



**UCO BANK**  
Department of Information Technology

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for Selection of Vendor for Implementation of NOSTRO and Message Reconciliation Solution (Re-Tendering)**

**RFP Ref. No.: DIT/BPR & BTB/OA/4244/2018-19 Dated: 30/01/2019**

**Pre-Bid Responses/Clarifications to queries raised by the Bidder(s), Amendments, Addendums and Corrigendum's**

Sl. No.	RFP Page No.	RFP Clause No.	Original RFP Clause	Subject/Description	Query sought/Suggestions	Bank Response
01	47	Annexure B	10 <sup>th</sup> Row of the table	<p>In case of outward message from XMM to SWIFT, it will check the Ack/Nack response and capture the same in XMM for the outward messages reconciliation.</p> <p>In case of inward message that are transmitted to Finacle, the message status should also be updated as processed or not in XMM</p>	<p>It is possible to update the status in our system database.</p> <p>But if status is to be updated in XMM then how do we update the status in XMM? Is there any API provided by XMM, which can be called to update the status?</p>	<p>For the outward messages from XMM to SWIFT, we are getting the status update in XMM at present with Ack/Nack from SWIFT while for the inward messages, same is under process.</p> <p>For the recon solution, vendor should have the option to capture all the SWIFT messages status in their system for both outward and inward messages after processing.</p>
02	48	Annexure B	23 <sup>rd</sup> Row of the table	SWIFT is having the Transaction copy service functionality for real time recon based on Ack/Nack copy received from SWIFT and transmitted to third party recon module; the same should be in scope so as to integrate this service in future with recon	Does it mean that this integration will be done in future and not now?	Integration may be done in future based on Bank's requirement.

				module without any additional cost to the Bank		
03	48	Annexure B	24 <sup>th</sup> Row of the table	If any of the new feature implemented by SWIFT like UETR etc or any other requirement if observed in UAT or production later, addition of other messages or reports should also be part of scope including the necessary customization	What would be the likely scope of this development? It would help us to make cost provision in commercial proposal.  If the scope of future requirement is not defined/clear estimation of such development may go wrong. If it is within the bandwidth of support, it would be taken care else we may have to charge UCO Bank. Is this understanding correct?	Scope of work already mentioned in RFP while specific future requirements can be only communicated in UAT during finalization of BRD. There should not be any change request by the vendor during one year of project implementation and all the feasible requirements in future during this period should be delivered by vendor
04		Part-I Clause 3		The Bidder should have experience in implementation of NOSTRO reconciliation/SWIFT Message reconciliation solution in any commercial bank in India.	We request the bank to consider the "bidder has implemented the solution in any commercial bank" and not specific to India only. Implementing similar solutions in Global banks can be considered by the bank as similar solution will cater to the needs of Indian Banks too.	RFP clause stands as same
05		Part 1, Clause 3		The Bidder or its partner should have implemented integration between SWIFT Alliance Access and Finacle (version: 7.0.25/higher version) for both inward and outward messages in any Scheduled commercial bank in India.	We request the bank to consider "Bidder or its partner should have implemented integration between SWIFT Alliance Access and Core Banking system". Having similar solution implemented along with a specific core banking and that too a specific version is nearly impossible to match as different banks use different core banking and its versions. The important factor to consider is how easy it is to integrate the vendors product with the existing Core Banking and various other source systems / environment of the bank.	RFP clause stands as same