorized official & satisfactory | charges for late delivery & installation, |  |
|  | service report from the Bank       | if any Ø 100 % of implementation          |  |
|  | where the systems have been        | cost will be paid after successful        |  |
|  | installed after realizing penalty  | installation & operational, the           |  |
|  | charges for late delivery &        | acceptance certificate duly signed        |  |
|  | installation, if any. The balance  | by Bank's authorized                      |  |
|  | 10% of order value will be paid    | official & satisfactory service report    |  |
|  | after 3 months successful running. | from the Bank where the systems have      |  |
|  | Ø 100 % of implementation cost     | been installed after realizing penalty    |  |
|  | will be paid after successful      | charges for late delivery & installation, |  |
|  | installation &                     | if any.                                   |  |
|  | operational, the acceptance        | Ø Payment towards Facility                |  |
|  | certificate duly signed by Bank's  | Management will be made quarterly         |  |
|  | authorized                         | in arrears and AMC in yearly advance      |  |
|  | official & satisfactory service    | after issuing of necessary invoice and    |  |
|  | report from the Bank where the     | submission of monthly reports             |  |
|  | systems have                       | including SLA and after deduction of      |  |
|  | been installed after realizing     | penalties if any. In case of termination  |  |
|  | penalty charges for late delivery  | of services, the payment will be made     |  |
|  | & installation, if                 | on pro rata basis for the duration for    |  |
|  | any.                               | which the services were provided.         |  |
|  | Ø Payment towards Facility         |   |  |
|  | Management & AMC will be           |   |  |
|  | made quarterly in                  |   |  |
|  | arrears after issuing of necessary |   |  |
|  | invoice and submission of monthly  |   |  |
|  | reports                            |   |  |
|  | including SLA and after deduction  |   |  |
|  | of penalties if any. In case of    |   |  |
|  | termination                        |   |  |

|      |    |                      | of services, the payment will be<br>made on pro rata basis for the<br>duration for<br>which the services were provided.  |   |  |
|------|----|----------------------|--|---|--|
| 275. | 30 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK | 18. The spine and leaf network<br>switches in the proposed data<br>centre fabric should be<br>interoperable with the Bank's<br>existing network & security<br>devices of OEM HPE, Checkpoint<br>and Cisco.   | Request you to please share the<br>interface details of the existing<br>devices like HPE, Checkpoint and<br>Cisco, so that compatible interfaces<br>can be proposed.  | Details will be shared with the successful bidder                                |
| 276. | 31 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK | 25. The bidder should provide<br>adequate training on the<br>proposed DC fabric setup to Bank<br>officials preferably at DC & DR.  | Please clarify, how many days of<br>training would be required and for<br>how many users training would be<br>required, along with the training<br>location.<br>Training required would be L1 / L2 / L3.<br>Please clarify. | The same will be shared with the successful bidder                               |
| 277. | 32 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK | 35. Bank has deployed various<br>OEMs router, switches, Firewall<br>and security devices in Bank's<br>Intranet and the proposed DC<br>Fabric Network, Firewalls, VPN<br>concentrators, L3 switches should<br>be Interoperable with these<br>equipment.   | As bidder understand scope is only for<br>the DC & DR network and<br>interoperability of the existing DC & DR<br>devices. There is no scope outside DC<br>& DR for network or anything else.<br>Please confirm.             | The device should be functional<br>and compatible with<br>branch/office devices. |
| 278. | 28 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK | 1. The bidder should supply,<br>deliver, install, configure and<br>maintain the Data center fabric<br>(SDN ready from day one) along<br>with the software, hardware in<br>Spine-Leaf architecture, Core<br>Firewall, VPN Concentrator and<br>other network devices (L3<br>Switches) as mentioned | Migration expected would be As-Is<br>migration or it would it would be new<br>implementation?<br>IP addresses of the end servers and<br>devices would change or not? Please<br>clarify.                                     | Clause stands as per RFP   |

|      |    |                      | Annexure-XI and said seamless<br>migration and integration with<br>existing Network Architecture of<br>Bank.   |  |  |
|------|----|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 279. | 28 | SCOPE OF<br>THE WORK | 1. The bidder should supply,<br>deliver, install, configure and<br>maintain the Data center fabric<br>(SDN ready from day one) along<br>with the software, hardware in<br>Spine-Leaf architecture, Core<br>Firewall, VPN Concentrator and<br>other network devices (L3<br>Switches) as mentioned<br>Annexure-XI and said seamless<br>migration and integration with<br>existing Network Architecture of<br>Bank. | For migration, IP addressing would be<br>new or existing IP addressing would be<br>used with the servers and network<br>devices. Please clarify.   | The same shall be shared with the successful bidder                    |
| 280. | 41 | Contract<br>Period   | SLA will cover performance and<br>availability of the solution<br>deployed for a period of Six years<br>from the date of each installation<br>and acceptance by the bank.  | How would performance of the<br>devices and network would be<br>measured? What would be the KPI for<br>the performance?                            | Based on the SLA.  |
| 281. | 41 | Contract<br>Period   | SLA will cover performance and<br>availability of the solution<br>deployed for a period of Six years<br>from the date of each installation<br>and acceptance by the bank.  | Bank is using any tool for SLA<br>measurement? If yes, please provide<br>the details of the tools?   | The same shall be shared with the successful bidder                    |
| 282. |    | General<br>Query     |  | As per the understanding, Any<br>integration with the branches would<br>be out of scope. Please clarify.   | The device should be configured<br>to be used at the branches/ offices |
| 283. |    | General<br>Query     |  | Request you to please let us know the<br>purpose of L3 switches. Will the L3<br>switches part of spine and leaf<br>architecture? Where L3 switches | L3 Switch will may be Integrated with leaf spine switches              |

|      |   |                  | would be used. What sort of<br>connectivity would terminate on L3<br>switches?  |   |
|------|---|------------------|---|---|
| 284. | G | General<br>Query | Request you to please share the<br>existing network design for DC & DR<br>along with devices details. This will<br>help us with the efforts calculation for<br>migration, implementation and<br>configuration of network. | The same shall be shared with the successful bidder |



#### **Department of Information Technology**

#### <u>Request for Proposal (RFP) for Supply, Delivery, Installation and Maintenance of Network Devices</u> <u>RFP Ref. No: DIT/BPR & BTD/OA/4412/2019-20 Date: 02/12/2019</u> <u>Amendments, Addendums and Corrigendum's</u>

### 3 .ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (Part-I)

|         | Existing Clause  |  |
|---------|--|--|
| SL. No. | Eligibility Criteria   | (Proof of Documents required /must<br>be submitted)  |
| 4       | The bidder must have supplied, implemented and<br>maintaining/maintained proposed OEM's SDN / SDN<br>ready Data Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine<br>switches & 8 Leaf switches, VPN Concentrator, Firewall<br>and L3 Switches in minimum 2 organisations out of PSBs<br>/ Private Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI in India.   | Purchase order in name of bidder And<br>execution/installation certificate from<br>existing customer(s).                 |
| 5       | The Bidder must be in Core Business of IT Network<br>services & solution for minimum 5 years and should<br>have expertise in Design, Supply, Implementation and<br>Configuration of Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one public sector Bank in<br>India. The Bidder must also have experience across<br>diverse networking technologies i.e. Switching,<br>Routing, Security Solutions and Network management<br>services. | Purchase order in name of bidder And<br>execution/installation certificate from<br>existing customer(s).                 |
| 6       | The Bidder should be an authorized partner with the highest level of partnership with proposed OEMs at least for the last 3 years.   | The bidder should submit<br>Manufacturer Authorization Form as<br>per format given in the RFP.                           |
|         | Modified Clause  |  |
| SL. No. | Eligibility Criteria   | (Proof of Documents required /must<br>be submitted)  |
| 4       | The bidder must have supplied, implemented and<br>maintaining / maintained SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of minimum 2 Spine switches & 8 Leaf<br>switches, VPN Concentrator, Firewall and L3 Switches<br>in minimum 2 organizations out of PSBs / Private Sector<br>Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/ PSUs/State data centers in<br>India.  | Purchase order in name of bidder And<br>execution/installation certificate from<br>existing customer(s) to be submitted. |
| 5       | The Bidder must be in Core Business of IT Network<br>services & solution for minimum 5 years and should<br>have expertise in Design, Supply, Implementation and  | Purchase order in name of bidder<br>And execution/installation certificate<br>from existing customer(s).                 |

|                                | Configuration of Data Centre Network Fabric<br>Infrastructure in at-least one PSBs / Private Sector<br>Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/ PSUs in India. The Bidder must<br>also have experience across diverse networking<br>technologies i.e. Switching, Routing, Security Solutions<br>and Network management services. |   |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 6                              | The Bidder should be an authorized partner with proposed OEMs at least for the last 3 years  | The bidder should submit<br>Manufacturer Authorization Form as<br>per format given in the RFP                           |
| 11<br>(New<br>Clause<br>added) | The proposed OEM product SDN / SDN ready Data<br>Centre Fabric of Spine switches & Leaf switches, VPN<br>Concentrator, Firewall and L3 Switches should be<br>running as on RFP date in minimum 1 organizations out<br>of PSBs / Private Sector Banks/ BSE / NPCI / RBI/<br>PSUs/State data centers in India.     | Purchase order in name of bidder And<br>execution/installation certificate from<br>existing customer(s) to be submitted |

# Scope of the Work (Part-IV)

| Clause No     | Existing Clause  | Modified Clause   |
|---------------|--|---|
| Clause no. 23 | The software & hardware quoted by bidder<br>should not be declared as End of Sale (EOS)<br>by the OEM at least for two years from the<br>date of installation. In the event of the<br>supplied equipment being declared End of<br>Sale within the mentioned period, the<br>bidder has to replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or higher<br>configurations without any additional cost<br>to the Bank. | The software & hardware quoted by bidder<br>should not be declared as End of Sale<br>(EOS) by the OEM from the date of<br>Installation. In the event of the supplied<br>equipment being declared End of Sale<br>within the mentioned period, the bidder<br>has to replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or higher<br>configurations without any additional cost<br>to the Bank. The proposed device should<br>not reach End of support/End of life during<br>the contract period. In the event of the<br>supplied equipment being declared End of<br>support within the mentioned period, the<br>bidder has to replace the equipment with<br>equipment having equivalent or higher<br>configurations without any additional cost<br>to the Bank |
| Clause no. 30 | The successful bidder should complete the<br>entire project (delivery, configuration,<br>migration of proposed DC fabric and<br>integration with existing network<br>architecture) within 8 weeks (for all<br>locations) from the issuance of Purchase<br>order. (Damages applicable in case of<br>default).   | The successful bidder should complete the<br>entire project (delivery, configuration,<br>migration of proposed DC fabric and<br>integration with existing network<br>architecture) within 12 weeks (for all<br>locations) from the issuance of Purchase<br>order. (Damages applicable in case of<br>default).   |
| Clause no. 34 | OEM onsite support should be provided<br>during the process of network devices<br>Installation and Configuration of DC fabric<br>and for resolution of complaints related to   | If required, bidder should arrange for OEM<br>support during the process of network<br>devices Installation and Configuration of<br>DC fabric and for resolution of complaints  |

|   | core components during the contract   | related to core components during the  |
|---|---|--|
|   | period.   | contract period.   |
| Clause no. 41                               | DC Fabric should support both IPv4 and IPv6<br>from day one. At present, IPv6 is not<br>implemented in Bank's Network. Bidder<br>need to implement IPv6 without any<br>additional cost whenever Bank decides to<br>implement.   | DC Fabric should support both IPv4 and<br>IPv6 from day one. At present, IPv6 is not<br>implemented in Bank's Network. Bidder<br>need to implement IPv6 on proposed<br>devices in this RFP without<br>any additional cost whenever Bank<br>decides to implement.   |
| Clause no. 45                               | Latency proposed network architecture should be less than 1 ms  | The latency in-between proposed leaf,<br>spine and Type-1 core firewall should be<br>less than 1 Microsecond at maximum load.  |
| Page No. 34                                 | Switch each with minimum 48 nos. Slot<br>based switches along with fibre SFPs. Fibre  | Each Switch should have minimum 32 nos.<br>of ports along with fiber SFPs module. Fiber  |
| Spine Switch<br>requirement                 | ports should support 10G/40g/100G port<br>capacity. Same slots of switches should also<br>be compatible for copper based SFPs which<br>should support 100M/1000M port capacity  | ports should support 10G/40g/100G port<br>capacity. Same slots of switches should<br>also be compatible for copper based SFPs<br>which should support 100M/1000M port  |
| Point No. (I)                               | Switch should work in High Availability (HA)<br>Active-Active mode.   | capacity. Switch should work in High<br>Availability (HA) Active-Active mode   |
| Page No- 35<br>VPN<br>concentrator          | VPN concentrator must support 6 Gbps of<br>Crypto throughput for IPSEC performance<br>and 10000 IPSEC tunnels from day 1. In case<br>of an external box, The VPN concentrator<br>must have redundant power supply & at  | VPN concentrator must support 10 Gbps of<br>Crypto throughput for IPSEC tunnel and<br>5000 IPSEC full loaded (2 Mbps) tunnels<br>from day 1. In case of an external box, The<br>VPN concentrator must have redundant<br>power supply & at least 6 x 1GE interfaces<br>and 6 no. of 10 G interface (SFP) from Day1.   |
| Point -III                                  | interface (SFP) from Day1.  | VPN concentrator should have capabilities<br>to handle 5000 IPSEC fully loaded (2 Mbps)<br>tunnel at any point of time (at start, re-start<br>or throughout the day)   |
| Page No. 38<br>Firewall<br>Point (†)        | The Firewall should have the ability to<br>integrate seamlessly with Active Directory,<br>proposed PIM tool to provide complete user<br>identification and enable application-<br>based policy definition per user or group.  | The Firewall should have the ability to<br>integrate seamlessly with Active Directory<br>to provide complete user identification<br>and enable application-based policy<br>definition per user or group.   |
| Clause no. 47<br>Delivery &<br>Installation | <ul> <li>a) The successful bidder should complete<br/>the entire project (delivery, Installation,<br/>configuration of proposed DC fabric,<br/>Firewall, VPN devices, Switches and<br/>integration with existing network<br/>architecture) within 8 weeks (for all<br/>locations) from the issuance of Purchase<br/>order</li> <li>b) The bidder is responsible for transit<br/>insurance, storage, insurance upto<br/>installation at the Bank side</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>a) The successful bidder should complete<br/>the entire project (delivery, Installation,<br/>configuration of proposed DC fabric,<br/>Firewall, VPN devices, Switches and<br/>integration with existing network<br/>architecture) within 12 weeks (for all<br/>locations) from the issuance of Purchase<br/>order.</li> <li>b) The bidder is responsible for transit<br/>insurance, storage, insurance upto<br/>delivery at the Bank side.</li> </ul> |
| Clause no. 48<br>(New clause<br>added)      |   | All the Networking products proposed<br>should be supported by a "Malicious code<br>free" Declaration Letter legally vetted by<br>the OEM  |

|               | Fabric must support zero trust policy model   |
|---------------|---|
|               | for connected systems or hosts to help in     |
| Clause no. 19 | protecting against any kind of attacks like   |
|               | Unauthorized Access, Man - in - the -         |
|               | middle - attack, Replay Attack, Data          |
| dudeuj        | Disclosure, Denial of Service and also act as |
|               | a State-less distributed firewall with the    |
|               | logging capability."                          |
| Clause no. 50 | Any upgrade/update of patches of the          |
| (New Clause   | proposed network devices should be            |
| added         | malicious free during the contract period.    |
|               | 51.1) Bidder should supply and installed      |
|               | required 100Gig (12 Fiber) uplink between     |
|               | Leaf to Spine and 10Gig for between Server    |
|               | to Leaf switch                                |
|               | 51.2) Bidder will propose the BoM for         |
|               | network cabling as per their active solution  |
| Clause no. 51 |   |
| (New Clause   | 51.3) All New servers will be placed within   |
| added)        | 12 adjacent Racks. And all existing servers   |
| addodj        | will be placed within 4 nos of adjacent       |
|               | Racks. Maximum distance between               |
|               | switches will be 60Mtr                        |
|               | 51.4) Maximum distance between one left       |
|               | switch to server will be 4 adjacent rack      |
|               | cable accordingly. Maximum no of server       |
|               | to leaf switch connectivity will be 200 nos.  |

# 28. SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENT (Part-V)

| Clause<br>No | Existing Clause       |  |   | Modified Clause |   |         |        |  |  |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------|---|---------|--------|--|--|
| 111          | Uptime: o<br>SL<br>No | on monthly basis as r<br>Link Category | nentioned below<br>Uptime<br>(24X7 basis) | •               | Uptime: on monthly basis as mentioned below:<br>SL<br>No<br>Locations<br>(24X7 basis) |         |        |  |  |
|              | 1                     | DC-DR and<br>Branch                    | 99.9 %                                    |                 | 1   | DC & DR | 99.9 % |  |  |

# 29. LIQUIDATED DAMAGE (Part-V)

#### **Existing Clause**

Any delay in delivery/installation/commissioning/shifting/upgradation of the link/device/equipment/ solution beyond the stipulated time period as per clause no. 5.3, Bank will charge penalty at 1 % of the order value for that device/equipment/implementation cost per week or part thereof, subject to a maximum of 10%. The bank may at its discretion also waive or reduce the penalty if the reasons for delay are considered to be justified. After elapsing of stipulated time period including 8 Weeks Liquidated damages period, if selected bidder fails to implement, the order will be deemed cancelled after imposing necessary penalty amount and bank will place the order to any other selected bidder. If Bidder fails to commission the link as per feasibility report (this includes change of media) 10% of the link cost will be deducted from payment of other link or from Performance Bank Guarantee and bank will place the order to any other selected bidder.

#### Modified Clause

Any delay in delivery/installation/ commissioning/shifting/upgradation of the device/equipment/ solution beyond the stipulated time period as per clause no. 47, Bank will charge penalty at 1 % of the order value for that device/equipment/implementation cost per week or part thereof, subject to a maximum of 10%. The bank may at its discretion also waive or reduce the penalty if the reasons for delay are considered to be justified. After elapsing of stipulated time period including 12 Weeks Liquidated damages period, if selected bidder fails to implement, the order will be deemed cancelled after imposing necessary penalty amount & Bank will deduct the same from Performance Bank Guarantee or from any outstanding payment.

#### Annexure- XI

### BILL OF MATERIAL AND PRICE SCHEDULE (TO BE SUBMITTED WITH TECHNICAL BID)

#### **Component of Network devices:**

| SI. |                                | Uni      | it       |      | Model and<br>Part no. |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------|----------|------|-----------------------|
| No. | Description                    | DC       | DR       | маке | (Device<br>and AMC)   |
| 1   | Minimum 32 Port Spine Switches | 2        | 2        |      |                       |
|     | with Fiber module              |          |          |      |                       |
| 2   | 48 Port Leaf Switches-(Copper) | 2        | 2        |      |                       |
|     | with copper port module if any |          |          |      |                       |
| 3   | 24 Port Leaf Switches-(Copper) | 6        | 6        |      |                       |
|     | with copper port module if any |          |          |      |                       |
| 4   | 48 Port Leaf Switches-(Fiber)  | 6        | 6        |      |                       |
|     | with Fiber module              |          |          |      |                       |
| 5   | Firewall (HA) –Type 1          | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                       |
| 6   | Firewall (HA)- Type 2          | 1 pair   | 2 pair   |      |                       |
| 7   | VPN Concentrator (HA)          | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                       |
| 8   | L3 Switches                    | 2        | 6        |      |                       |
| 9   | Network Cabling                | As       | As       |      |                       |
|     |                                | required | required |      |                       |
| 10  | FM Services 24x7x365           | 1(Seat)  | 1(Seat)  |      |                       |
| 11  | AMC of minimum 32 Port Spine   | 2        | 2        |      |                       |
|     | Switches with Fiber module     |          |          |      |                       |
| 12  | AMC of 48 Port Leaf Switches-  | 2        | 2        |      |                       |
|     | (Copper) with copper port      |          |          |      |                       |
|     | module if any                  |          |          |      |                       |
| 13  | AMC of 24 Port Leaf Switches-  | 6        | 6        |      |                       |
|     | (Copper) with copper port      |          |          |      |                       |
|     | module if any                  |          |          |      |                       |
| 14  | AMC of 48 Port Leaf Switches-  | 6        | 6        |      |                       |
|     | (Fiber) with Fiber module      |          |          |      |                       |
| 15  | AMC of Firewall (HA) –Type 1   | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                       |
| 16  | AMC of Firewall (HA)- Type 2   | 1 pair   | 2 pair   |      |                       |
| 17  | AMC of VPN Concentrator (HA)   | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                       |
| 18  | AMC of L3 Switches             | 2        | 6        |      |                       |
| 19  | AMC of Network Cabling         | As       | As       |      |                       |
|     |                                | required | required |      |                       |

<u>Annexure- XII</u>

## **COMMERCIAL TEMPLATE**

|  |   |  | Table  | e A  |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
|--|---|--|--|------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| Devices Cost with 3 Years Warranty & Implementation Cost |   |  |  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| SI.<br>No.   | Description   | DC DR  |  | Make | Model and device part no | without tax<br>(B) | Tax<br>(C) | Tax<br>D= (AxB)+C |  |
| 1  | Minimum 32 Port Spine Switches with<br>Fiber module   | 2  | 2  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 2  | 48 Port Leaf Switches-(Copper) with copper port module if any   | 2  | 2  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 3  | 24 Port Leaf Switches-(Copper) with copper port module if any   | 6  | 6  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 4  | 48 Port Leaf Switches-(Fiber) with Fiber module   | 6  | 6  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 5  | Firewall (HA)- type 1   | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 6  | Firewall (HA)- type 2   | 1 pair   | 2 pair   |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 7  | VPN Concentrator (HA)   | 1 pair   | 1 pair   |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 8  | L3 Switches   | 2  | 6  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 9  | Network Cabling   | As<br>required   | As<br>required   |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 10   | Additional devices/Items required for<br>the compliance of the scope of work<br>as per the Annexure –XVI, if any. |  |  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
| 11   | Implementation Cost   | All<br>supplied<br>devices<br>with<br>network<br>cabling | All<br>supplied<br>devices<br>with<br>network<br>cabling |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |
|  |   | Sub To   | al of Table A  |      |                          |                    |            |                   |  |

<u>RFP Ref. No: DIT/BPR & BTD/OA/4412/2019-20 Date: 02/12/2019</u>

| <b>SI.</b><br><b>No.</b><br>1 <sup>N</sup> <sub>S</sub> | <b>Description</b><br>Minimum 32 Port Spine<br>Switches with Fiber module  | DC             | DR             | Make  | Model<br>and<br>AMC | 4 <sup>th</sup> year<br>AMC | Τα       | 5 <sup>h</sup> year<br>AMC | _           | Total Price                  |
|---|--|----------------|----------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| <b>SI.</b><br><b>No.</b><br>1 No.                       | Description<br>Ainimum 32 Port Spine<br>Switches with Fiber module   | DC             | DR             | Make  | and<br>AMC          | AMC                         | Ta       | AMC                        | _           |                              |
| 1 N<br>S  | Minimum 32 Port Spine<br>witches with Fiber module   | 0              |                |       | part no             | Unif<br>Price (B)           | ×<br>(c) | Unit<br>Price<br>(D)       | Tax<br>(E ) | with tax<br>F=A(B+D)+C<br>+E |
| 4   |  | Z              | 2              |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 2 ((<br>n   | 18 Port Leaf Switches-<br>Copper) with copper port<br>nodule if any  | 2              | 2              |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 2<br>3 (0<br>m  | 24 Port Leaf Switches-<br>Copper) with copper port<br>module if any  | 6              | 6              |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 4 4<br>v  | 18 Port Leaf Switches-(Fiber)<br>vith Fiber module   | 6              | 6              |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 5 Fi  | irewall (HA)- type 1   | 1 pair         | 1 pair         |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 6 Fi  | irewall (HA)- type 2   | 1 pair         | 2 pair         |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 7 V   | /PN Concentrator (HA)  | 1 pair         | 1 pair         |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 8 L.  | 3 Switches   | 2              | 6              |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 9 N   | Network Cabling  | As<br>required | As<br>required |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
| 10<br>A<br>A  | Additional devices/Items<br>equired for the compliance of<br>he scope of work as per the<br>Annexure –XVI, if any. |                |                |       |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |
|   |  | Sub            | Total of Ta    | ble B |                     |                             |          |                            |             |                              |

Page 95 of 140

|       | Table C<br>FM Services 24x7x365 (1 seat) for 5 years |     |                      |
|-------|--|-----|----------------------|
| SL No | Years  | Tax | Total price With Tax |
| 1     | 1st year   |     |                      |
| 2     | 2nd Years  |     |                      |
| 3     | 3rd Years  |     |                      |
| 4     | 4 <sup>th</sup> Year                                 |     |                      |
| 5     | 5 <sup>th</sup> Year                                 |     |                      |
|       | Sub Total of Table                                   | с   |                      |

| Table D   |  |
|---|--|
| Total Project Cost (TCO)  |  |
| Sub Total of Table A (Devices Cost with 3 Years Warranty & Implementation Cost)               |  |
| Sub Total of Table B (4th & 5th Year Comprehensive AMC)                                       |  |
| Sub Total of Table C (FM Server 24x7x365 (1 seat) for 5 years)                                |  |
| Total Project Cost (TCO) = (Sub Total of Table A+ Sub Total of Table B +Sub Total of Table C) |  |

#### Note:

1. The calculation for arriving at TCO is properly mentioned in the appropriate columns and we confirm that the above mentioned rates are accurate. In case of any anomalies in the calculation for arriving at TCO, the Bank will have the right to rectify the same and it will be binding upon our company.

Page 96 of 140

- 2. If the cost for any line item is indicated as zero or blank then Bank may assume that the said item is provided to the Bank without any cost.
- 3. Bank has discretion to keep any of the line item mentioned above as optional as per Bank's requirement.
- 4. We have ensured that the price information is filled in the Commercial Offer at appropriate column without any typographical or arithmetic errors. All fields have been filled in correctly.
- 5. We have not added or modified any clauses/ statements/ recordings/ declarations in the commercial offer, which is conditional and/or qualified or subjected to suggestions.
- 6. We have not added or modified any clauses/ statements/ recordings/ declarations in the commercial offer, which contain any deviation in terms & conditions or any specification.
- 7. We have understood that in case of non-adherence to any of the above, our offer will be summarily rejected.
- 8. In case of any discrepancy between figures and words, the amount in words shall prevail.
- 9. Please note that any Commercial offer which is conditional and/ or qualified or subjected to suggestions will also be summarily rejected. This offer shall not contain any deviation in terms & condition or any specifications, if so such offer will be summarily rejected.
- **10.** All prices should be quoted in (INR) only.
- 11. The TCO (Total cost of ownership) will be inclusive of GST and other applicable taxes. However the GST and other applicable taxes will be paid as per actuals at time of billing.
- 12. While TCO shall be used by the Bank to discover L1 bidder, Order may be placed for all or selected line items mentioned above on the L1 bidder price.

Place: Date: AUTHORISED SIGNATORY Name: Designation:

Page 97 of 140

### **TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS**

#### **SPINE SWITCH REQUIREMENTS**

| SL.<br>No. | Feature Description  |  |
|------------|--|--|
| 1          | Architecture   |  |
| 1.1        | The Solution should support logical device separation for each individual server farm infrastructure at zone level (No Virtualization / No Virtual Chassis /Stacking), Zone details as per current setup (3 zones - Non-prod servers, Tandem and CBS). It should support minimum 8 zones   |  |
| 1.2        | The proposed switching system/solution should be of modular chassis with redundancy / high availability for control and Data plane.  |  |
| 2          | Performance  |  |
| 2.1        | Spine Switches must have adequate number of line rate 40G/100G ports to support desired Leaf Scale. Each Leaf connects to Each Spine using minimum 1 x 100 G ports connectivity i.e. Each Spine must have minimum 32 nos. of line rate 40G/100G ports minimum scalable to 64 nos. with consideration of leaf to SPINE over subscription ratio of 3:1 or more |  |
| 2.2        | Should support minimum 5 Tbps switching capacity/throughput or more  |  |
| 2.3        | Switch must support at least 32 or more wire-speed 40/100 GBE ports.   |  |
| 2.4        | Switch must at least 2 BPPS or more wire-speed L2 & L3   |  |
| 2.5        | Switch must support Non-blocking architecture  |  |
| 2.6        | Switch must support QSFP of 40/100 GBE capacity  |  |
| 2.7        | Should support 40G/100 GBE long range and short range QSFPs.   |  |
| 2.8        | Switch must support for different logical interface types like loopback, VLAN, SVI/RBI, Port Channel/LAG, multi chassis port channel etc.  |  |
| 2.9        | Switch must support VLAN tagging (IEEE 802.1q)   |  |
| 2.10       | Switch should support IEEE Link Aggregation or Ethernet Bonding  |  |
| 2.11       | The switch should support hardware based load-balancing at wire speed using LACP and multi chassis ether-channel/LAG   |  |
| 2.12       | Switch should have wire rate switching capacity including the services:  |  |
| 2.13.1     | Switching  |  |
| 2.13.2     | IP Routing (Static/Dynamic)  |  |
| 2.13.3     | IP Forwarding  |  |
| 2.13.4     | Policy Based Routing   |  |
| 2.13.5     | QoS  |  |
| 2.13.6     | ACL and Global Control Plane Policing  |  |
| 2.13.7     | IP V6 host and IP V6 routing   |  |
| 2.14       | Should support minimum of 64 VRFs or more  |  |
| 3          | Port Requirements with redundancy  |  |
| 3.1        | Minimum 32 no. of 40G/100G QSFP based Fiber ports per switch   |  |
| 3.2        | Should support at least 32 or more wire-speed 40/100 GBE ports.  |  |

| · · · · · ·  | There switch should not have any single point of failure like power supplies  |  |
|--|---|--|
| 5.5  | and fans etc should have 1:1/N+1 level of redundancy  |  |
| 4  | Switch Hardware features and High availability  |  |
| 4.1  | Switch should be rack mountable and support side rails, if required   |  |
| 4.2  | Switch should have redundant power supply and fans for High availability.   |  |
| 4.3  | Should support both front to back and back to front reversible air flow   |  |
|  | Switch should support in-line hot insertion and removal of different parts like   |  |
| 4.4  | modules/ power supplies/ fan tray etc. and should not require switch  |  |
|  | reboot & should not disrupt the functionality of the system   |  |
| 4.5  | Switch should support for BFD For Fast Failure Detection as per RFC (5880)  |  |
| 4.6  | Switch should support Graceful Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.   |  |
| 4.7  | The proposed switch should not be more than 11 Rack Units in size   |  |
| 18   | The proposed switch must have Redundant Power Supply Units (PSUs), Hot-   |  |
| 4.0  | swappable, field-replaceable power supplies.  |  |
| 4.9  | Switch should have redundant fan modules with hot swappable support.  |  |
| 4 10   | The proposed switch must have Line-rate traffic throughput on all ports at  |  |
| 4.10   | Layer 2 with non-blocking architecture.   |  |
| 111  | The proposed switch must have Line-rate traffic throughput on all ports at  |  |
|  | Layer 3 with non-blocking architecture  |  |
| 412  | The Proposed switch should support FCOE(Desirable) and DCB center   |  |
| 1,12   | bridging feature.   |  |
| 5  | Scalability   |  |
| 5.1  | The proposed switch should support minimum 90K MAC address table entries.   |  |
|  | The proposed switch must allow to build very large L2 domain using logical  |  |
| 5.2  | chassis or virtual logical chassis to support Multi-Path Ethernet technologies  |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | across multiple switches.   |  |
| 53   | across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple  |  |
| 5.3  | across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches  |  |
| 5.3<br><b>6</b>  | across multiple switches. The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches Resilient Control Plane  |  |
| 5.3<br><b>6</b>  | across multiple switches. The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches Resilient Control Plane Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent  |  |
| 5.3<br>6<br>6.1<br>6.2   | across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches         Resilient Control Plane         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3   | across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches         Resilient Control Plane         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM         Minimum 8GB Flash  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4  | Critical regional regiona regiona regional regional regional regional regional r |  |
| 5.3<br>6<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br><b>7</b>   | across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches         Resilient Control Plane         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM         Minimum System buffer of 32 MB         Operating System  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br><b>7</b><br>7   | Chrossis of Virtual teglecit enassis to support Month Fain Enternet recenteregies         across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple         switches         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM         Minimum 8GB Flash         Minimum System buffer of 32 MB         Operating System         Should support modern modular operating system designed for data   |  |
| 5.3<br>6<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1   | Chassis of Virtual logical chassis to support which if an Enterhet rechnologies across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches         Resilient Control Plane         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM         Minimum 8GB Flash         Minimum System buffer of 32 MB         Operating System         Should support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability  |  |
| 5.3<br><b>6</b><br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br><b>7</b><br>7.1<br>7.2                    | Chassis of Vinted regiled chassis to support wom Fain Enternet recentiologies across multiple switches.         The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches         Resilient Control Plane         Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent         Minimum 8 GB DRAM         Minimum 8GB Flash         Minimum System buffer of 32 MB         Operating System         Should support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability         Should support auto process recovery from failures   |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3                                | Across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br><b>Resilient Control Plane</b><br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing   |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4                         | Across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br><b>Resilient Control Plane</b><br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5                  | Across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br><b>Resilient Control Plane</b><br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models<br>Should support Industry standard CLI  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5<br>8             | across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br>Resilient Control Plane<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br>Operating System<br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models<br>Should support Industry standard CLI<br>Layer 2 Switch features   |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5<br>8             | Across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br><b>Resilient Control Plane</b><br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models<br>Should support IEEE 802.1d - Spanning-Tree Protocol, IEEE 802.1w -  |  |
| 5.3<br>6<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5<br>8<br>8.1 | across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br>Resilient Control Plane<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8 GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br>Operating System<br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models<br>Should support Industry standard CLI<br>Layer 2 Switch features<br>Must support IEEE 802.1d - Spanning-Tree Protocol, IEEE 802.1w -<br>Rapid Spanning Tree, IEEE 802.1s - Multiple Spanning Tree  |  |
| 5.3<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5<br>8<br>8.1      | across multiple switches.<br>The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple<br>switches<br>Resilient Control Plane<br>Minimum Quad Core x86 CPU or equivalent<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8 GB DRAM<br>Minimum 8 GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer of 32 MB<br>Operating System<br>Should support modern modular operating system designed for data<br>center scalability and reliability<br>Should support auto process recovery from failures<br>Should support Health monitoring and self-healing<br>Should support Single Operating System binary image for all switch models<br>Should support IEEE 802.1d - Spanning-Tree Protocol, IEEE 802.1w -<br>Rapid Spanning Tree, IEEE 802.1s - Multiple Spanning Tree<br>Protocol and IEEE 802.1q - VLAN encapsulation with 4096 VLANs  |  |

| 8.3   | Should support 64 Link Aggregation Groups (LAG)                            |   |
|-------|--|---|
| 8.4   | Should support Port Mirroring  |   |
| 8.5   | Should support Jumbo Frames 9216 Bytes                                     |   |
| 8.6   | Should support 64 ports active/active layer2/Layer3 multi-path             |   |
| 0.0   | Redundancy   |   |
| 87    | Should support active/active layer-2 topology without STP where host are   |   |
| 0.7   | dual homed to switch   |   |
|       | The proposed switch should support VXLAN (Bridging and Routing) and        |   |
| 8.8   | NVGRE overlay encapsulation protocol (desirable) in hardware to support    |   |
|       | multiple hypervisor deployment in the Data Centre. Minimum 4096 VXLAN      |   |
|       | should be supported.   |   |
| 8.9   | The proposed switch should support GRE (Generic routing                    |   |
|       | encapsulation) lunnel (desirable)  |   |
| 8.10  | Ine proposed switch should support BFD (Bialrectional Forwarding           |   |
|       | derection)   |   |
| 8 1 1 | up to 16 port channel IEEE 802 1gb Link Laver Discovery Protocol (LLOP)    |   |
| 0.11  | and IEEE 802 3x Flow Control   |   |
| 9     | Laver 3 Switch features  |   |
|       | The proposed switch must support Static IP routing, OSPF (RFC2328), IS-IS, |   |
| 9.1   | BGPv4 (RFC 1771) and Policy Base Routing.                                  |   |
|       | The proposed switch must support Protocol Independent Multicast Version 2  |   |
| 0.0   | (PIMv2) sparse mode, Source Specific Multicast (SSM), Multicast Source     |   |
| 9.2   | Discovery Protocol (MSDP) , and Internet Group Management Protocol         |   |
|       | Versions 2, and 3 (IGMP v2, and v3)  |   |
| 93    | The proposed switch must support Interior Gateway Management Protocol      |   |
| 7.0   | (IGMP) v2/v3 snooping groups   |   |
| 9.4   | The proposed switch should support Virtual Route forwarding (VRF)          |   |
|       | functionality with minimum 64 VRF support                                  |   |
| 9.5   | The proposed switch Must support minimum 128k IPV4 routes and 100k IPV6    |   |
|       | routes   |   |
| 9.6   | Switch should support First Hop Routing protocols like VRRP or Equivalent  |   |
| 9.7   | Switch must be able to load balance acress a locied bundle wing the        |   |
| 9.8   | following algorithms:  |   |
| 001   | Source IP  |   |
| 992   | Destination IP   |   |
| 9.9.3 | Source and Destination IP  |   |
| 9.9.4 | Source MAC   |   |
| 9.9.5 | Destination MAC  |   |
| 9.9.6 | Source and Destination MAC   |   |
| 9.9.7 | TCP Port (destination and/or source)                                       |   |
| 9.9.8 | UDP Port (destination and/or source)                                       |   |
| 9.10  | Must support 128K IPv4 Unicast entries                                     |   |
| 9.11  | Must support 100K or more IPv6 Unicast entries                             |   |
| 9.12  | Must support 10K IPv4 Multicast entries                                    |   |
| -     |  | - |

| 9.13   | Must support minimum 5K ACL  |  |
|--------|--|--|
| 0.14   | Must support 64-way ECMP routing for load balancing and                      |  |
| 7.14   | Redundancy   |  |
| 0 1 5  | Must support OSPF v2 with MD5 auth, BGP v4 with MD5 auth, ISIS using MD5     |  |
| 7.15   | Authentication and MP BGP  |  |
| 0 1 4  | Must support PIM-SM, PIM-SSM and Multicast Source Discovery Protocol         |  |
| 7.10   | (MSDP) multicast routing, IGMP V.1, V.2 and V.3                              |  |
| 9.17   | Must support Route Maps  |  |
| 9.18   | Must support Anycast RP  |  |
| 10     | Security features  |  |
|        | Switch must support Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service (RADIUS),     |  |
| 10.1   | Privilege Identity Management(PIM) and Terminal Access Controller            |  |
|        | Access Control System Plus (TACACS+)   |  |
| 10.2   | Must support ACLs (Standard & Extended) on Ethernet and virtual Ethernet     |  |
| 10.2   | ports using Layer 2, Layer 3 and Layer 4 fields.                             |  |
| 10.2   | Must support Standard and extended Layer 2 ACLs: MAC addresses,              |  |
| 10.5   | protocol type, etc.  |  |
|        | Must support Standard and extended Layer 3 to 4 ACLs: IPv4 and v6,           |  |
| 10.4   | Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP), TCP, User Datagram Protocol        |  |
|        | (UDP), etc.  |  |
| 10.5   | Must support VLAN based ACLs (VACLs) and Port-Based ACLs (PACLs)             |  |
| 10.6   | Should Support MAC Security  |  |
| 11     | QoS features   |  |
| 11.1   | Switch must have Egress strict-priority queuing                              |  |
| 11.2   | Up to 8 queues per port  |  |
| 11.3   | 802.1p based classification  |  |
| 11.4   | Switch must have ACL-based QoS classification (Layers 2, 3, and 4)           |  |
| 11.5   | DSCP based qualification and remarking                                       |  |
| 11.6   | Rate limiting  |  |
| 11 7   | Switch must support for different type of QoS features for real time traffic |  |
| 11.7   | differential treatment using   |  |
| 11.7.1 | a. Weighted Random Early Detection   |  |
| 11.7.2 | b. Strict Priority Queuing   |  |
|        | The proposed switch must support minimum 32 MB packet buffer to avoid        |  |
| 11.8   | packet drops due to buffer queue entries are exhausted which results in      |  |
|        | poor and unpredictable performance   |  |
| 11 0   | Switch should support to trust the QoS marking/priority settings of the end  |  |
| 11.7   | points as per the defined policy   |  |
| 12     | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization                      |  |
| 12.1   | Should support VRF, VxLAN, routing and bridging from day 1.                  |  |
| 122    | Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay          |  |
| 12.2   | Network using VXLAN  |  |
|        | Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine      |  |
| 12.3   | - Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data |  |
|        | center   |  |
| 12.4   | Switch should support Data Center Bridging                                   |  |

|         | Switch must have support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should           |  |
|---------|--|--|
| 12.5    | be able to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically,           |  |
|         | using orchestration layer from Day 1   |  |
| 13      | Virtualization and Next Gen DC features  |  |
| 131     | Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above,           |  |
| 10.1    | and vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization                         |  |
| 13.2    | VLAN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VLAN when new VM is             |  |
| 10.2    | created in vCenter   |  |
|         | VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on a given        |  |
|         | port in the network. Displays the full Physical Port to Virtual Switch to VM   |  |
| 13.3    | Binding.VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on        |  |
|         | a given port in the network. Displays the full Physical Port to Virtual Switch |  |
|         | to VM Binding on the supplied management software GUI.                         |  |
| 13.4    | Should Dynamically create VLAN policy based on VM movement.                    |  |
|         | Should be able to extract vNIC information from the VM Host and must be        |  |
| 13.5    | able to display the VM to vNIC to Switch mapping in the proposed               |  |
|         | management software GUI.   |  |
| 14      | Management features  |  |
| 14.1    | Switch must provide management using 10/100/1000-Mbps                          |  |
|         | management or console ports  |  |
| 14.2    | Switch must have In-band switch management as well                             |  |
| 14.3    | Switch must Support RS-232 serial console port                                 |  |
| 14.4    | Switch must Support USB port   |  |
| 14.5    | Switch must support Management over IPv4, IPv6                                 |  |
| 14.6    | Switch must have Configuration rollback feature                                |  |
| 14.7    | Switch must support DHCP Snooping  |  |
| 14.8    | Switch must support Secure Shell Version 2 (SSHv2), Telnet &                   |  |
|         | SNMPv1, v2, and v3   |  |
| 14.9    | Switch should support Open Stack to support orchestration in future            |  |
| 14.10   | Switch must support DHCP Syslog  |  |
| 14.11   | Switch must support AAA  |  |
|         | Switch must support sFlow / NetFlow - industry standard technology for         |  |
| 14.12   | monitoring high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into     |  |
|         | the use of networks enabling performance optimization,                         |  |
|         | accounting/billing for usage, and defense against security infeats             |  |
| 14.13   | different type, of lodustry standard NIAS using                                |  |
| 14121   |  |  |
| 14.13.1 | a. SNMP VI and V.Z   |  |
| 14.13.2 | D. SIMP V3 with encryption   |  |
| 14.13.3 |  |  |
| 15      |  |  |
| 15.1    | Switch must support for basic doministrative like ring and traceroute          |  |
| 15.2    | switch must support built in ICP Dump or wiresnark trouble shooting fool or    |  |
|         | equivalent   |  |

|      | Switch should be integrated with Security Information and Event               |  |
|------|---|--|
| 15.3 | Management (SIEM)server. Also should support multiple centralized syslog      |  |
|      | server, for monitoring and audit trail.                                       |  |
| 154  | Switch should support central time server synchronization using Network       |  |
| 13.4 | Time Protocol NTP V.4   |  |
| 15 5 | Switch must have Switched Port Analyzer (SPAN) with minimum 4 active          |  |
| 10.0 | session and ERSPAN on physical, Port channel, VLAN interfaces                 |  |
| 15.6 | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for      |  |
| 10.0 | monitoring and management   |  |
| 157  | Protection from unnecessary or DoS traffic by using storm control functions   |  |
|      | for unicast/multicast/broadcast.  |  |
| 15.8 | Switch should support spanning tree root guard                                |  |
| 15 9 | The Switch Should support monitor events and take corrective action like      |  |
| 10.7 | a script when the monitored events occurs.                                    |  |
| 16   | Standards Compliance  |  |
| 16.1 | Must Support IEEE 802.1D Bridging and Spanning Tree                           |  |
| 16.2 | Must Support IEEE 802.1p QOS/COS  |  |
| 16.3 | Must Support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN Tagging   |  |
| 16.4 | Must Support IEEE 802.1w Rapid Spanning Tree                                  |  |
| 16.5 | Must Support IEEE 802.1s Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol                      |  |
| 16.6 | Must Support IEEE 802.1 AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol                      |  |
| 16.7 | Must Support IEEE 802.3ad Link Aggregation with LACP                          |  |
| 16.8 | Must Support IEEE 802.3x Flow Control   |  |
| 16.9 | Must Support IEEE 802.3ba 40/100Gigabit Ethernet                              |  |
| 17   | Monitoring and Provisioning   |  |
| 171  | Must support Advance Event Management or equivalent for pro- active           |  |
|      | network monitoring  |  |
| 17.2 | Must support Restoration of Operating System & Configuration from USB         |  |
| 17.3 | Must support CLI scheduler, Shell script, for timed automation, and event     |  |
|      | manager for triggered automation.   |  |
| 17.4 | Must support sFlow or Netflow or equivalent                                   |  |
| 17.5 | Must support centralized script/system to configure a switch without user     |  |
|      | intervention  |  |
| 18   | Miscellaneous   |  |
| 18.1 | Switch should support the complete STACK of IP V4 and IP V6 services          |  |
| 18.2 | The Switch and different modules used should function in line rate and        |  |
|      | should not have any port with oversubscription ratio applied.                 |  |
| 18.3 | Switch should support Configuration roll-back and check point                 |  |
| 18.4 | Switch should support for BFD For Fast Failure Detection as per RFC (5880)    |  |
| 18.5 | The transceivers should be from same OEM of the proposed switch               |  |
| _    | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make,          |  |
| 19   | model, OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next version, |  |
|      | end of sale & support date, product development path, etc.)                   |  |
| 20   | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network             |  |
|      | Lintrastructure   |  |

|    | Proposed Solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of comprehensive AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and Support during 5 years of   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 21 | contract period. If offered product declared End of Life and Support within 5 years contact period, then bidder should provide latest product with same specification or higher without any cost to the Bank. Offer product must not |  |
|    | be End of Life and Support for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract period.  |  |

# LEAF SWITCH (COPPER) REQUIREMENTS

| SL.<br>No. | Feature Description   | Compliance<br>Yes/No |
|------------|---|----------------------|
| 1          | Architecture  |                      |
| 1.1        | The Solution should support in co-ordination with spine switch. Zones and segments should be decided by spine switch and same should be reflected on Leaf switches.                                   |                      |
| 1.2        | 1RU fixed form factor   |                      |
| 2          | Performance   |                      |
| 2.1        | Switch should support Min 1.5 Tbps switching capacity/throughput or more  |                      |
| 2.2        | Switch should support at least 1 Billion packets per second   |                      |
| 2.3        | Should support Non-blocking architecture and wire-speed Layer-2 and Layer-3 forwarding  |                      |
| 2.4        | Should support fixed 1G/10G copper ports  |                      |
| 2.5        | The switch should support minimum 1,00,000 IPv4 and IPv6 routes entries in<br>the routing table including multicast routes. However bidder can quote<br>higher specification to meet the SLA & uptime |                      |
| 2.6        | Minimum Multicast Routing table – 8000  |                      |
| 2.7        | Switch should have management interface for Out of Band Management  |                      |
| 2.8        | Switch should have hardware health monitoring capabilities and should provide different parameters through SNMP   |                      |
| 2.9        | Switch should support IEEE Link Aggregation or Ethernet Bonding functionality to group multiple ports for redundancy  |                      |
| 2.10       | Switch should support Configuration roll-back and check point   |                      |
| 2.11       | Switch should support for different logical interface types like loopback, VLAN, SVI/RBI, Port Channel/LAG, multi chassis port channel etc.   |                      |
| 2.12       | Line-rate traffic throughput (both Layer 2 and 3) on all ports  |                      |
| 3          | Port Requirements with redundancy   |                      |
| 3.1        | Switch should have<br>Type 1- 48 ports or more, capable of 1/10 Gbps ports<br>Type2- 24 48 ports or more, capable of 1/10 Gbps ports  |                      |
| 3.2        | Must support at least 24/48 or more wire-speed 10G ports  |                      |
| 3.3        | Must have 4 or more no. of 40G/100G QSFP based Fibre ports per switch for uplinks with spine switch   |                      |

| 34   | There switch should not have any single point of failure like power supplies  |  |
|------|---|--|
| 0.4  | and fans etc should have 1:1/N+1 level of redundancy  |  |
| 4    | Switch Hardware features and High availability  |  |
| 4.1  | Switch should be rack mountable and support side rails, if required   |  |
| 4.2  | The proposed switch must have Redundant Power Supply Units (PSUs),Hot-  |  |
|      | swappable, field-replaceable power supplies.  |  |
| 4.3  | Switch should have adequate power supply for the complete system usage with all slots populated and used and provide N+1 redundant                        |  |
| 4.4  | Should support both front to back and back to front reversible air flow   |  |
|      | Switch should support in-line bot insertion and removal of different parts like   |  |
| 45   | modules/ power supplies/ fan trav etc. and should not require switch report   |  |
|      | & should not disrupt the functionality of the system  |  |
| 4.6  | Switch should support for BFD For Fast Failure Detection as per RFC (5880)  |  |
| 4.7  | Switch should support Graceful Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.   |  |
| 4.8  | The proposed switch should be of 1 Rack Units in size   |  |
| 19   | The proposed switch should support FCOE (Fiber channel over Ethernet) and   |  |
| 7.7  | DCB (Data Center bridging) features (Desirable)   |  |
| 4.10 | The proposed switch must have Line-rate traffic throughput on all ports at  |  |
|      | Layer 2 with non-blocking architecture.   |  |
| 4.11 | Layer 3 with non-blocking architecture  |  |
| 5    | Scalability   |  |
| 5.1  | The proposed switch should support minimum 90K MAC address table entries.   |  |
| 5.2  | The proposed switch must allow to build very large L2 domain using logical chassis or virtual logical chassis to support Multi-Path Ethernet technologies |  |
| 0.2  | across multiple switches.   |  |
| 5.3  | The proposed switch must support port channeling across multiple switches   |  |
| 6    | Control Plane   |  |
| 6.1  | Minimum Dual Core x86 CPU or equivalent   |  |
| 6.2  | Minimum 8GB DRAM  |  |
| 6.3  | Minimum 4GB Flash   |  |
| 6.4  | Minimum System buffer 16 MB   |  |
| 7    | Operating System  |  |
| 7.1  | Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center   |  |
| 72   | Must support auto process recovery from failures  |  |
| 7.3  | Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.  |  |
|      | Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other   |  |
| 7.4  | processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.  |  |
| 7.5  | Must support Industry standard CLI.   |  |
| 8    | Layer 2 Switch features   |  |
| 8.1  | Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K   |  |
| 8.2  | Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)   |  |

| 8.3   | must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation   |
|---|---|
| 8.4   | Must support minimum 4096 VLAN  |
| 8.5   | Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3  |
| 8.6   | Should support Rapid Per VLAN Spanning Tree (RPVST+)  |
| 87  | Must support Link aggregation LACP: IEEE 802.3ad with up to 16  |
| 0.7   | ports/channels  |
| 8.8   | Must support up to 32 ports per Link Aggregation Group (LAG)  |
| 8.9   | Must support 100 Link Aggregation Groups (LAG)  |
| 8.10  | Must support 64 ports active/active layer2/Layer3 multi-pathing   |
|   | Redundancy  |
| 8.11  | Must support 64 ports active/active layer2/Layer3 multi-pathing   |
| 0.10  |   |
| 8.12  | Must support 802.1 AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP)  |
| 8.13  | Must support Port Mirroring   |
| 8.14  | MUSI SUPPORT 802.3X FIOW CONTROL  |
| 8.15  | Configurable maximum transmission units (MTUs) of Up to 9216 bytes (jumbo   |
|   | Must support active (active layer 2 topology without STP where best are dual  |
| 8.16  | homed to switch using vPC or MLAG   |
| 817   | Minimum Number of EtherChannels should be 64  |
| 8.18  | Advanced Ether Channel bashing based on Laver 2, 3, and 4 information   |
| 9   | Laver 3 Switch features   |
| 9.1   | Must support minimum 128K IPv4 Unicast entries  |
| 9.2   | Must support minimum 16K or more IPv6 Unicast entries   |
| 9.3   | Must support minimum 8K IPv4 Multicast entries  |
| 9.4   | Must support minimum 5K ACL   |
| 9.5   | Must support basic layer-3 routing – static routes, BGP, OSPF, ISIS   |
| 0 (   | VRF: VRF-lite (IP VPN), VRF-aware unicast (BGP, OSPF, and RIP), and VRF-  |
| 9.6   | aware multicast   |
| 9.7   | Must support VRRP or equivalent   |
| 9.8   | Must support 6-way ECMP routing for load balancing and redundancy   |
| 9.9   | Must support Route Maps   |
| 9.10  | Must support Anycast RP   |
| 10  | Multi-Cast  |
| 10.1  | Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM  |
| 10.2  |   |
|   | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP  |
| 10.3  | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RPMulticast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP   |
| 10.3<br><b>11</b>   | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features  |
| 10.3<br><b>11</b><br>11.1   | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields   |
| 10.3<br>11<br>11.1<br>11.2  | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security   |
| 10.3<br><b>11</b><br>11.1<br>11.2<br>11.3                         | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security         Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+&RADIUS   |
| 10.3<br>11<br>11.1<br>11.2<br>11.3<br>11.4                        | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security         Should Support Privilege Identity Management (PIM), TACACS+&RADIUS         Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption   |
| 10.3<br>11<br>11.1<br>11.2<br>11.3<br>11.4<br>11.5                | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security         Should Support Privilege Identity Management (PIM), TACACS+&RADIUS         Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption         Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable) |
| 10.3<br><b>11</b><br>11.1<br>11.2<br>11.3<br>11.4<br>11.5<br>11.6 | Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RPMulticast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RPSecurity featuresMust Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fieldsMust Support MAC SecurityShould Support Privilege Identity Management (PIM), TACACS+&RADIUSShould Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryptionSwitch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)Must Support SIEM and Syslog                                    |

| 11.8  | Must Support Port Mirroring   |   |
|-------|---|---|
| 11.9  | Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring          |   |
|       | high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of        |   |
|       | networks enabling performance optimization, accounting/billing for usage,         |   |
| 11.10 | and defense against security threats  |   |
|       | Switch should support for sending logs to multiple centralised syslog             |   |
| 11.10 | server for monitoring and audit trail   |   |
|       | Switch should support for providing granular MIB support for different statistics |   |
| 11.11 | of the physical and logical interfaces  |   |
| 11.12 | Storm control (unicast, multicast, and broadcast)                                 |   |
| 11.13 | CoPP (Control plane protection)   |   |
| 11 14 | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for          |   |
| 11.14 | monitoring and management   |   |
| 12    | QoS features  |   |
| 12.1  | Switch must have Egress strict-priority queuing                                   |   |
| 12.2  | 8 hardware queues per port and should support per port QOS Configuration          |   |
| 12.3  | 802.1p based classification (COS)   |   |
| 12.4  | Switch must have ACL-based QoS classification (Layers 2, 3, and 4)                |   |
| 12.5  | DSCP based qualification and remarking  |   |
| 12.6  | Rate limiting   |   |
| 127   | Switch must support for different type of QoS features for real time traffic      |   |
| 12.7  | differential treatment using  |   |
| 12.8  | a. Weighted Random Early Detection  |   |
| 12.9  | b. Strict Priority Queuing  |   |
|       | The proposed switch must support minimum 16 MB packet buffer to avoid             |   |
| 12.10 | packet drops due to buffer queue entries are exhausted which results in poor      |   |
|       | and unpredictable performance   |   |
| 12.11 | Switch should support to trust the QoS marking/priority settings of the end       |   |
| 12.11 | points as per the defined policy  |   |
| 13    | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization                           |   |
| 13.1  | Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging            |   |
|       | and routing from day 1,   |   |
| 13.2  | Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network       |   |
|       | Using VXLAN   |   |
| 13.3  | Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-          |   |
|       | Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data        |   |
| 12.4  | Center  |   |
| 13.4  | Switch should support Data Center Bridging  |   |
| 13.5  | switch should support multi Dem hypervisor environment and should be able         |   |
|       | orchestation layer from day 1   |   |
| 14    | Virtualization features   |   |
| 14    | Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and          |   |
| 14.1  | venter to support adaptive network virtualization                                 |   |
|       | VI AN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VI AN when new VM is              |   |
| 14.2  | created in vCenter  |   |
|       |   | ł |

| 14.3   | VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on a given           |  |
|--------|---|--|
|        | port in the network. Displays the full Physical Port to Virtual Switch to VM      |  |
|        | Binding on the supplied management software GUI.                                  |  |
| 14.4   | Should Dynamically create VLAN policy based on VM movement.                       |  |
| 14.5   | Should be able to extract vNIC information from the VM Host and must be           |  |
|        | able to display the VM to vNIC.   |  |
| 14.6   | VmWare Multi-Tenancy – Connecting up to 4 separate vCenter                        |  |
|        | administrative domain.  |  |
| 15     | Management features   |  |
| 15.1   | Zero louch provisioning of firmware and configuration of the switch to            |  |
|        | reduce provisioning time.   |  |
| 15.2   | Switch should have console port   |  |
| 15.3   | Must have 100/1000 management port  |  |
| 15.4   | Must Support USB port   |  |
| 15.5   | Must Support Management over IPv4, IPv6   |  |
| 15.6   | Switch should provide remote login for administration using:                      |  |
| 15.6.1 | a. Telnet   |  |
| 15.6.2 | b. SSHV2  |  |
| 1.5 7  | Switch should support for management and monitoring status using different        |  |
|        | type of Industry standard NMS using:  |  |
| 15.7.1 | a. SNMP V1 and V.2  |  |
| 15.7.2 | b. SNMP V.3 with encryption   |  |
| 15.7.3 | c. Filtration of SNMP using Access list   |  |
| 15.8   | Switch should support for basic administrative tools like:                        |  |
| 15.8.1 | a. Ping   |  |
| 15.8.2 | b. Traceroute   |  |
| 15.9   | Should support built in TCP Dump or Wireshark trouble shooting tool or equivalent |  |
| 15.10  | Switch should support for embedded RMON/RMON-II for central NMS                   |  |
| 15.10  | management and monitoring   |  |
|        | Switch should support central time server synchronization using Network Time      |  |
| 13.11  | Protocol NTP  |  |
| 16     | Troubleshooting capabilities  |  |
| 16.1   | Switch must support for basic administrative like Ping and Traceroute             |  |
| 16.2   | Switch must support built in TCP Dump or Wireshark trouble shooting tool or       |  |
|        | equivalent  |  |
| 16.3   | Switch must support for sending logs to multiple centralised syslog server for    |  |
|        | monitoring and audit trail  |  |
| 16.4   | Switch should support central time server synchronization using Network Time      |  |
|        | Protocol NTP V.4  |  |
| 16.5   | Switch must have Switched Port Analyzer (SPAN) with minimum 4 active              |  |
|        | session and ERSPAN on physical, Port channel, VLAN interfaces                     |  |
| 16.6   | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for          |  |
|        | monitoring and management   |  |
| 16.7   | Protection from unnecessary or DoS trattic by using storm control functions       |  |
|        | tor unicast/multicast/broadcast.  |  |
| 16.8  | Switch should support spanning tree root guard                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 16.9  | The Switch Should support monitor events and take corrective action like a         |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | script when the monitored events occurs.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 10 | The Switch Should support monitor events and take corrective action like a         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.10 | script when the monitored events occurs.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.11 | Configuration rollback and NTP Support   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.12 | Must have XML Support  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17    | Standards Compliance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.1  | Should Support IEEE 802.1D Bridging and Spanning Tree                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.2  | Should Support IEEE 802.1p QOS/COS   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.3  | Should Support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN Tagging  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.4  | Should Support IEEE 802.1 w Rapid Spanning Tree                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.5  | Should Support IEEE 802.1s Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.6  | Should Support IEEE 802.1 AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.7  | Should Support IEEE 802.3ad Link Aggregation with LACP                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.8  | Should Support IEEE 802.3x Flow Control  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.9  | Should Support IEEE 802.3ab 1000BASE-T   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.10 | Should Support IEEE 802.3z Gigabit Ethernet  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.11 | Should Support IEEE 802.3ae 10 Gigabit Ethernet                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.12 | Should Support IEEE 802.3by 25 Gigabit Ethernet (desirable)                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18    | Monitoring and Provisioning  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.1  | Should support Advance Event Management for pro-active network                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0  | monitoring or equivalent   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.2  | Should support Restoration of Operating System & Configuration from USB            |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | The platform should the capability to collect telemetry information at line        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.3  | rate across all the ports without adding any latency to the packets or             |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | negatively affecting switch performance. This information must consists Flow       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 /  | Should support s Elow or Notflow or oquivalent                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.4  | Should support controlized script (system to configure a switch without user       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.5  | intervention   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make, model,        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19    | OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next version, end of sale |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | & support date, product development path, etc.)                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | Infrastructure.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Proposed Solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of comprehensive          |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and Support during 5 years of         |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | contract period. If offered product declared End of Life and Support within 5      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21    | years contact period, then bidder should provide latest product with same          |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | specification or higher without any cost to the Bank. Offer product must not       |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | be End of Life and Support for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract    |  |  |  |  |  |

## LEAF SWITCH (FIBRE) REQUIREMENTS

| SL.<br>No. | Feature Description   | Compliance<br>Yes/No |
|------------|---|----------------------|
| 1          | Architecture  |                      |
| 1.1        | The Solution should support in co-ordination with spine switch. Zones and segments should be decided by spine switch and same should be reflected on Leaf switches.                             |                      |
| 1.2        | 1RU fixed form factor   |                      |
| 2          | Performance   |                      |
| 2.1        | Switch should support Min 1.5 Tbps switching capacity/ throughput or more   |                      |
| 2.2        | Switch should support at least 1 Billion packets per second   |                      |
| 2.3        | Should support Non-blocking architecture and wire-speed Layer-2 and Layer-<br>3 forwarding  |                      |
| 2.4        | Should support 1G/10 GbE SFP/SFP+ based ports   |                      |
| 2.5        | The switch should support minimum 1,00,000 IPv4 and IPv6 routes entries in the routing table including multicast routes. However bidder can quote higher specification to meet the SLA & uptime |                      |
| 2.6        | Minimum Multicast Routing table - 10000   |                      |
| 2.7        | Switch should have management interface for Out of Band Management  |                      |
| 2.8        | Switch should have hardware health monitoring capabilities and should provide different parameters through SNMP   |                      |
| 2.9        | Switch should support IEEE Link Aggregation or Ethernet Bonding functionality to group multiple ports for redundancy  |                      |
| 2.10       | Switch should support Configuration roll-back and check point   |                      |
| 2.11       | Switch should support for different logical interface types like loopback, VLAN, SVI/RBI, Port Channel/LAG, multi chassis port channel etc.   |                      |
| 2.12       | Line-rate traffic throughput (both Layer 2 and 3) on all ports  |                      |
| 3          | Port Requirements with redundancy   |                      |
| 3.1        | Switch should have 48 ports or more, copper ports   |                      |
| 3.2        | Must support at least 48 or more wire-speed 10G ports.  |                      |
| 3.3        | Must have 4 or more no. of 40G/100G QSFP based Fibre ports per switch for uplinks with spine switch   |                      |
| 4          | Switch Hardware features and High availability  |                      |
| 4.1        | Switch should be rack mountable and support side rails, if required   |                      |
| 4.2        | The proposed switch must have Redundant Power Supply Units (PSUs), Hot-<br>swappable, field-replaceable power supplies.   |                      |
| 4.3        | Switch should have adequate power supply for the complete system usage with all slots populated and used and provide N+1 redundant  |                      |

| 4.4   | Should support both front to back and back to front reversible air flow  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4.5   | Switch should support in-line hot insertion and removal of different parts like modules/ power supplies/ fan tray etc. and should not require switch reboot & should not disrupt the functionality of the system   |  |
| 4.6   | Switch should support for BFD For Fast Failure Detection as per RFC (5880)   |  |
| 4.7   | Switch should support Graceful Restart for OSPF, BGP etc.  |  |
| 4.8   | The proposed switch should be of 1 Rack Units in size  |  |
| 4.9   | The proposed switch should support FCOE (Fiber channel over Ethernet) and DCB (Data Center bridging) features (Desirable)  |  |
| 4.10  | The proposed switch must have Line-rate traffic throughput on all ports at Layer 2 with non-blocking architecture.   |  |
| 4 1 1   | The proposed switch must have Line-rate traffic throughput on all ports at   |  |
| 4.11  | Layer 3 with non-blocking architecture   |  |
| 5   | Scalability  |  |
| 5.1   | The proposed switch should support minimum 90K MAC address table entries.  |  |
|   | The proposed switch must allow to build very large L2 domain using logical   |  |
| 5.2   | chassis or virtual logical chassis to support Multi-Path Ethernet technologies   |  |
|   | across multiple switches.  |  |
| 5.3   | The proposed switch must support port channelling across multiple switches   |  |
| 6   | Control Plane  |  |
| 6.1   | Minimum Dual Core x86 CPU or equivalent  |  |
|   |  |  |
| 6.2   | Minimum 8GB DRAM   |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3  | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash  |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4   | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB   |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7  | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br>Operating System   |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.   |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2  | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.   |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.  |  |
| 6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>7<br>7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.         Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other  |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.         Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.         Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.         Must support Industry standard CLI.   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.         Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.         Must support Industry standard CLI.         Layer 2 Switch features   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1   | Minimum 8GB DRAM         Minimum 4GB Flash         Minimum System buffer 16 MB         Operating System         Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center scalability and reliability.         Must support auto process recovery from failures.         Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.         Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.         Must support Industry standard CLI.         Layer 2 Switch features         Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2   | Minimum 8GB DRAMMinimum 4GB FlashMinimum System buffer 16 MBOperating SystemMust support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.Must support auto process recovery from failures.Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.Must support Industry standard CLI.Layer 2 Switch featuresMinimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90KSpanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)  |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3   | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br>Operating System<br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br>Layer 2 Switch features<br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4   | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br>Layer 2 Switch features<br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN  |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4         8.5                                     | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br><b>Layer 2 Switch features</b><br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN<br>Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4         8.5         8.6                         | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br><b>Layer 2 Switch features</b><br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN<br>Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3<br>Should support Rapid Per VLAN Spanning Tree (RPVST+)   |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4         8.5         8.6         8.7             | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br><b>Layer 2 Switch features</b><br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN<br>Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3<br>Should support Link aggregation LACP: IEEE 802.3ad with up to 16<br>mest februare b  |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4         8.5         8.6         8.7             | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br><b>Layer 2 Switch features</b><br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN<br>Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3<br>Should support Rapid Per VLAN Spanning Tree (RPVST+)<br>Must support Link aggregation LACP: IEEE 802.3ad with up to 16<br>ports/channels           |  |
| 6.2         6.3         6.4         7         7.1         7.2         7.3         7.4         7.5         8         8.1         8.2         8.3         8.4         8.5         8.6         8.7         8.8 | Minimum 8GB DRAM<br>Minimum 4GB Flash<br>Minimum System buffer 16 MB<br><b>Operating System</b><br>Must support modern modular operating system designed for data center<br>scalability and reliability.<br>Must support auto process recovery from failures.<br>Must support Health monitoring and self-healing.<br>Must support Live patching or hitless upgrade without disruption of other<br>processes/system modules while upgrading operating system.<br>Must support Industry standard CLI.<br><b>Layer 2 Switch features</b><br>Minimum Number of MAC addresses entries 90K<br>Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE 802.1.D, 802.1W, 802.1S)<br>must support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation<br>Must support minimum 4096 VLAN<br>Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) Versions1, 2 and 3<br>Should support Link aggregation LACP: IEEE 802.3ad with up to 16<br>ports/channels<br>Must support up to 32 ports per Link Aggregation Group (LAG) |  |

| 8.10   | Must support 64 ports active/active layer2/Layer3 multi-pathing Redundancy   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Q 11   | Must support 64 ports active/active layer2/Layer3 multi-pathing  |  |  |
| 0.11   | Redundancy   |  |  |
| 8.12   | Must support 802.1AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP)  |  |  |
| 8.13   | Must support Port Mirroring  |  |  |
| 8.14   | Must support 802.3x Flow Control   |  |  |
| 9 1 5  | Configurable maximum transmission units (MTUs) of up to 9216 bytes (jumbo  |  |  |
| 0.15   | frames)  |  |  |
| 816  | Must support active/active layer-2 topology without STP where host are dual  |  |  |
| 0.10   | homed to switch using vPC or MLAG  |  |  |
| 8.17   | Minimum Number of Ether Channels should be 64  |  |  |
| 8.18   | Advanced Ether Channel hashing based on Layer 2, 3, and 4 information  |  |  |
| 9  | Layer 3 Switch features  |  |  |
| 9.1  | Must support minimum 128K IPv4 Unicast entries   |  |  |
| 9.2  | Must support minimum 64K or more IPv6 Unicast entries  |  |  |
| 9.3  | Must support minimum 8K IPv4 Multicast entries   |  |  |
| 9.4  | Must support minimum 5K ACL  |  |  |
| 9.5  | Must support basic layer-3 routing – static routes, BGP, OSPF, ISIS  |  |  |
| 9.4  | VRF: VRF-lite (IP VPN), VRF-aware unicast (BGP, OSPF, and RIP), and VRF-   |  |  |
| 7.0  | aware multicast  |  |  |
| 9.7  | Must support VRRP or equivalent  |  |  |
| 9.8  | Must support 6-way ECMP routing for load balancing and redundancy  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9.9  | Must support Route Maps  |  |  |
| 9.9<br>9.10  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP   |  |  |
| 9.9<br>9.10<br>10  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multi-Cast   |  |  |
| 9.9<br>9.10<br>10<br>10.1  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM   |  |  |
| 9.9<br>9.10<br>10<br>10.1<br>10.2  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP   |  |  |
| 9.9<br>9.10<br>10.1<br>10.2<br>10.3  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11  | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1   | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1         11.2         11.3   | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support MAC Security   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1         11.2         11.3   | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMR v2, v2 with open ration  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4   | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Spooping (Desirable)  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6                              | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and System  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7   | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support SAA  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7                 | Must support Route Maps         Must support Anycast RP         Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM         Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security         Should Support Privilege Identity Management (PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS         Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption         Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)         Must Support AAA  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8                 | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support AAA<br>Must Support Port Mirroring   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8                            | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support AAA<br>Must Support Port Mirroring   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.1         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8               | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management (PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support AAA<br>Must Support Port Mirroring<br>Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring<br>hists as and nuite back and water in the same of a  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8         11.9               | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMV2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support AAA<br>Must Support Port Mirroring<br>Must Support SFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring<br>high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of<br>networks anghling performance optimization, accounting for formation   |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8         11.9    | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support AAA<br>Must Support Port Mirroring<br>Must Support Prot Mirroring<br>Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring<br>high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of<br>networks enabling performance optimization, accounting/billing for usage,<br>and defense against security threads                                     |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8         11.9               | Must support Route Maps         Must support Anycast RP         Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM         Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP         Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP         Security features         Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields         Must Support MAC Security         Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS         Should Support SIMP v2, v3 with encryption         Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)         Must Support AAA         Must Support Port Mirroring         Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of networks enabling performance optimization, accounting/billing for usage, and defense against security threats  |  |  |
| 9.9         9.10         10.1         10.2         10.3         11         11.2         11.3         11.4         11.5         11.6         11.7         11.8         11.9         11.10 | Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Multicast: PIM-SMv2, and PIM-SSM<br>Bootstrap router (BSR) and Static RP<br>Multicast Source Discovery Protocol (MSDP) and Anycast RP<br>Security features<br>Must Support ACLs using Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 4 fields<br>Must Support MAC Security<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS<br>Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption<br>Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support SIEM and Syslog<br>Must Support Port Mirroring<br>Must Support Port Mirroring<br>Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring<br>high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of<br>networks enabling performance optimization, accounting/billing for usage,<br>and defense against security threats<br>Switch should support for sending logs to multiple centralised syslog server for |  |  |

| 11 11   | Switch should support for providing granular MIB support for different statistics  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 11.11   | of the physical and logical interfaces   |  |
| 11.12   | Storm control (unicast, multicast, and broadcast)  |  |
| 11.13   | CoPP (Control plane protection)  |  |
| 11.14   | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for   |  |
|   | monitoring and management  |  |
| 12  | QoS features   |  |
| 12.1  | Switch must have Egress strict-priority queuing  |  |
| 12.2  | 8 hardware queues per port and should support per port QOS Configuration   |  |
| 12.3  | 802.1p based classification (COS)  |  |
| 12.4  | Switch must have ACL-based QoS classification (Layers 2, 3, and 4)   |  |
| 12.5  | DSCP based qualification and remarking   |  |
| 12.6  | Rate limiting  |  |
| 12.7  | Switch must support for different type of QoS features for real time traffic differential treatment using  |  |
| 12.7.1  | a. Weighted Random Early Detection   |  |
| 12.7.2  | b. Strict Priority Queuing   |  |
|   | The proposed switch must support minimum 16 MB packet buffer to avoid  |  |
| 12.8  | packet drops due to buffer queue entries are exhausted which results in poor   |  |
|   | and unpredictable performance  |  |
|   | Switch should support to trust the QoS marking/priority settings of the end  |  |
| 12.9  | points as per the defined policy   |  |
|   |  |  |
| 13  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization  |  |
| 13  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization  |  |
| 13<br>13.1  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization<br>Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,   |  |
| 13<br>13.1<br>13.2  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network  |  |
| 13       13.1       13.2  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLAN   |  |
| 13<br>13.1<br>13.2  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3   | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3   | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>center   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center Bridging   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5   | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able<br>to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5   | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able<br>to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may<br>orchestration layer from day 1  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14  | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able<br>to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may<br>orchestration layer from day 1Virtualization features   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14                | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able<br>to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may<br>orchestration layer from day 1Virtualization featuresVirtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and   |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14.1                           | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network VirtualizationShould support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging<br>and routing from day 1,Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network<br>using VXLANSwitch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-<br>Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data<br>centerSwitch should support Data Center BridgingSwitch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able<br>to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may<br>orchestration layer from day 1Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and<br>vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14.1         14.2              | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization         Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging and routing from day 1,         Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network using VXLAN         Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data center         Switch should support Data Center Bridging         Switch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may orchestration layer from day 1         Virtualization features         Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization         VLAN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VLAN when new VM is created in vCenter  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14.1         14.2              | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization         Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging and routing from day 1,         Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network using VXLAN         Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data center         Switch should support Data Center Bridging         Switch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may orchestration layer from day 1         Virtualization features         Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization         VLAN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VLAN when new VM is created in vCenter         VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on a given  |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14.1         14.2         14.3 | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization         Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging and routing from day 1,         Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network using VXLAN         Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network using VXLAN         Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data center         Switch should support Data Center Bridging         Switch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may orchestration layer from day 1         Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization         VLAN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VLAN when new VM is created in vCenter         VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on a given port in the network. Displays the full Physical Port to Virtual Switch to VM |  |
| 13         13.1         13.2         13.3         13.4         13.5         14         14.1         14.2         14.3 | Data Centre Advanced Feature and Network Virtualization         Should support 4096 VxLAN. Should support both VRF and VxLAN, bridging and routing from day 1,         Switch should support Network Virtualization using Virtual Over Lay Network using VXLAN         Switch should support VXLAN and EVPN or equivalent for supporting Spine-Leaf architecture to optimize the east - west traffic flow inside the data center         Switch should support Data Center Bridging         Switch should support multi OEM hypervisor environment and should be able to sense movement of VM and configure network automatically, may orchestration layer from day 1         Virtualization features         Virtualization switch should communicate with vSphere 5.5 and above, and vCenter to support adaptive network virtualization         VLAN auto provision - Auto create/configure VM VLAN when new VM is created in vCenter         VM Auto Discovery – Find exactly which ESX Hosts and VMs are on a given port in the network. Displays the full Physical Port to Virtual Switch to VM Binding on the supplied management software GUI.                |  |

| 145    | Should be able to extract vNIC information from the VM Host and must be         |  |
|--------|---|--|
| 14.5   | able to display the VM to vNIC.   |  |
| 144    | VmWare Multi-Tenancy – Connecting up to 4 separate vCenter                      |  |
| 14.0   | Administrative domain.  |  |
| 15     | Management features   |  |
| 151    | Zero Touch provisioning of firmware and configuration of the switch to          |  |
| 13.1   | reduce provisioning time.   |  |
| 15.2   | Switch should have console port   |  |
| 15.3   | Must have 100/1000 management port  |  |
| 15.4   | Must Support USB port   |  |
| 15.5   | Must Support Management over IPv4, IPv6   |  |
| 15.6   | Switch should provide remote login for administration using:                    |  |
| 15.6.1 | a.Telnet  |  |
| 15.6.2 | b.SSHV2   |  |
| 157    | Switch should support for management and monitoring status using different      |  |
| 10.7   | type of Industry standard NMS using:  |  |
| 15.7.1 | a.SNMP V1 and V.2   |  |
| 15.7.2 | b.SNMP V.3 with encryption  |  |
| 15.7.3 | c.Filtration of SNMP using Access list  |  |
| 15.8   | Switch should support for basic administrative tools like:                      |  |
| 15.8.1 | a.Ping  |  |
| 15.8.2 | b.Traceroute  |  |
| 15.9   | Should support built in TCP Dump or Wireshark trouble shooting tool or          |  |
| 1017   | equivalent  |  |
| 15.10  | Switch should support for embedded RMON/RMON-II for central NMS                 |  |
| 15.10  | management and monitoring   |  |
|        | Switch should support control time conversion bronization using Network Time    |  |
| 15.11  | Protocol NTP  |  |
| 14     |   |  |
| 16 1   | Switch must support for basic administrative like Ping and Traceroute           |  |
| 10.1   | Switch must support built in TCP. Dump or Wiresbark trouble shooting tool or    |  |
| 16.2   | equivalent  |  |
|        | Switch must support for sending logs to multiple centralised syslog server for  |  |
| 16.3   | monitoring and audit trail  |  |
|        | Switch should support central time server synchronization using Network Time    |  |
| 16.4   | Protocol NTP V 4  |  |
|        |   |  |
| 16.5   | Switch must have Switched Port Analyzer (SPAN) with minimum 4 active            |  |
|        | session and ERSPAN on physical, Port channel, VLAN interfaces                   |  |
| 1//    | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for        |  |
| 16.6   | monitoring and management   |  |
| 147    | Protection from unnecessary or DoS traffic by using storm control functions for |  |
| 10./   | unicast/multicast/broadcast.  |  |
| 16.8   | Switch should support spanning tree root guard                                  |  |

| 16.9  | .9 The Switch Should support monitor events and take corrective action like a        |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|
|       | The Switch Should even art reprises events and take perceptive petien like a         |  |  |  |
| 16.10 | script when the monitored events occurs.   |  |  |  |
| 16.11 | Configuration rollback and NTP Support   |  |  |  |
| 16.12 | Must have XML Support  |  |  |  |
| 17    | Standards Compliance   |  |  |  |
| 17.1  | Should Support IEEE 802.1D Bridging and Spanning Tree                                |  |  |  |
| 17.2  | Should Support IEEE 802.1p QOS/COS   |  |  |  |
| 17.3  | Should Support IEEE 802.1Q VLAN Tagging  |  |  |  |
| 17.4  | Should Support IEEE 802.1 w Rapid Spanning Tree                                      |  |  |  |
| 17.5  | Should Support IEEE 802.1s Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol                           |  |  |  |
| 17.6  | Should Support IEEE 802.1 AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol                           |  |  |  |
| 17.7  | Should Support IEEE 802.3ad Link Aggregation with LACP                               |  |  |  |
| 17.8  | Should Support IEEE 802.3x Flow Control  |  |  |  |
| 17.9  | Should Support IEEE 802.3ab 1000BASE-T   |  |  |  |
| 17.10 | Should Support IEEE 802.3z Gigabit Ethernet  |  |  |  |
| 17.11 | Should Support IEEE 802.3ae 10 Gigabit Ethernet                                      |  |  |  |
| 17.12 | Should Support IEEE 802.3by 25 Gigabit Ethernet (desirable)                          |  |  |  |
| 18    | Monitoring and Provisioning  |  |  |  |
| 181   | Should support Advance Event Management for pro-active network                       |  |  |  |
| 10.1  | monitoring or equivalent   |  |  |  |
| 18.2  | Should support Restoration of Operating System & Configuration from USB              |  |  |  |
|       | The platform should the capability to collect telemetry information at line          |  |  |  |
| 18.3  | rate across all the ports without adding any latency to the packets or               |  |  |  |
|       | negatively affecting switch performance. This information must consists flow         |  |  |  |
|       | information and Interpacket variations.  |  |  |  |
| 18.4  | Should support sFlow or Netflow or equivalent  |  |  |  |
| 18.5  | Should support centralized script/system to configure a switch without user          |  |  |  |
|       | intervention   |  |  |  |
|       | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make, model,          |  |  |  |
| 19    | OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next version, end of sale   |  |  |  |
|       | & support date, product development path, etc.)                                      |  |  |  |
| 20    | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network                    |  |  |  |
|       | Infrastructure.  |  |  |  |
|       | Proposed solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of comprehensive            |  |  |  |
|       | ANUC service. Product must not be end of Life and Support during 5 years of          |  |  |  |
| 21    | connuct penda, in onered product decidred and of Life and Support Within 5           |  |  |  |
|       | years contact period, men bidder should provide latest product with same             |  |  |  |
|       | specification of higher without any cost to the Bank. Otter product must not be      |  |  |  |
|       | End of Life and Support for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract period. |  |  |  |

### **TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS OF L3 SWITCH**

| SI.<br>No. | Technical requirement for full-fledged Layer 3 Switch  | Compliance<br>(Y/N) |
|------------|--|---------------------|
| 1          | Full-fledged L3 Switch capability including L3 VLAN capability and routing capability.   |                     |
| 2          | Switch must be eligible as aggregation point for access layer switches.  |                     |
| 3          | Should be a single box configuration for ease of management.   |                     |
| 4          | Switch should have minimum 170 Gbps Switching capacity all the services enabled on switch  |                     |
| 5          | Switch should support VCS or VSS or equivalent architecture by which two separate switches can be combined in a single switch fabric and managed as single switch.   |                     |
| 6          | Switch should support IPv4 and IPv6 switching and routing in hardware from day 1.  |                     |
| 7          | Switch should have minimum 48 10/100/1000 Mbps Ethernet port, 4 no.<br>1G/10 G SFP Port.   |                     |
| 8          | IEEE 802.1Q VLAN encapsulation. Upto 64 VLANs should be supported.<br>Support for 4000 VLAN IDs. Centralized VLAN Management. VLANs created<br>on the Core Switches should be propagated automatically. Should support<br>802.1d, 802.1s, 802.1w, 802.3ad, Port Aggregation, Link Aggregation<br>Protocol (LACP). Support for Detection of Unidirectional Links and to disable<br>them to avoid, Per-port broadcast, multicast, and storm control to prevent<br>faulty end stations from degrading overall systems performance.  |                     |
| 9          | Support for minimum 1000 MAC addresses   |                     |
| 10         | Should support Private VLAN , VLAN Aggregation , Translation and 802.1v  |                     |
| 11         | Must support Layer2 Ping and Layer 2 Traceroute for connectivity and Fault<br>Management Must support multicast Traceroute.  |                     |
| 12         | Should support SNMP and syslog Notification for MAC addition, deletion and movement across ports   |                     |
| 13         | Must support minimum 32K IPv4 Unicast entries<br>Must support minimum 64K or more IPv6 Unicast entries<br>Must support minimum 8K IPv4 Multicast entries<br>Must support minimum 5K ACL<br>Must support basic layer-3 routing – static routes, BGP, OSPF, ISIS<br>VRF: VRF-lite (IP VPN), VRF-aware unicast (BGP, OSPF, and RIP), and VRF-<br>aware multicast<br>Must support VRRP or equivalent<br>Must support VRRP or equivalent<br>Must support minimum 6-way ECMP routing for load balancing and<br>Redundancy. However bidder can quote higher specification to meet the<br>SLA & uptime.<br>Must support Route Maps<br>Must support Anycast RP<br>Should Support Privilege Identity Management(PIM), TACACS+ & RADIUS |                     |

|    | Should Support SNMP v2, v3 with encryption                                      |  |
|----|---|--|
|    | Switch should support DHCP Snooping (Desirable)                                 |  |
|    | Must Support SIEM and Syslog  |  |
|    | Must Support AAA  |  |
|    | Must Support Port Mirroring   |  |
|    | Must Support sFlow / netFlow industry standard technology for monitoring        |  |
|    | high speed switched networks. It gives complete visibility into the use of      |  |
|    | networks enabling performance optimization, accounting/billing for usage,       |  |
|    | and defense against security threats  |  |
|    | Switch should support for sending logs to multiple centralised syslog server    |  |
|    | for monitoring and audit trail  |  |
|    | Switch should support for providing granular MIB support for different          |  |
|    | statistics of the physical and logical interfaces                               |  |
|    | Storm control (unicast, multicast, and broadcast)                               |  |
|    | CoPP (Control plane protection)   |  |
|    | Switch should provide different privilege for login in to the system for        |  |
|    | monitoring and management   |  |
| 4  | Should support DHCP   |  |
| 15 | Should have out of band management through console and an external              |  |
| 17 | Switch rewat he equade of inter VI Abl routing                                  |  |
| 10 | Switch most be capable of inter VLAN footing.                                   |  |
| 17 | Protocol (SNMP) CLI/Wob based HTTP management PADIUS                            |  |
|    | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make             |  |
| 18 | model OS details: version, date of release, date of release of pext version     |  |
| 10 | end of sale & support date, product development path, etc.)                     |  |
|    | Solution should integrate segmlessly with Bank's existing network               |  |
| 19 | Infrastructure.   |  |
| 20 | Equipment should be compatible with tier 3 data centre environment.             |  |
|    | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make, model,     |  |
| 21 | OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next version, end of   |  |
|    | sale & support date, product development path, etc.)                            |  |
|    | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network               |  |
| 22 | Infrastructure.   |  |
|    | Proposed Solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of comprehensive       |  |
|    | AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and Support during 5 years of      |  |
|    | contract period. If offered product declared End of Life and Support within     |  |
| 23 | 5 years contact period, then bidder should provide latest product with same     |  |
|    | specification or higher without any cost to the Bank. Offer product must not    |  |
|    | be End of Life and Support for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract |  |
|    | period.   |  |

#### **TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS OF VPN MODULES**

| SI no. | Item           | Feature description  | Compliance<br>(y/n) |
|--------|----------------|--|---------------------|
| 1.     | Form Factor    | Rack Mountable (Rack mounting kit for securing the router in standard rack are to be provided)   |                     |
| 2.     |                | The VPN modules should be modular/fixed in architecture<br>with a services-based hardware, Should be a single<br>chassis/integrated solution                               |                     |
| 3.     |                | VPN modules should have support for redundant Router processors /Routing engines   |                     |
| 4.     |                | VPN modules should support a dedicated Service<br>Processor card/ESP   |                     |
| 5.     |                | VPN modules Processors should have minimum 4GB of<br>flash memory or more to support multiple software<br>images for backup purposes, log report and future<br>scalability |                     |
| 6.     |                | VPN modules Processor should have 4GB of RAM/DRAM to support large routing tables & other memory intensive processes   |                     |
| 7.     |                | VPN modules should support system throughput of minimum 80 Gbps from day 1   |                     |
| 8.     | Angleite strug | VPN modules should support minimum Traffics handling capacity of 55 Mpps   |                     |
| 9.     | Architecture   | VPN modules should be able to maintain 2000 targeted LDP sessions  |                     |
| 10.    |                | VPN modules should support Redundant Power supply from day one   |                     |
| 11.    |                | Should support front-to-back/side-to-side airflow  |                     |
| 12.    |                | All modules, fan trays & Power supplies should be hot swappable  |                     |
| 13.    |                | VPN modules shall have 1:1 operating system<br>redundancy or dual control Module from Day 1 and<br>1:1/1:N PSU<br>redundancy from day one                                  |                     |
| 14.    |                | The processing engine architecture must be multi processor based for enhanced performance.   |                     |
| 15.    |                | VPN modules processor should support hardware accelerated, parallelized and programmable IP forwarding and switching.  |                     |
| 16.    |                | Redundancy Feature: The router must support<br>Operating System (OS) redundancy or dual control  |                     |

|     |            | module in 1:1 mode to ensure high-availability of the         |   |
|-----|------------|---|---|
|     |            | system. The router in the event of failure of any one OS or   |   |
|     |            | control module should switchover to the redundant OS          |   |
|     |            | or redundant control module without dropping any              |   |
|     |            | traffic flow. There should not be any impact on the           |   |
|     |            | performance in the event of active processing engine          |   |
|     |            | failure.  |   |
|     |            | Hot Swap ability: The router must support on line hot         |   |
|     |            | insertion and removal of cards. Any insertion line card       |   |
| 17. |            | should not call for router rebooting nor should disrupt the   |   |
|     |            | remaining unicast and multicast traffic flowing in any        |   |
|     |            | way.  |   |
|     |            | Clock: The VPN modules must derive clock from the hired       |   |
| 18. |            | links. The hired links will provide Stratum II/III Clock. The |   |
|     |            | router must sync to the Network lime Protocol (NIP)           |   |
|     |            | server.   |   |
|     |            | VPN concentrator must support 10 Gbps of Crypto               |   |
|     |            | Throughput for IPSEC funnel and 5000 IPSEC full loaded (2     |   |
|     |            | Mbps) funnels from day 1. In case of an external box, the     |   |
|     |            | at least ( x 1CE interfaces and ( no. of 10 C interface)      |   |
| 19. |            | (SEP) from Davi   |   |
|     |            |   |   |
|     | Interfaces | VPN concentrator should have capabilities to handle           |   |
|     |            | 5000 IPSEC fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any point of       |   |
|     |            | time (at start, re-start or throughout the day)               |   |
|     |            | All the above ports should be in compliance with 802.3        |   |
| 20. |            | standards   |   |
|     |            | The Giagbit Ethernet port should support multimode and        |   |
| 21. |            | single mode fiber connectivity                                |   |
|     |            | Route Processor /engines should perform the                   |   |
|     |            | following control processor functions:                        |   |
|     |            | • build L2 & L3 forwarding information tables.                |   |
|     |            | • allow for centralized configuration of router               |   |
|     |            | including services like routing protocols                     |   |
|     | Pouto      | <ul> <li>control plane functionality.</li> </ul>              |   |
| 22. | Processor  | The router shall support Control Plane Policing               |   |
|     | engine     | protect the router CPU from attacks.                          |   |
|     | function   | Track the status of various system components like            |   |
|     |            | the software, services processor/line cards/fan               |   |
|     |            | trays/PSU etc & provide an out of band access                 |   |
|     |            | method to the router in case of a software crash              |   |
|     |            | Dedicated Processor should perform the following              |   |
| 23. |            | functions in hardware :                                       |   |
|     |            | QoS classification, policing and shaping.                     |   |
| L   | I          |   | [ |

|          |            | • NAPT44, NAPT64, NAT-PT, NAPT66,NAT44,                             |  |
|----------|------------|---|--|
|          |            | 6to4,Twice-NAT44, 6in4, PAT-PP, Dynamic-NAT.                        |  |
|          |            | 8000 VPLS instances.  |  |
|          |            | Multicast replication, Security access control lists                |  |
|          |            | (ACLs).   |  |
|          |            | 10000 IPSec VPN tunnels.  |  |
|          |            | Support flow mechanism  |  |
|          |            |   |  |
|          |            | The Services Processor should additionally support the              |  |
|          |            | following functions in hardware & should be enabled                 |  |
|          |            | using appropriate software licenses only if required,               |  |
|          |            | without the need for additional hardware:                           |  |
|          |            | • Firewalls & detection of denial-of-service (DoS)                  |  |
|          |            | attacks   |  |
|          |            | Nested Application Recognition                                      |  |
|          |            | <ul> <li>Network Address Translation (NAT) as per 1631.</li> </ul>  |  |
|          |            |   |  |
|          |            | The Service Processor should meet the following                     |  |
|          |            | performance specifications:   |  |
|          |            | More than 3500 ACLs/filters   |  |
|          |            | 4000 GRE tunnels 14 million flows                                   |  |
|          |            | <ul> <li>512000 MAC addresses</li> </ul>                            |  |
|          |            | • 7000 VRFs   |  |
|          |            | <ul> <li>Should support at least 3 Million 1Pv4 and IPv6</li> </ul> |  |
|          |            | routes  |  |
|          | VPN        | VPN modules should have hardware encryption                         |  |
| 24.      | throughput | capabilities with a minimum throughput of 6 Gbps                    |  |
|          | Firewall   |   |  |
| 25.      | Features   | Firewall Feature required from day 1.                               |  |
|          |            | Should support PIPy(1 & PIPy(2 OSPE IS 15 and PCP(1 DP              |  |
| 24       |            | BED routing protocols & IP multicast routing protocols: PIM         |  |
| 20.      |            |   |  |
| 27       |            | Should support Multicast VPN (mVPN)                                 |  |
| <u> </u> | 1          | Should support Enterprise Services feature set with                 |  |
| 28       |            | support for protocols like Multiprotocol Label Switching            |  |
| 20.      |            | (MPLS) PWF3 FRR Lover2 circuits VPLS                                |  |
| 29       |            | Should support Laver 3 Routing Protocols                            |  |
| £ { ,    | 1          | The VPN modules should support multiple level of                    |  |
| 30.      |            | privileges and authentication for user access                       |  |
|          |            | Should support AAA features through RADIUS and                      |  |
| 31.      |            |   |  |
|          | 1          | The software should support Network Address Translation             |  |
| 20       | Protocol   | (NAT) and Port Address Translation (PAT) to hide internal           |  |
| JZ.      | Support    | IP addresses while connecting to external networks                  |  |
|          | 50000      | Should support source and destinations based ACLs, time             |  |
| 33.      |            | based ACLs  |  |
| 24       | 4          | Should support MD5 gutbantiagtion for routing protocols             |  |
| 54.      |            | should support MDS duffientication for routing protocols            |  |

| 25  | The VPN modules should support IPv6 for IPSec encryption |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 35. | for data confidentiality                                 |  |
|     | The VPN modules must support the IPv4 and IPv6 stack in  |  |
|     | hardware and software. It must support both IPv4 and     |  |
| 36. | IPv6 routing domains separately and concurrently. It     |  |
|     | must also support the ability to bridge between IPv4 and |  |
|     | IPv6 routing domains                                     |  |
|     | The VPN modules shall support dual stack IPv6 on all     |  |
|     | interfaces and IDv( over IDv( tuppelling IDv( Multicast  |  |
|     | interfaces and IPV6 over IPV4 funnelling, IPV6 Multicast |  |
|     | protocols – Ipv6 MLD, PIM-Sparse Mode,                   |  |
| 37. | and PIM – SSM, PV6 Security Functions – ACL, IPV6        |  |
|     | Firewall, SSH over IPv6, MPLS Support for IPv6 -         |  |
|     | IPv6 VPN over MPLS (6VPE) Inter-AS options, IPv6         |  |
|     | VPN over MPLS (6VPE), IPv6 transport over MPLS           |  |
|     | (6PE)  |  |
| 20  | The VPN modules should support 3DES and AES              |  |
|     | encryption standards                                     |  |
| 20  | System shall support to identify                         |  |
| 39. | encrypted applications (for e.g. SSL/TLS based)          |  |
| 40. | IPSec implementation should be IETF compliant            |  |
|     | Should be capable of supporting 802.1g VLANs and         |  |
| 41. | VI AN trunking   |  |
|     | Should support port aggregation for higher bandwidth     |  |
| 42. | and redundancy   |  |
|     | The VPN modules must perform Hardware assisted           |  |
| 43. | CREtuppelling as per REC 1701 and REC 1702               |  |
|     | GREIOFINEIIING OS PER RFC 1701 ONO RFC 1702.             |  |
| 44. | Ine router must support router redundancy protocol like  |  |
|     | VRRP.  |  |
|     | The VPN modules must support Protocol                    |  |
| 45. | Independent Multicast Dense Mode (PIM-DM) and            |  |
|     | Sparse Mode (PIM-SM).                                    |  |
| 46  | The multicast implementation must support                |  |
| 40. | Rendezvous Points on both leaf and non-leaf nodes.       |  |
| 47  | The multicast implementation must support source         |  |
| 47. | specific multicast.                                      |  |
| 40  | The VPN modules must support multiprotocol               |  |
| 40. | BGP extensions for multicast.                            |  |
| 40  | The router must support multicast load                   |  |
| 49. | balancing traffic across multiple interfaces.            |  |
|     | The VPN modules must support RFC 3618 Multicast          |  |
| 50. | SourceDiscovery Protocol (MSDP)                          |  |
|     | The router shall support unicast RPF (uRPF) feature to   |  |
| 51  | block any communications and attacks that are being      |  |
| 51. | sourced from Pandomly deported IP addresses              |  |
|     | The router must support Any east Pander (PP)             |  |
| 50  | me ob griene using DIA and Adultic and Course Diana      |  |
| 52. | mechanism using PIM and Multicast Source Discovery       |  |
|     | Protocol (MSDP) as defined in RFC 3446.                  |  |

| 53. |                | Should support RSVP   |  |
|-----|----------------|---|--|
|     |                | Routing Table Size: The router must support minimum         |  |
| 54. |                | 10,00,000 IPv4 or 10,00,000 IPv6 routes entries in the      |  |
|     |                | routing table and should be scalable                        |  |
|     |                | The VPN modules should support uninterrupted                |  |
| 55  |                | forwarding operation for OSPF, IS-IS routing protocol to    |  |
| 55. |                | ensure high- availability during primary controller         |  |
|     |                | card/Integrated controller failure.                         |  |
|     |                | VPN concentrator must support 10 Gbps of Crypto             |  |
|     |                | throughput for IPSEC tunnel and 5000 IPSEC full loaded (2   |  |
|     |                | Mbps) tunnels from day 1. In case of an external box, The   |  |
|     |                | VPN concentrator must have redundant power supply &         |  |
| 56  |                | at least 6 x 1GE interfaces and 6 no. of 10 G interface     |  |
|     |                | (SFP) from Day1.  |  |
|     |                |   |  |
|     |                | VPN concentrator should have capabilities to handle         |  |
|     |                | 5000 IPSEC fully loaded (2 Mbps) tunnel at any point of     |  |
|     | -              | time (at start, re-start or throughout the day)             |  |
|     | Router         | The VPN modules solution must be a Equipment                |  |
|     | Performance    | supporting the following:                                   |  |
| 57. | Parameter      | In-band and out-band management.                            |  |
|     |                | Software rollback feature                                   |  |
|     | -              | Graceful Restart for OSPF, BGP, LDP, MP-BGP efc.            |  |
| 50  |                | Ine proposed router should support modular US and           |  |
| 58. |                | simply the changes through in-service OS upgrade            |  |
|     | -              | mechanism   |  |
|     |                | ne ven modules should be able to select a wan/Lan           |  |
| 59. |                | pain based on intendce parameters such as                   |  |
|     |                | neachability, Ioda, Infoograpor, and link cost of using a   |  |
|     | -              | The VPN modules or system must have support for             |  |
|     |                | Application level Visibility using Deep Packet              |  |
|     |                | Inspection Technology to identify the pon-critical          |  |
| 60. |                | traffic and set the lowest priority or drop the traffic and |  |
|     |                | prioritise the legitimate critical applications             |  |
|     |                | traffic using QOS from day one                              |  |
|     | -              | System shall support to granularly identify                 |  |
| 61  |                | applications in the enterprise (For e.g. Oracle SAP         |  |
| 01. |                | WebEx etc.) from day one                                    |  |
|     | Solution shou  | Id integrate segmlessly with Bank's existing network        |  |
| 62. | Infrastructure | in integrate seathlessly with bank's existing herwork       |  |
|     | Proposed Sc    | Dution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of            |  |
|     | comprehensi    | ve AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and         |  |
| 63  | Support durin  | a 5 years of contract period. If offered product declared   |  |
|     | End of Life of | and Support within 5 years contact period, then bidder      |  |
|     | should provid  | te latest product with same specification or higher without |  |
|     |                |   |  |

| any cost to the Bank. Offer product must not be End of Life and Support |  |
|---|--|
| for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract period.            |  |

## 2 PAIR OF CORE FIREWALL (TYPE 1) TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AT DC & DR

| SI. No. | Feature Description  | Compliance<br>Yes/No |
|---------|--|----------------------|
| 1       | Chassis based or modular architecture for scalability & other than Checkpoint OEM.   |                      |
| 2       | Firewall should have at least 6 no. of 10 G ports SFP port and 4 nos. of 40G/100 G port and 2 nos. 1 Gbps Ethernet port.                     |                      |
| 3       | The appliance should be capable of providing Firewall and Next Generation Firewall feature.  |                      |
| 4       | The platform should support VLAN tagging (IEEE 802.1q)   |                      |
| 5       | The platform shall have dedicated interface for out-of bound management  |                      |
| 6       | The Firewall should support CA functionality   |                      |
| 7       | Support for minimum 1000 MAC addresses   |                      |
| 8       | Firewall performance should be minimum real world throughput 60 Gbps after enabling the IPS, QoS, malware protection function.               |                      |
| 9       | Firewall should be capable configuring Policies using Command Line (CLI) as a last resort in case of Emergency.                              |                      |
| 10      | Firewall with IPS features should support minimum 2,00,00,000 concurrent connections   |                      |
| 11      | Firewall with IPS features should support minimum 10,00,000 new connections per second (cps)   |                      |
| 12      | Should support grouping of physical interfaces whiting and across Fixed<br>and Expansion ports into one single physical or logical interface |                      |
| 13      | Firewall should support memory at least 60 GB Memory for better and faster processing  |                      |
| 14      | Should be open architecture based on multi-core CPU's to protect & scale against dynamic latest security threats.                            |                      |
| 15      | The firewall shall be deployed in high availability mode (hot stand-by redundancy), have fault tolerance and shall provide stateful failover |                      |
| 16      | The firewall shall have a powerful OS that is hardened and is based upon minimal feature sets.   |                      |
| 17      | There shall be support for traffic based and user based access control.  |                      |

|    | The broad default policy for the firewall for handling inbound traffic shall   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 18 | be to block all packets and connections unless the traffic type and            |  |
|    | connections have been specifically permitted                                   |  |
| 10 | It shall support SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol) v 2.0 and v         |  |
| 17 | 3.0.   |  |
| 20 | Firewall should support Single Sign On (SSO)                                   |  |
| 01 | Should support translating between IPv4 and IPv6 for the following             |  |
| 21 | inspections: DNS, FTP,ICMP,HTTP  |  |
|    | Network address translation (NAT) shall be supported so that the private       |  |
| 22 | IP addresses of hosts and the structure of an internal network can be          |  |
|    | concealed by the firewall.   |  |
|    | Network Address Translation (NAT) shall be configurable as 1:1, 1: many,       |  |
| 23 | many: 1, many: many, flexible NAT (overlapping IPs). Reverse NAT shall be      |  |
|    | supported.   |  |
| 24 | Port address translation/Masquerading shall be provided for                    |  |
| 25 | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) over Virtual Private Network        |  |
| 25 | (VPN) shall be supported for dynamic allocation of IP addresses.               |  |
|    | The firewall shall support a number of routing options and configurations.     |  |
| 26 | Routing protocol support shall include static routes, Open Shortest Path       |  |
|    | First (OSPF), RIPv1/v2 etc.  |  |
|    | Virtual LAN (VLAN) support, high port density, WAN support and                 |  |
| 27 | expandability of interfaces over time are some important network               |  |
|    | integration features shall be supported.                                       |  |
| 28 | The firewall IP stack shall be IPv6 ready.                                     |  |
| 29 | The firewall shall mask the internal network from the external world.          |  |
|    | The firewall shall provide robust access control capability and be fast in     |  |
| 30 | making access control decisions. Access Control shall be done based on         |  |
|    | criteria such as source, destination IPs, port number, protocol, trattic type, |  |
|    | application, date information (day of week, time of day), etc.                 |  |
| 31 | Multi-layer, statetul, application based tiltering shall be done               |  |
|    | It shall provide network segmentation features with powerful capabilities      |  |
| 32 | that facilitate deploying security for various internal, external and DMZ      |  |
|    | (Demilitarized Zone) sub-groups on the network, to prevent unauthorized        |  |
|    |  |  |
| 33 | Inere shall be support for detection of reconnaissance attempts such as        |  |
|    | IP adaress sweep, port scanning etc.   |  |
| 34 | Firewall liseit shall be resistant to attack and shall have protection against |  |
|    | Tirewali evasion techniques.   |  |
|    | Some basic attack protection teatures listed below but not limited to:         |  |
|    | TCP/IP protocol quite It shall enable rapid data attact of pathwork attacts    |  |
|    | TCP/IF protocol solie il stidii endble rapid delection of rietwork difacks     |  |
| 35 | mitigation SVN applies protection SVN Flood Unif Open Connections              |  |
|    |  |  |
|    | and NUL Process Protection against IP specifica Malformed process              |  |
|    | and NUL Packets Protection against IP spoofing Malformed packet                |  |
|    | and NUL Packets Protection against IP spoofing Malformed packet<br>protection  |  |

| 36 | Full H.323v1-5 (Firewall Traversal), SIP (Session Initiation Protocol),<br>gatekeeper support, outbound bandwidth management, full<br>interoperability with common and popular VoIP/VC gateway and<br>communications devices shall be supported, apart from supporting all |  |
|----|--|--|
|    | protocols.   |  |
| 37 | The firewall shall support Internet Protocol Security (IPSec) & SSL  |  |
| 38 | Key exchange with latest Internet Key Exchange (IKE), IKEv2, Public Key<br>Infrastructure PKI (X.509) shall be catered to.   |  |
| 39 | Site-to-site VPN tunnels: full-mesh / star topology shall be supported.  |  |
| 40 | Support Latest Encryption algorithms including AES 128/192/256(Advanced Encryption Standards), 3DES(Data Encryption Standard) etc.   |  |
| 41 | Support Latest Authentication algorithms including SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm-1), SHA-2 (Secure Hash Algorithm-2) etc.,  |  |
| 42 | IPSec NAT traversal shall be supported.  |  |
| 43 | VPN supporting atleast 300 IPSec / SSL VPN peers   |  |
| 44 | The solution should support the following File/Media Types for Malware identification: "BAT,.BZ2,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX,.EML,.EXE,.GZ - gzip,.HTA,.HWP, .HWT, .HWPX,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX, Java, Flash,JAR,JS,JSE,JTD,JTT,JTDC,JTTC,.LNK etc                      |  |
| 45 | The solution should support down selection and only analyzes files deemed suspicious.  |  |
| 46 | The solution should have the ability to heuristically detect and decode the presence of shell code   |  |
| 47 | The solution should have the ability to detect and scan pdf files for<br>embedded code   |  |
| 48 | The solution should have capability to fully reveal malware's current and potential payloads.  |  |
| 49 | The solution should provide detection, analysis and repair capability against malware-based attacks  |  |
| 50 | The solution should provide a detailed list of every DLL and API referenced, all header information about the binary, and complete assembly-language listing of the binary code.   |  |
| 51 | The solution should provide reports to shows all the activities the malware<br>code performs related to file systems, Windows registry, network<br>operations, Processes and any other miscellaneous operations  |  |
| 52 | The solution should provide summary for instance, whether the malware<br>wrote into a certain file, modified a registry setting, opened a port or<br>communicated to a specific url, or changed the name of a running<br>process to hide itself.                           |  |
| 53 | The solution should identify any logic bombs (time based execution delays) hidden in the malware waiting for a trigger to cause damage at a later time   |  |
| 54 | The solution should provide the ability to upload gold image and analyze threats under conditions of actual host environment.  |  |
| 55 | Solution should provide Detailed Technical Report, Behavior Summary<br>Report and a Logic Execution Path Map.  |  |

| <b>F</b> / | The solution should recognize new variants of existing malware families      |  |
|------------|--|--|
| 56         | and identify new families.   |  |
| 57         | The Solution should support the following multiple advanced malware          |  |
| 57         | analysis methods:  |  |
| 58         | Solution should provide high Threat protection rate minimum of 99%.          |  |
| 50         | The solution shall give CVE number for the Intrustion events detected and    |  |
| 59         | shall capture packet for each intrusion event                                |  |
| (0         | The solution should automatically map event to the IP, Geography             |  |
| 60         | information, to the user, system affected                                    |  |
|            | The solution must be capable of significantly reducing operator effort and   |  |
| (1         | accelerating response to threats by automatically prioritizing alerts,       |  |
| 61         | ideally based on the potential for correlated threats to successfully        |  |
|            | impact the specific hosts they are directed toward.                          |  |
|            | The IPS detection methodologies shall consist of Signature based             |  |
| 62         | detection using real time updated database & Anomaly based                   |  |
|            | detection that is based on thresholds  |  |
| 63         | The proposed system shall support One-arm IDS (sniffer mode)                 |  |
| 64         | The device shall allow administrators to create Custom IPS signatures        |  |
| 15         | Consists of vendor's original threat intelligence and is not overly          |  |
| 60         | dependent on information available in the public domain.                     |  |
|            | Is continuously updated with new threat intelligence, including detailed     |  |
| 66         | help text, in an automated fashion and without physical access to the        |  |
|            | unit.  |  |
| 17         | Security information is meaningful, comprehensive and freely available to    |  |
| 6/         | customers and non-customers via a publicly accessible database.              |  |
| (0         | Detects and blocks all known, high risk exploits along with their underlying |  |
| 60         | vulnerability (not just one exploit of that vulnerability).                  |  |
|            | Allows users to control the number of times a sensor notifies the console    |  |
|            | when a flood type attack occurs. For example, the sensor must be             |  |
| 69         | configurable to send a single alert every five minutes vs. sending an alert  |  |
|            | for every single packet associated with the attack. This will avoid          |  |
|            | overwhelming the console and the internal network with alerts.               |  |
| 70         | Must be capable of performing packet-level forensics and capturing raw       |  |
| 70         | packet data in response to individual events                                 |  |
|            | The detection engine must support multiple options for directly              |  |
| 71         | responding to events, such as monitor only, block offending traffic,         |  |
|            | replace packet payload, and capture packets                                  |  |
|            | The solution must be capable of passively gathering information about        |  |
| 72         | session flows for all monitored hosts, including start/end time, ports,      |  |
|            | services, and amount of data.  |  |
|            | Accurately detects intrusion attempts and discerns between the various       |  |
| 73         | types and risk levels including unauthorized access attempts, pre-attack     |  |
| ,0         | probes, suspicious activity, DoS, DDoS, vulnerability exploitation, brute    |  |
|            | force, hybrids, and zero-day attacks.  |  |
|            | Detection rules must be based on an extensible, open language (API)          |  |
| 74         | that enables users to create their own rules, as well as to customize any    |  |
|            | vendor-provided rules.   |  |

|    | Detection rules provided by the vendor must be documented, with full          |  |
|----|---|--|
| 75 | descriptions of the identity, nature, and severity of the associated          |  |
|    | vulnerabilities and threats being protected against.                          |  |
|    | The detection engine must incorporate multiple approaches for                 |  |
|    | detecting threats, including at a minimum exploit -based signatures,          |  |
| 77 | vulnerability -based rules, protocol anomaly detection, and behavioural       |  |
|    | anomaly detection techniques. Identify and explain each type of               |  |
|    | detection mechanism supported.  |  |
|    | The detection engine must inspect not only Network Layer details and          |  |
| 78 | information resident in packet headers, but a broad range of protocols        |  |
|    | across all layers of the computing stack and packet payloads as well.         |  |
| 70 | The detection engine must be resistant to various URL obfuscation             |  |
| /9 | techniques common to HTML -based attacks                                      |  |
| 80 | The solution must be capable of detecting and blocking IPv6 attack            |  |
|    | The solution must provide IP reputation feed that comprised of several        |  |
| 81 | regularly updated collections of IP addresses determined by the               |  |
|    | proposed security vendor to have a poor reputation.                           |  |
|    | The solution should be capable of providing network -based detection of       |  |
|    | malware by checking the disposition of known files in the cloud using the     |  |
| 82 | SHA -256 file -hash as they transit the network (SHA -256 and target IP       |  |
|    | address should be given to aid remediation efforts) with enabling just        |  |
|    | advance malware license if require in near future                             |  |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering information about         |  |
|    | network hosts and their activities, such as operating system, services,       |  |
| 83 | open ports, client applications, and vulnerabilities, to assist with multiple |  |
|    | activities, such as intrusion event data correlation, elimination of false    |  |
|    | positives, and policy compliance.   |  |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering information about         |  |
| 84 | session flows for all monitored hosts, including start/end time, ports,       |  |
|    | services, and amount of data.   |  |
|    | The solution must be capable of storing user -defined host attributes, such   |  |
| 85 | as host criticality or administrator contact information, to assist with      |  |
|    | compliance monitoring.  |  |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering user identity             |  |
| 86 | information, mapping IP addresses to username, and making this                |  |
|    | information available for event management purposes.                          |  |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering details unique to         |  |
| 87 | mobile devices trattic to identify a wide variety of mobile operating         |  |
|    | systems, mobile applications and associated mobile device hardware.           |  |
|    | Ine solution must provide a detailed, interactive graphical summary that      |  |
|    | includes data on applications, application statistics, connections,           |  |
| 88 | intrusions events, hosts, servers, users, tile -types, malwares and relevant  |  |
|    | UKLS. These data should be presented by detailed lists (Administrator         |  |
|    | Appliance have expressive block source have departing.                        |  |
| 89 | Appliance have capacity to block source based on geo-location                 |  |
| 90 | ine solution must be capable of employing an extensive set of contextual      |  |
|    | information (e.g., pertaining to the composition, contiguration, and          |  |

|          | behaviour of the network and its hosts) to improve the efficiency and        |  |
|----------|--|--|
|          | accuracy of both manual and automatic analysis of detected events.           |  |
|          | The solution must be capable of significantly reducing operator effort and   |  |
| 01       | accelerating response to threats by automatically prioritizing alerts,       |  |
| 91       | ideally based on the potential for correlated threats to successfully        |  |
|          | impact the specific hosts they are directed toward.                          |  |
|          | The solution must be capable of dynamically tuning IDS/IPS sensors (e.g.,    |  |
| 92       | selecting rules, configuring policies, updating policies, etc.) with minimal |  |
|          | human intervention.  |  |
|          | Should have identification support for at least 3000 applications and the    |  |
| 93       | identification should be regardless of ports. The application needs to be    |  |
|          | predefined on the box.   |  |
|          | The proposed system shall have the ability to identify, block the following  |  |
| 94       | common P2P applications: Gnutella (Napshare, iMesh, Mldonkey, morph,         |  |
|          | Xolox, BearShare, FOXY), Bittorrent, Kaaza, WinY, edonkey).                  |  |
|          | The solution must integrate application control to reduce risks associated   |  |
| 0.5      | with applications usage and client -side attacks. It should provide a        |  |
| 95       | means of enforcing acceptable use policies of up to 3000 application         |  |
|          | detectors.   |  |
| <u> </u> | The solution must support creation of user -defined application protocol     |  |
| 96       | detectors.   |  |
| 07       | The solution must have content awareness with comprehensive file             |  |
| 97       | detection policies and blocking of files by types, protocols and directions. |  |
|          | The proposed solution should provide an option to include URL filtering for  |  |
| 98       | enforcing Internet content filtering so as to reduce web born threats and    |  |
|          | improve productivity.  |  |
|          | Each URL in the data set must has an associated category and                 |  |
| 00       | reputation. URL category is a general classification for the URL while URL   |  |
| 77       | reputation represents how likely the URL is to be used for purposes that     |  |
|          | might be against the organization's security policy.                         |  |
| 100      | The solution must be capable of easily identifying all hosts that exhibit a  |  |
| 100      | specific attribute or non - compliance condition.                            |  |
| 101      | The management platform must be capable of centralized, life cycle           |  |
| 101      | management for all NGFW services/devices.                                    |  |
|          | The management platform must be delivered in virtual appliance form          |  |
| 102      | factor (management system and UI must provide the same features and          |  |
|          | functions as in the physical appliance).                                     |  |
|          | The management platform must be capable of aggregating IDS/IPS               |  |
| 103      | events and centralized, real-time monitoring and forensic analysis of        |  |
|          | detected events.   |  |
| 104      | The management platform must be accessible via a web-based                   |  |
| 104      | interface/ client software.  |  |
| 105      | The management platform must provide a highly customizable                   |  |
| 105      | dashboard.   |  |
|          | The management platform must be capable of integrating third party           |  |
| 106      | vulnerability information into threat policy adjustment routines and         |  |
|          | automated tuning workflows.  |  |

| 107 | The management platform must be capable of role-based<br>administration, enabling different sets of views and configuration<br>capabilities for different administrators subsequent to their<br>authentication.   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 108 | The management platform must include a scheduling subsystem to facilitate automation of routine tasks, such as backups, upgrades, report creation, and policy application.  |  |
| 109 | The management platform must include one or more default (i.e., pre-<br>defined) detection policy configurations to help simplify initial<br>deployment.  |  |
| 110 | The management platform must provide the capability to easily view,<br>enable, disable, and modify individual rules, as well as groups or<br>categories of rules.   |  |
| 111 | The management platform must be capable of automatically receiving<br>rule updates published by the vendor and automatically distributing and<br>applying those rule updates to sensors.  |  |
| 112 | The management platform must be capable of backup and rollback for sensor configurations and the management platform itself.  |  |
| 113 | The management platform must provide the ability to view the corresponding detection rule for each detected event, along with the specific packet(s) that caused it to be triggered.  |  |
| 114 | The management platform must support both internal and external databases/systems for storage of event data, logs, and other system generated information   |  |
| 115 | The management platform must be capable of synchronizing time between all components of the system via NTP.   |  |
| 116 | The management platform must be capable of logging all administrator activities, both locally and to a remote log server.   |  |
| 117 | The solution must support LDAP for single sign-on to sensors and the management console.  |  |
| 118 | The management platform must provide robust reporting capabilities,<br>including a selection of pre-defined reports and the ability for complete<br>customization and generation of new reports.  |  |
| 119 | The reporting tool needs to be bundled or quoted along with the solution.<br>The logging and analysis should either be an appliance or on a<br>dedicated PC/ Server platform. The bidder should take the responsibility<br>of supplying the hardware and the OS with suitable warranty. |  |
| 120 | The management platform must allow quick report customization by importing from dashboards, workflows and statistics summaries.   |  |
| 121 | The management platform must provide multiple report output types or formats, such as PDF, HTML, and CSV.   |  |
| 122 | The management platform must support multiple mechanisms for issuing alerts (e.g., SNMP, e-mail, SYSLOG).   |  |
| 123 | Firewall should able to handle all ATM, NPCI, Payment gateway traffic at existing Bank's Network.   |  |

|     | IPS device should perform stateful pattern recognition to identify           |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 124 | vulnerability-based attacks through the use of multi-packet inspection       |  |
|     | across all protocols.  |  |
| 105 | The proposed IPS must perform protocol decoding and validation for           |  |
| 125 | network traffic including: IP, TCP, UDP, and ICMP.                           |  |
|     | IPS should provide anomaly identification for attacks that may cover         |  |
| 126 | multiple sessions and connections, using techniques based on identifying     |  |
|     | changes in normal network traffic patterns                                   |  |
| 107 | Should support creation of baseline of normal network traffic and then       |  |
| 127 | uses baseline to detect worm-infected hosts                                  |  |
| 108 | Should support creation of baseline of normal network traffic and then       |  |
| 120 | uses baseline to detect worm-infected hosts                                  |  |
| 100 | Must be able to identify Layer 2 Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) attacks   |  |
| 127 | and man-in-the-middle attacks  |  |
| 120 | The sensors should be able to detect attacks running inside of these         |  |
| 130 | tunnelling protocols such as GRE, IP-in-IP, MPLS, and IPv4/IPv6.             |  |
| 131 | The IPS should be able to inspect SSL/https traffic                          |  |
| 130 | Can exceptions be setup to filter out, fine-tune or adjust the actions for   |  |
| 152 | specific attacker or destination IP on a per signature basis                 |  |
| 133 | The proposed product should be resistant to IPS evasion and protection       |  |
| 155 | from anti-NIPS (Network Intrusion Prevention System) techniques.             |  |
| 135 | The average latency of the proposed IPS should be less than 150              |  |
| 155 | microseconds   |  |
| 136 | IPS must support a minimum of 5 million concurrent connections.              |  |
| 137 | Support more than 1, 00,000 new sessions per second processing               |  |
|     | Proposed solution should have automatic bypass for IPS in case of            |  |
| 138 | performance suffer beyond defined administrative threshold or IPS            |  |
|     | function/engine fails  |  |
| 139 | IPS should have the functionality of Software Fail Open.                     |  |
|     | IPS Software Fail Open functionality can be defined in terms Gateway         |  |
| 140 | Threshold of Memory or CPU and should have an option to trigger the          |  |
|     | mail if required.  |  |
| 141 | The IPS should support Active/Active and Active/ Standby High                |  |
|     | Availability feature.  |  |
| 142 | Proposed IPS solution must be capable to detect device failure, link and     |  |
|     | path failure   |  |
| 143 | IPS appliance failover should be complete stateful in nature without any     |  |
|     | manual intervention  |  |
|     | Proposed IPS solution should support Vulnerability and Exploit signatures,   |  |
| 144 | Protocol validation, Anomaly detection, Behaviour-based detection and        |  |
|     | reputation based filtering   |  |
| 145 | IPS profile can be defined to Deactivate protections with Severity,          |  |
|     | Contidence level, Performance impact, Protocol Anomalies                     |  |
| 146 | IPS Profile should have an option to select or re-select specific signatures |  |
|     | That can be deactivated  |  |
| 147 | Intrusion Prevention should have the option to add exceptions for network    |  |
|     | ana services.  |  |

| 148 | IPS should provide rate shaping to prioritize known, normal traffic flows   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 149 | IPS Policy to Block the traffic by country should have an option to configure in incoming direction, Outgoing direction or both.  |  |
| 150 | IPS events/protection exclusion rules should be created and the packet data should be viewed directly from log entries.   |  |
| 151 | Application Intelligence should have controls for Instant Messenger, Peer-<br>to-Peer, Malware Traffic etc.   |  |
| 152 | Instant Messenger should have options to Block File Transfer, Block Audio,<br>Block Video, Application Sharing and Remote Assistance  |  |
| 153 | The proposed IPS should have an option to create your own signatures with an open signature language  |  |
| 154 | IPS should provide detailed information on each protection, including:<br>Vulnerability and threat descriptions, Threat severity, Performance<br>impact, Release date, Industry Reference, Confidence level etc.  |  |
| 155 | Proposed IPS must have an embedded GUI Based Management interface.  |  |
| 156 | Proposed IPS should have the options of policy configuration, event management, health management and reporting.  |  |
| 157 | IPS device should have features to prioritize and send alerts to users after<br>an alert action is taken place  |  |
| 158 | Proposed IPS should be constantly updated with new defences against<br>emerging threats.  |  |
| 159 | IPS updates should have an option of Automatic downloads and scheduled updates so that it can be scheduled for specific days and time   |  |
| 160 | Should have flexibility to define newly downloaded protections will be set<br>in Detect or Prevent mode.  |  |
| 161 | Activation of new protections based on parameters like Performance impact, Confidence index, Threat severity etc  |  |
| 162 | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make, model, OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next version, end of sale & support date, product development path, etc.)  |  |
| 163 | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network<br>Infrastructure.  |  |
| 164 | Proposed Solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of<br>comprehensive AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and<br>Support during 5 years of contract period. If offered product declared<br>End of Life and Support within 5 years contact period, then bidder should<br>provide latest product with same specification or higher without any cost<br>to the Bank. Offer product must not be End of Life and Support for next 2<br>years after expiry of 5 years of contract period. |  |

# <u>3 PAIR OF INTERNET & EXTRANET FACING FIREWALL (TYPE-2) TECHNICAL</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS AT DC & DR</u>

| SI. No. | Feature Description   | Compliance<br>Yes/No |
|---------|---|----------------------|
| 1       | Chassis based or modular architecture for scalability   |                      |
| 2       | Firewall should have at least 6 no. of 1 GE ports and 6 no of 10 G fibre port   |                      |
| 3       | The appliance should be capable of providing Firewall, VPN Services<br>and Next Generation Firewall feature. 300 remote VPN license required<br>with 1 pare of Firewall.                            |                      |
| 4       | The platform should support VLAN tagging (IEEE 802.1q)  |                      |
| 5       | The platform shall have dedicated interface for out-of bound management   |                      |
| 6       | The Firewall should support CA functionality  |                      |
| 7       | Support for minimum 1000 MAC addresses  |                      |
| 8       | Firewall performance should be minimum real world throughput 20 Gbps after enabling all function like IPS, QoS, and malware protection.   |                      |
| 9       | Firewall should be capable configuring Policies using Command Line (CLI) as a last resort in case of Emergency.   |                      |
| 10      | Firewall should support minimum 500,0000 concurrent connections   |                      |
| 11      | Firewall should support minimum 100000 new conections per second (cps)  |                      |
| 12      | deliver VPN throughput minimum 300 Mbps   |                      |
| 13      | Should support grouping of physical interfaces withing and across Fixed<br>and Expansion ports into one single physical or logical interface  |                      |
| 14      | Firewall should support memory atleast 8 GB Memory for better and faster processing.  |                      |
| 15      | Should be open architecture based on multi-core cpu's to protect & scale against dynamic latest security threats.   |                      |
| 16      | The firewall shall be deployed in high availability mode (hot stand-by redundancy), have fault tolerance and shall provide stateful failover  |                      |
| 17      | The firewall shall have a powerful OS that is hardened and is based upon minimal feature sets.  |                      |
| 18      | There shall be support for traffic based and user based access control.   |                      |
| 19      | The broad default policy for the firewall for handling inbound traffic shall<br>be to block all packets and connections unless the traffic type and<br>connections have been specifically permitted |                      |
| 20      | It shall support SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol) v 2.0 and v 3.0.   |                      |
| 21      | Firewall should support Single Sign On (SSO)  |                      |
| 22      | Should support translating between IPv4 and IPv6 for the following inspections: DNS, FTP,ICMP,HTTP  |                      |
| 23      | Network address translation (NAT) shall be supported so that the private<br>IP addresses of hosts and the structure of an internal network can be<br>concealed by the firewall.                     |                      |

|    | Network Address Translation (NAT) shall be configurable as 1:1, 1: many,    |   |
|----|---|---|
| 24 | many: 1, many: many, flexible NAT (overlapping IPs). Reverse NAT shall      |   |
|    | be supported.   |   |
| 25 | Port address translation/Masquerading shall be provided for                 |   |
|    | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) over Virtual Private             |   |
| 26 | Network (VPN) shall be supported for dynamic allocation of IP               |   |
|    | addresses.  |   |
|    | The firewall shall support a number of routing options and configurations.  |   |
| 27 | Routing protocol support shall include static routes, Open Shortest Path    |   |
|    | First (OSPF), RIPv1/v2 etc.   |   |
|    | Virtual LAN (VLAN) support, high port density, WAN support and              |   |
| 28 | expandability of interfaces over time are some important network            |   |
|    | integration features shall be supported.                                    |   |
| 29 | The firewall IP stack shall be IPv6 ready.                                  |   |
| 30 | The firewall shall mask the internal network from the external world.       |   |
|    | The firewall shall provide robust access control capability and be fast in  |   |
| 31 | making access control decisions. Access Control shall be done based         |   |
| 51 | on criteria such as source, destination IPs, port number, protocol, traffic |   |
|    | type, application, date information (day of week, time of day), etc.        |   |
| 32 | Multi-layer, stateful, application based filtering shall be done            |   |
|    | It shall provide network segmentation features with powerful capabilities   |   |
| 33 | that facilitate deploying security for various internal, external and DMZ   |   |
| 00 | (Demilitarized Zone) sub-groups on the network, to prevent                  |   |
|    | unauthorized access   |   |
| 34 | There shall be support for detection of reconnaissance attempts such as     |   |
|    | IP address sweep, port scanning etc.  |   |
| 35 | Firewall itself shall be resistant to attack and shall have protection      |   |
|    | against firewall evasion techniques.  |   |
|    | Some basic attack protection features listed below but not limited to :     |   |
|    | Maximum no of protections against attacks that exploit weaknesses in        |   |
|    | the ICP/IP protocol suite it shall enable rapid detection of network        |   |
| _  | ATTACKS   |   |
| 36 | TCP reassembly for fragmented packet protection Brute force attack          |   |
|    | miligation. STN Cookie protection, STN Flood, Hall Open Connections         |   |
|    | and NUL Packets Protection against ip spooling Mallormed packet             |   |
|    | protection  |   |
|    | Full H 223v1 5 (Firowall Traversal) SIR (Session Initiation Protocol)       |   |
|    | roll H.323VI-3 (Flewdl Hdversdi), Sir (Session Hillidion Florocol),         |   |
| 37 | interpretability with common and popular VolP/VC acteway and                |   |
|    | communications devices shall be supported apart from supporting all         |   |
|    | protocols   |   |
| 20 | The firewall shall support Internet Protocol Security (IPSec) & SSI         |   |
| 50 | Key exchange with latest Internet Key Exchange (IKE) IKEy? Public Key       |   |
| 39 | Infrastructure PKL (X 509) shall be catered to                              |   |
| 40 | Site-to-site VPN tunnels: full-mesh / star topology shall be supported      |   |
| 40 |   | 1 |

| 41  | Support Latest Encryption algorithms including AES 128/192/256(Advanced Encryption Standards), 3DES(Data Encryption |  |
|-----|---|--|
|     | Standard) etc.  |  |
| 40  | Support Latest Authentication algorithms including SHA-1 (Secure Hash   |  |
| 42  | Algorithm-1), SHA-2(Secure Hash Algorithm-2) etc.,  |  |
| 43  | IPSec NAT traversal shall be supported.   |  |
| 44  | VPN supporting atleast 300 IPSec / SSL VPN peers  |  |
|     | The solution should support the following File/Media Types for Malware  |  |
| 45  | identification: "BAT ,.BZ2 ,.ZIP,.CHM,.DLL,.DOC, .DOCX ,.EML ,.EXE,.GZ -  |  |
|     | gzip ,.HIA ,.HWP, .HWI, .HWPX ,.ISO,PDF, ZIP,EXE, DLL,OCX, Java,  |  |
|     | FIGSN, JAR, JS, JSE, JID, JII, JIDC, JIIC, LINK ETC   |  |
| 46  | deemed suspicious   |  |
|     | The solution should have the ability to heuristically detect and decode   |  |
| 47  | the presence of shell code  |  |
|     | The solution should have the ability to detect and scan odf files for   |  |
| 48  | embedded code   |  |
|     | The solution should have capability to fully reveal malware's current and   |  |
| 49  | potential payloads.   |  |
| 50  | The solution should provide detection, analysis and repair capability   |  |
| 50  | against malware-based attacks   |  |
|     | The solution should provide a detailed list of every DLL and API  |  |
| 51  | referenced, all header information about the binary, and complete   |  |
|     | assembly-language listing of the binary code.   |  |
|     | The solution should provide reports to shows all the activities the malware   |  |
| 52  | code performs related to file systems, Windows registry, network  |  |
|     | operations, Processes and any other miscellaneous operations  |  |
|     | The solution should provide summary for instance, whether the malware   |  |
| 53  | wrote into a certain file, modified a registry setting, opened a port or  |  |
|     | communicated to a specific uri, or changed the name of a running  |  |
|     | The solution should identify any logic hamps (time based execution  |  |
| ΕA  | delays) bidden in the malware waiting for a trigger to cause damage at  |  |
| 54  | a later time  |  |
|     | The solution should provide the ability to upload aold image and  |  |
| 55  | analyse threats under conditions of actual host environment.  |  |
|     | Solution should provide Detailed Technical Report, Behaviour Summary  |  |
| 56  | Report and a Logic Execution Path Map.  |  |
| F 7 | The solution should recognize new variants of existing malware families   |  |
| 5/  | and identify new families.  |  |
| 50  | The Solution should support the following multiple advanced malware   |  |
| 50  | analysis methods:   |  |
| 59  | Solution should provide high Threat protection rate minimum of 99%.   |  |
| 60  | The solution shall give CVE number for the Instruction events detected  |  |
|     | and shall capture packet for each intrusion event   |  |
| 61  | The solution should automatically map event to the IP, Geography  |  |
|     | information, to the user, system affected   |  |

| 62 | The solution must be capable of significantly reducing operator effort      |   |
|----|---|---|
|    | and accelerating response to threats by automatically prioritizing alerts,  |   |
|    | ideally based on the potential for correlated threats to successfully       |   |
|    | impact the specific hosts they are directed toward.                         |   |
|    | The IPS detection methodologies shall consist of Signature based            |   |
| 63 | detection using real time updated database & Anomaly based                  |   |
|    | detection that is based on thresholds                                       |   |
| 64 | The proposed system shall support One-arm IDS (sniffer mode)                |   |
| 65 | The device shall allow administrators to create Custom IPS signatures       |   |
| 66 | Consists of vendor's original threat intelligence and is not overly         |   |
|    | dependent on information available in the public domain.                    |   |
|    | Is continuously updated with new threat intelligence, including detailed    |   |
| 67 | help text, in an automated tashion and without physical access to the       |   |
|    | unit.   |   |
| 68 | Security information is meaningful, comprehensive and freely available      |   |
|    | to customers and non-customers via a publicly accessible database.          |   |
| 69 | Detects and blocks all known, high risk exploits along with their           |   |
|    | underlying vulnerability (not just one exploit of that vulnerability).      |   |
|    | Allows users to control the number of times a sensor notifies the console   |   |
|    | when a flood type attack occurs. For example, the sensor must be            |   |
| 70 | configurable to send a single alert every five minutes vs. sending an alert |   |
|    | for every single packet associated with the attack. This will avoid         |   |
|    | overwhelming the console and the internal network with alerts.              |   |
| 71 | Must be capable of performing packet-level forensics and capturing          |   |
|    | raw packet data in response to individual events                            |   |
| 70 | Ine detection engine must support multiple options for directly             |   |
| /2 | responding to events, such as monitor only, block offending fraffic,        |   |
|    | The solution must be agreed a of a grainely gethering information about     |   |
| 70 | The solution must be capable of passively gaineling information about       |   |
| /3 | session nows for all monitored nosis, including stan/end time, ports,       |   |
|    | Services, and amount of data.   |   |
|    | Accurately detects initiasion artempts and discerns between the various     |   |
| 74 | rypes and risk levels including unduitionized access altempts, pre-anack    |   |
|    | force, hybrids, and zero day attacks  |   |
|    | Detection rules must be based on an extensible, open language (API)         |   |
| 75 | that enables users to create their own rules, as well as to customize any   |   |
| /5 | vendor provided rules   |   |
|    | Detection rules provided by the vendor must be documented with full         |   |
| 76 | descriptions of the identity nature and severity of the associated          |   |
|    | vulnerabilities and threats being protected against                         |   |
|    | The detection engine must be capable of detecting and preventing a          |   |
| 77 | wide variety of threats (e.g., malware, network probes/reconnaissance       |   |
|    | VolP attacks, buffer overflows. P2P attacks, zero -day threats, etc. which  |   |
|    | require license for cloud sandboxina feature with hash only                 |   |
|    | The detection engine must incorporate multiple approaches for               |   |
| 78 | detecting threats, including at a minimum exploit -based signatures         |   |
|    |   | l |

|    | vulnerability -based rules, protocol anomaly detection, and behavioural       |      |
|----|---|------|
|    | anomaly detection techniques. Identify and explain each type of               |      |
|    | detection mechanism supported.  |      |
|    | The detection engine must inspect not only Network Layer details and          |      |
| 79 | information resident in packet headers, but a broad range of protocols        |      |
|    | across all layers of the computing stack and packet payloads as well.         |      |
|    | The detection engine must be resistant to various URL obfuscation             |      |
| 80 | techniques common to HTML -based attacks                                      |      |
| 81 | The solution must be capable of detecting and blocking IPv6 attack            |      |
|    | The solution must provide IP reputation feed that comprised of several        |      |
| 82 | regularly updated collections of IP addresses determined by the               |      |
|    | proposed security vendor to have a poor reputation.                           |      |
|    | The solution should be capable of providing network -based detection          |      |
|    | of malware by checking the disposition of known files in the cloud using      |      |
| 83 | the SHA -256 file -hash as they transit the network (SHA -256 and target      |      |
|    | IP address should be given to aid remediation efforts) all the license        |      |
|    | required for said functionality should be considered from day 1               |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering information about         |      |
|    | network hosts and their activities, such as operating system, services,       |      |
| 84 | open ports, client applications, and vulnerabilities, to assist with multiple |      |
|    | activities, such as intrusion event data correlation, elimination of false    |      |
|    | positives, and policy compliance.   |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering information about         |      |
| 85 | session flows for all monitored hosts, including start/end time, ports,       |      |
|    | services, and amount of data.   |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of storing user -defined host attributes,        |      |
| 86 | such as host criticality or administrator contact information, to assist with |      |
|    | compliance monitoring.  |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering user identity             |      |
| 87 | information, mapping IP addresses to username, and making this                |      |
|    | information available for event management purposes.                          |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of passively gathering details unique to         |      |
| 88 | mobile devices traffic to identify a wide variety of mobile operating         |      |
|    | systems, mobile applications and associated mobile device hardware.           |      |
|    | The solution must provide a detailed, interactive graphical summary           |      |
| 00 | intrusions events bests servers users file types mellugres and relevant       |      |
| 89 | Initiosions evenis, nosis, servers, users, nie -types, maiwares and relevant  |      |
|    | the second should be presented by defailed lists (Administration)             |      |
|    | Appliance have expective to block source based on application                 |      |
| 90 | The solution must be capable of employing an extensive set of                 | <br> |
|    | contextual information lead pertaining to the composition                     |      |
| 91 | configuration and behaviour of the network and its hosts) to improve          |      |
|    | the efficiency and accuracy of both manual and automatic analysis of          |      |
|    | detected events   |      |
|    | The solution must be capable of significantly reducing operator effort        |      |
| 92 | and accelerating response to threats by automatically prioritizing alerts     |      |
| L  |   | 1    |

|     | ideally based on the potential for correlated threats to successfully        |  |
|-----|--|--|
|     | impact the specific hosts they are directed toward.                          |  |
|     | The solution must be capable of dynamically tuning IDS/IPS sensors (e.g.,    |  |
| 93  | selecting rules, configuring policies, updating policies, etc.) with minimal |  |
|     | human intervention.  |  |
|     | Should have identification support for atleast 3000 applications and the     |  |
| 94  | identification should be regardless of ports. The application needs to be    |  |
|     | predefined on the box.   |  |
|     | The proposed system shall have the ability to identify, block the following  |  |
| 95  | common P2P applications: Gnutella (Napshare, iMesh, Mldonkey,                |  |
|     | morph, Xolox, BearShare, FOXY), Bittorrent, Kaaza, WinY, edonkey).           |  |
|     | The solution must integrate application control to reduce risks              |  |
| 0/  | associated with applications usage and client -side attacks. It should       |  |
| 96  | provide a means of enforcing acceptable use policies of up to 3000           |  |
|     | application detectors.   |  |
| 07  | The solution must support creation of user -defined application protocol     |  |
| 9/  | detectors.   |  |
|     | The solution must have content awareness with comprehensive file             |  |
| 98  | detection policies and blocking of files by types, protocols and             |  |
|     | directions.  |  |
|     | The proposed solution should provide an option to include URL filtering      |  |
| 99  | for enforcing Internet content filtering so as to reduce web born threats    |  |
|     | and improve productivity.  |  |
|     | Each URL in the data set must has an associated category and                 |  |
| 100 | reputation. URL category is a general classification for the URL while URL   |  |
| 100 | reputation represents how likely the URL is to be used for purposes that     |  |
|     | might be against the organization's security policy.                         |  |
| 101 | The solution must be capable of easily identifying all hosts that exhibit a  |  |
| 101 | specific attribute or non - compliance condition.                            |  |
| 102 | The management platform must be capable of centralized, life cycle           |  |
| 102 | management for all NGFW services/devices.                                    |  |
|     | The management platform must be delivered in virtual appliance form          |  |
| 103 | factor (management system and UI must provide the same features and          |  |
|     | functions as in the physical appliance).                                     |  |
|     | The management platform must be capable of aggregating IDS/IPS               |  |
| 104 | events and centralized, real-time monitoring and forensic analysis of        |  |
|     | detected events.   |  |
| 105 | The management platform must be accessible via a web-based                   |  |
| 105 | interface/ client software.  |  |
| 104 | The management platform must provide a highly customizable                   |  |
| 100 | dashboard.   |  |
|     | The management platform must be capable of integrating third party           |  |
| 107 | vulnerability information into threat policy adjustment routines and         |  |
|     | automated tuning workflows.  |  |
| 108 | The management platform must be capable of role-based                        |  |
| 001 | administration, enabling different sets of views and configuration           |  |

|     | capabilities for different administrators subsequent to their authentication.  |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 109 | The management platform must include a scheduling subsystem to facilitate automation of routine tasks, such as backups, upgrades, report creation, and policy application.   |  |
| 110 | The management platform must include one or more default (i.e., pre-<br>defined) detection policy configurations to help simplify initial<br>deployment.   |  |
| 111 | The management platform must provide the capability to easily view,<br>enable, disable, and modify individual rules, as well as groups or<br>categories of rules.  |  |
| 112 | The management platform must be capable of automatically receiving<br>rule updates published by the vendor and automatically distributing<br>and applying those rule updates to sensors.   |  |
| 113 | The management platform must be capable of backup and rollback<br>for sensor configurations and the management platform itself.  |  |
| 114 | The management platform must provide the ability to view the corresponding detection rule for each detected event, along with the specific packet(s) that caused it to be triggered.   |  |
| 115 | The management platform must support both internal and external databases/systems for storage of event data, logs, and other system generated information  |  |
| 116 | The management platform must be capable of synchronizing time between all components of the system via NTP.  |  |
| 117 | The management platform must be capable of logging all administrator activities, both locally and to a remote log server.  |  |
| 118 | The solution must support LDAP for single sign-on to sensors and the management console.   |  |
| 119 | The management platform must provide robust reporting capabilities,<br>including a selection of pre-defined reports and the ability for complete<br>customization and generation of new reports.   |  |
| 120 | The reporting tool needs to be bundled or quoted along with the solution. The logging and analysis should either be an appliance or on a dedicated PC/ Server platform. The bidder should take the responsibility of supplying the hardware and the OS with suitable warranty. |  |
| 121 | The management platform must allow quick report customization by importing from dashboards, workflows and statistics summaries.  |  |
| 122 | The management platform must provide multiple report output types or formats, such as PDF, HTML, and CSV.  |  |
| 123 | The management platform must support multiple mechanisms for issuing alerts (e.g., SNMP, e-mail, SYSLOG).  |  |
| 124 | Firewall should able to handle all ATM, NPCI, Payment gateway traffic at existing Bank's Network.  |  |
| 125 | The proposed device should have Intrusion prevention sensors delivering<br>a minimum of 10 Gbps of context-aware , real-world traffic inspection<br>(enabling all functions)   |  |

|       | IPS device should perform stateful pattern recognition to identify           |  |
|-------|--|--|
| 126   | vulnerability-based attacks through the use of multi-packet inspection       |  |
|       | across all protocols.  |  |
| 127   | The proposed IPS must perform protocol decoding and validation for           |  |
|       | network traffic including: IP, TCP, UDP, and ICMP.                           |  |
|       | IPS should provide anomaly identification for attacks that may cover         |  |
| 128   | multiple sessions and connections, using techniques based on                 |  |
|       | identifying changes in normal network traffic patterns                       |  |
| 100   | Should support creation of baseline of normal network traffic and then       |  |
| 129   | uses baseline to detect worm-infected hosts                                  |  |
| 100   | Should support creation of baseline of normal network traffic and then       |  |
| 130   | uses baseline to detect worm-infected hosts                                  |  |
| 101   | Must be able to identify Layer 2 Address Resolution Protocol (ARP)           |  |
| 131   | attacks and man-in-the-middle attacks  |  |
| 100   | The sensors should be able to detect attacks running inside of these         |  |
| 132   | tunnelling protocols such as GRE, IP-in-IP, MPLS, and IPv4/IPv6.             |  |
| 133   | The IPS should be able to inspect SSL/https traffic                          |  |
| 10.4  | Can exceptions be setup to filter out, fine-tune or adjust the actions for   |  |
| 134   | specific attacker or destination IP on a per signature basis                 |  |
| 105   | The proposed product should be resistant to IPS evasion and protection       |  |
| 135   | from anti-NIPS (Network Intrusion Prevention System) techniques.             |  |
| 10/   | Proposed IPS should support a minimum of average inspection                  |  |
| 136   | throughput of 10 Gbps  |  |
| 107   | The average latency of the proposed IPS should be less than 150              |  |
| 137   | microseconds   |  |
| 138   | IPS must support a minimum of 5 million concurrent connections.              |  |
| 139   | Support more than 1, 00,000 new sessions per second processing               |  |
|       | Proposed solution should have automatic bypass for IPS in case of            |  |
| 140   | performance suffer beyond defined administrative threshold or IPS            |  |
|       | function/engine fails  |  |
| 141   | IPS should have the functionality of Software Fail Open.                     |  |
|       | IPS Software Fail Open functionality can be defined in terms Gateway         |  |
| 142   | Threshold of Memory or CPU and should have an option to trigger the          |  |
|       | mail if required.  |  |
| 1.40  | The IPS should support Active/Active and Active/ Standby High                |  |
| 143   | Availability feature.  |  |
| 2.4.4 | Proposed IPS solution must be capable to detect device failure, link and     |  |
| 44    | path failure   |  |
| 1.15  | IPS appliance failover should be complete stateful in nature without any     |  |
| 145   | manual intervention  |  |
| 146   | Proposed IPS solution should support Vulnerability and Exploit signatures,   |  |
|       | Protocol validation, Anomaly detection, Behaviour-based detection            |  |
|       | and reputation based filtering   |  |
| 147   | IPS profile can be defined to Deactivate protections with Severity,          |  |
|       | Confidence level, Performance impact, Protocol Anomalies                     |  |
| 148   | IPS Profile should have an option to select or re-select specific signatures |  |
|       | that can be deactivated  |  |

| 149 | Intrusion Prevention should have the option to add exceptions for network and services.   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 150 | IPS should provide rate shaping to prioritize known, normal traffic flows and unknown traffic flows   |  |
| 151 | IPS Policy to Block the traffic by country should have an option to configure in incoming direction, Outgoing direction or both.  |  |
| 152 | IPS events/protection exclusion rules should be created and the packet data should be viewed directly from log entries.   |  |
| 153 | Application Intelligence should have controls for Instant Messenger,<br>Peer-to-Peer, Malware Traffic etc.  |  |
| 154 | Instant Messenger should have options to Block File Transfer, Block<br>Audio, Block Video, Application Sharing and Remote Assistance  |  |
| 155 | The proposed IPS should have an option to create your own signatures with an open signature language  |  |
| 156 | IPS should provide detailed information on each protection, including:<br>Vulnerability and threat descriptions, Threat severity, Performance<br>impact, Release date, Industry Reference, Confidence level etc.  |  |
| 157 | Proposed IPS must have an embedded GUI Based Management interface.  |  |
| 158 | Proposed IPS should have the options of policy configuration, event management, health management and reporting.  |  |
| 159 | IPS device should have features to prioritize and send alerts to users after<br>an alert action is taken place  |  |
| 160 | Proposed IPS should be constantly updated with new defences against emerging threats.   |  |
| 161 | IPS updates should have an option of Automatic downloads and scheduled updates so that it can be scheduled for specific days and time   |  |
| 162 | Should have flexibility to define newly downloaded protections will be set in Detect or Prevent mode.   |  |
| 163 | Activation of new protections based on parameters like Performance impact, Confidence index, Threat severity etc  |  |
| 164 | Attach solution document containing detailed bill of material (make,<br>model, OS details: version, date of release, date of release of next<br>version, end of sale & support date, product development path, etc.)  |  |
| 165 | Solution should integrate seamlessly with Bank's existing network Infrastructure.   |  |
| 166 | Proposed Solution should have 3 years warranty & 2 years of<br>comprehensive AMC service. Product must not be End of Life and<br>Support during 5 years of contract period. If offered product declared<br>End of Life and Support within 5 years contact period, then bidder<br>should provide latest product with same specification or higher without<br>any cost to the Bank. Offer product must not be End of Life and Support<br>for next 2 years after expiry of 5 years of contract period. |  |